

## **Section 4: Errata**

---

*Page Intentionally Left Blank*

### SUPPLEMENTAL ERRATA

#### General Plan Amendment No. 1122, Ordinance No. 348.4840, Change of Zone No. 7902, Environmental Impact Report No. 548

**Note:** *This supplemental document includes ALL of the proposed errata for project, as of September 14, 2016]. This document supersedes the errata contained in the previously distributed draft Final documents. This document does not contain the mapping changes that may result from the inclusion of Post-Production Parcel Specific Land Use Changes [As explained below]. If Post-Production Land Use Changes are included in the project, all necessary mapping updates would be included in the Final General Plan Document.*

*Changes made to GPA No. 1122, EIR No. 548, Ordinance No. 348.4840, or CZ No. 7902 after the close of the circulation of Draft EIR No. 548 and throughout the public hearing process are noted in the Errata. The changes to the documents do not affect the overall policies and conclusions of the project document and instead represent changes to provide clarification, amplification and/or “insignificant modifications” as needed as a result of public comments on the documents or due to additional information received during the public review period. These clarifications and corrections do not warrant recirculation of the EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15088.5. None of the Errata reflect a new significant environmental impact, a “substantial increase” in the severity of an environmental impact for which mitigation is not proposed, or a new feasible alternative or mitigation measure that would clearly lessen significant environmental impacts but is not adopted, nor do the Errata reflect a “fundamentally flawed” or “conclusory” Draft EIR.*

*In order to clearly display all of the changes that have been made during the Housing Element update process, the document text has been formatted to show changes made in each step of the process. This includes:*

- *Black Text: General Plan text prior to GPA No. 1122 is noted in black text.*
- *Red Text: Textual changes made to the documents prior to the release of the Draft EIR in April 2016 are noted in red text.*
- *Green Text: Textual changes made to the documents after the completion of the Draft EIR 45-day public review period are noted in green text.*
- *Orange Text: Textual changes made to the documents during the Planning Commission hearing process are noted in orange text.*

*The color coding of the edits allows the reader to distinguish more clearly between the original General Plan text, and proposed changes to the project as it is taken through the hearing process. Added or modified text is shown by italicizing (example) while deleted text is shown by striking (example).*

#### GPA No. 1122 Errata

PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)	CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY
<u>All Sections</u>	<i>Note: County Staff have reviewed a number of Post-Production Land Use Designation Changes, which have been included in the Attachment B of the Planning Commission Staff Report. Redesignation of the subject parcels, or removal of the parcels from the project. These changes would need to be incorporated into the document once adopted.</i>	<u>Various, see staff report.</u>
<u>All Area Plans</u>	<i>Note: Minor editorial changes have been completed for the policies included in the</i>	<u>County Staff as a</u>

**GPA No. 1122 Errata**

PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)	CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY
	<i>General Plan Area Plans. Changes have been indicated using green italic text (example) for additions, and green strikeout for deletions (example). Refer to Attachment A (Area Plan Revisions) of this document to review the updated policy language.</i>	<u>result of FEIR No. 548</u>
<u>HVWAP</u> <u>Page 35</u>	<i>HVWAP 8.26 The Portions of Mixed-Use Areas that are not developed for HHDR <del>Non-HHDP</del> development within MUA-designated neighborhoods should utilize mutually supportive mixes of retail, commercial, office, industrial, civic, park and recreational, and other types of uses including additional residential development at varying densities that result in vibrant neighborhoods with internal compatibility.</i>	<u>Joel Morse,</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>
<u>Appendix A-1</u>	<i><b>Walkable:</b> A continuous network of sidewalks, paths and street crossings that encourages pedestrian travel between origins and destinations free of obstructions and in a safe and comfortable environment.</i>	<u>Winchester Town</u> <u>Association, FEIR</u> <u>No. 548 Comment</u> <u>Letter</u>

