

General Plan Amendment No. 960: Summary of Project Components

The General Plan Update Project, General Plan Amendment No. 960 (“Proposed Project” or GPA No. 960), comprises a comprehensive review of, and necessary updates to, the Riverside County General Plan’s policies, maps and implementing directions. The result of the effort will be an amended County General Plan that continues to provide a clear and consistent set of directions for implementing the County Vision, Elements and Area Plans over the next five years and into the future. The information below summarizes the