**EIR No. 548 Errata**

PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)	CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY
<u>Sections 4.1-</u> <u>4.10</u>	Note: Minor editorial changes have been completed for the policies included in the General Plan Area Plans. Changes have been indicated using green italic text ( <i>example</i> ) for additions, and green strikeout for deletions ( <del>example</del> ). Refer to the General Plan Errata document, Attachment A (Area Plan Policy Revisions) to review the updated policy language.	<u>County Staff, FEIR</u> <u>No. 548</u>
<u>3.0-65</u>	<b>MM 3.5.1</b> Avoidance is the preferred treatment for cultural resources. Where feasible, project plans shall be developed to allow avoidance of cultural resources. Where avoidance of construction impacts is possible, <del>capping of the cultural resource site and</del> avoidance planting (e.g., planting of prickly pear cactus) shall be employed to ensure that indirect impacts from increased public availability to the site are avoided. Where avoidance is selected, cultural resource sites shall be placed within permanent conservation easements or dedicated open space.	<u>Pechanga Tribe of</u> <u>Luiseno Indians,</u> <u>FEIR No. 548</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>

EIR No. 548 Errata		
PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)	CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY
<u>3.0-68</u>	<p><b>MM 3.5.1</b> If human remains are encountered during a public or private construction activity, California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the Riverside County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98. The Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours. If the Coroner determines that the burial is not historic, but prehistoric, the Native American Heritage Commission must be contacted to determine the most likely descendant for this area. <i>The decision as to the treatment and disposition of the remains shall be made consistent with the procedures and standards contained in Health and Safety Code Section 5097.98 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(e).</i><del>The most likely descendant may become involved with the disposition of the burial following scientific analysis.</del></p>	<p><u>Pechanga Tribe of Luiseño Indians, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter</u></p>
<u>4.5-21</u>	<p>The project site is within the boundaries of the Hemet <del>Union</del> <i>Unified</i> School District (HUSD), which operates one K-5 school, one 6-8 middle school, and one high school for the plan area.</p>	<p><u>Hemet Unified School District, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter</u></p>
<u>4.5-59</u>	<p>If fully developed, the proposed project could result in new student enrollment at Hemet <del>Union</del> <i>Unified</i> School District (HUSD) schools serving the neighborhood sites.</p>	<p><u>Hemet Unified School District, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter</u></p>
<u>4.7-44</u>	<p>Most CVWD domestic water customers also receive <del>sewer</del> <i>wastewater</i> services from the water district. The CVWD provides wastewater service to more than <del>91,000</del> <i>93,000</i> home and business accounts. The CVWD operates <del>6</del> <i>5</i> water reclamation plants and maintains more than <del>1,000</del> <i>1,129</i> miles of sewer pipelines and <del>37</del> <i>33</i> lift stations that collect and transport wastewater to the nearest regional water <del>reclamation facility (RWRF)</del> <i>Reclamation Plants (WRP)</i>. The current and planned treatment capacity at each of the reclamation plants is shown in <b>Table 4.7-5</b> below.</p>	<p><u>CVWD, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter</u></p>

EIR No. 548 Errata

PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)	CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY																																																				
4.7-44	<table><tr><th rowspan="2">Plant #</th><th colspan="2">Current</th><th colspan="2">Planned</th><th rowspan="2">Total Capacity (MGD)</th></tr><tr><th>Treatment</th><th>Capacity/Ave. (MGD)</th><th>Additional Capacity (MGD)</th><th>Treatment</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>WRP-1 Secondary</td><td>0.15 / <del>0.016</del> <i>ave</i></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.15</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>WRP-2 Secondary</td><td><del>0.18/0.03</del> <i>ave</i> <del>0.33/0.012</del></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.18</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>WRP-4 Secondary</td><td>9.9 / <del>4.75</del> <i>4.51</i> <i>ave</i></td><td><del>Tertiary</del></td><td>-</td><td>9.90</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>WRP-7 Secondary and Tertiary</td><td><del>5.0 / 2.69</del> <i>and 2.51</i> <del>3.0</del> <i>ave</i></td><td><del>Tertiary</del></td><td><del>5.0</del> <i>Additio</i> <i>nal</i></td><td>7.50</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>WRP-9 Secondary</td><td>0.40 / <del>0.210</del> <i>0.33</i></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><del>0.40</del></td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>WRP-10 Secondary and Tertiary</td><td>18.0 / <del>9.49</del> <i>and</i> <del>10.8/10.8</del> <i>ave</i></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><del>18.50</del> <i>18.0</i></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Totals</td><td>33.083</td><td>-</td><td><del>5.0</del></td><td><del>33.083</del> <i>36.63</i></td></tr></table> <p>Source: <del>CVWD 2012 CVWD, Draft EIR Comment, June 2016</del></p>	Plant #	Current		Planned		Total Capacity (MGD)	Treatment	Capacity/Ave. (MGD)	Additional Capacity (MGD)	Treatment	1	WRP-1 Secondary	0.15 / <del>0.016</del> <i>ave</i>	-	-	0.15	2	WRP-2 Secondary	<del>0.18/0.03</del> <i>ave</i> <del>0.33/0.012</del>	-	-	0.18	3	WRP-4 Secondary	9.9 / <del>4.75</del> <i>4.51</i> <i>ave</i>	<del>Tertiary</del>	-	9.90	4	WRP-7 Secondary and Tertiary	<del>5.0 / 2.69</del> <i>and 2.51</i> <del>3.0</del> <i>ave</i>	<del>Tertiary</del>	<del>5.0</del> <i>Additio</i> <i>nal</i>	7.50	5	WRP-9 Secondary	0.40 / <del>0.210</del> <i>0.33</i>	-	-	<del>0.40</del>	6	WRP-10 Secondary and Tertiary	18.0 / <del>9.49</del> <i>and</i> <del>10.8/10.8</del> <i>ave</i>	-	-	<del>18.50</del> <i>18.0</i>	Totals		33.083	-	<del>5.0</del>	<del>33.083</del> <i>36.63</i>	CVWD, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter
Plant #	Current		Planned		Total Capacity (MGD)																																																	
	Treatment	Capacity/Ave. (MGD)	Additional Capacity (MGD)	Treatment																																																		
1	WRP-1 Secondary	0.15 / <del>0.016</del> <i>ave</i>	-	-	0.15																																																	
2	WRP-2 Secondary	<del>0.18/0.03</del> <i>ave</i> <del>0.33/0.012</del>	-	-	0.18																																																	
3	WRP-4 Secondary	9.9 / <del>4.75</del> <i>4.51</i> <i>ave</i>	<del>Tertiary</del>	-	9.90																																																	
4	WRP-7 Secondary and Tertiary	<del>5.0 / 2.69</del> <i>and 2.51</i> <del>3.0</del> <i>ave</i>	<del>Tertiary</del>	<del>5.0</del> <i>Additio</i> <i>nal</i>	7.50																																																	
5	WRP-9 Secondary	0.40 / <del>0.210</del> <i>0.33</i>	-	-	<del>0.40</del>																																																	
6	WRP-10 Secondary and Tertiary	18.0 / <del>9.49</del> <i>and</i> <del>10.8/10.8</del> <i>ave</i>	-	-	<del>18.50</del> <i>18.0</i>																																																	
Totals		33.083	-	<del>5.0</del>	<del>33.083</del> <i>36.63</i>																																																	
4.7-90	<p>Future development of the neighborhood sites under the project would contribute to increased generation of wastewater needing treatment. As previously described, the CVWD treats approximately <del>33.083</del> <i>36.63</i> mgd via <del>six</del> <i>five</i> <del>RWRF</del> <i>WRP's</i>. As discussed under Impact Analysis 4.7.12 future development of the neighborhood sites under the proposed project could result in up to 19,988 more dwelling units and 48,610 more persons than anticipated for buildout of the sites under the adopted Western Coachella Valley Area Plan. This increase in population and housing would generate an increased demand for wastewater conveyance and treatment. The average wastewater generation rate for a residential unit in Riverside County is 230 gallons per day per capita (County of Riverside 2015b). Therefore, future development would result in the generation of 4,597,240 gallons per day (4.597 million gallons daily).</p> <p>The 4.59724 mgd wastewater demand generated by the proposed project would represent approximately <del>12.5</del> <i>13.89</i> percent of the current design capacity at the CVWD RWRF. This increase in service is not considered a substantial increase over existing capacity. Additionally, future development would be required to pay development impact fees and connection fees, which would fund any potential future expansion of the RWRF in the CVWD's jurisdiction. Actual expansion of any RWRF would be subject to subsequent project-level environmental review.</p>	CVWD, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter																																																				
4.8-47	<p>Most CVWD domestic water customers also receive <del>sewer</del> <i>wastewater</i> services from the water district. The CVWD provides wastewater service to more than <del>91,000</del> <i>93,000</i> home and business accounts. The CVWD operates <del>6</del> <i>5</i> water reclamation plants, maintains more than <del>1,000</del> <i>1,129</i> miles of sewer pipelines, and maintains <del>37</del> <i>33</i> lift stations that collect and transport wastewater to the nearest water reclamation <del>facility</del> <i>Plant (WRP)</i>. The current and planned treatment capacity at each reclamation plant is shown in <b>Table 4.8-6</b>.</p>	CVWD, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter																																																				

EIR No. 548 Errata

PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)					CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY	
4.8-48						CVWD, FEIR No. 548 Comment Letter	
	Plant #	Current		Planned			Total Capacity (MGD)
		Treatment	Capacity/Ave. (MGD)	Additional Capacity (MGD)	Treatment		
	1	WRP-1 Secondary	0.15 / <del>0.016</del> <i>ave</i>	-	-		0.15
	2	WRP-2 Secondary	<del>0.18/0.03</del> <i>ave 0.33/0.012</i>	-	-		0.18
	3	WRP-4 Secondary	9.9 / <del>4.75</del> <i>4.51</i> <i>ave</i>	<i>Tertiary</i>	-		9.90
	4	WRP-7 Secondary and Tertiary	<del>5.0 / 2.69</del> <i>and 2.5 / 3.0</i> <i>ave</i>	<i>Tertiary</i>	<del>5.0</del> <i>Additional</i>		7.50
	5	WRP-9 Secondary	0.40/ <del>0.210</del> <i>0.33</i>	-	-		<i>0.40</i>
	6	WRP-10 Secondary and Tertiary	18.0 / <del>9.49</del> <i>and 10.8/10.8</i> <i>ave</i>	-	-		<del>18.50</del> <i>18.0</i>
	Totals		33.083	-	<del>5.0</del>		<del>33.083</del> <i>36.63</i>
Source: <del>CVWD-2012</del> <i>CVWD, Draft EIR Comment, June 2016</i>							

Ord No. 348 Errata

PAGE NUMBER	TEXT CHANGE (ERRATA)	CHANGE INITIATED/ REQUESTED BY
<u>Article VIIIg.</u> <u>Section 303</u> <u>(B)(5)</u>	USABLE OPEN SPACE. A residential dwelling shall include at least <del>two hundred (200) square feet</del> <i>one hundred (100) square feet</i> of attached useable open space such as patios or balconies, which is not encumbered with structures. No length or width of the useable open space shall be less than eight feet (8').	<u>Joel Morse,</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>
<u>Article VIIIg.</u> <u>Section 304</u> <u>(A)</u>	PHASING PLAN. For phased developments, a site development phasing plan shall be submitted with the land use application and include maps, exhibits and a description of the phasing for development and infrastructure, <del>the viability of future development within the neighborhood and adjoining neighborhoods,</del> and the development of multi-modal transportation connectivity with the neighborhood and adjoining community areas.	<u>Joel Morse,</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>
<u>Article IXf</u> <u>Section</u> <u>9.87(A)(4)</u>	TRANSPARENCY. <i>The retail portion of any commercial building that has a street facing wall with customer access shall have at least 50% of the total wall area transparent with clear windows. Such windows shall allow views of the indoor space or display areas and start at least 3 feet (3') above the adjacent sidewalk, but not exceed ten feet (10') in height. Along predominantly retail streets least fifty percent (50%) of the street building wall area, between three feet (3') and ten feet (10') shall be transparent with clear windows that allow views of indoor space or display areas.</i>	<u>Joel Morse,</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>
<u>Article IXf</u> <u>Section</u> <u>9.87(B)(4)</u>	Development with one hundred (100) residential dwellings or less shall provide two hundred (200) square feet square feet of <del>attached-contiguous or non-contiguous</del> useable open space such as but not limited to pools, gyms, parks and recreational facilities. <i>At least one hundred (100) square feet of usable open space shall attached to the dwelling unit.</i>	<u>Joel Morse,</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>
Article IXf. Section 9.88(A)	For phased developments, a site development phasing plan shall be submitted with the land use application and include maps, exhibits and a description of the phasing for development and infrastructure, <del>the viability of future development within the neighborhood and adjoining neighborhoods,</del> and the development of multi-modal transportation connectivity with the neighborhood and adjoining community areas.	<u>Joel Morse,</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>Comment Letter</u>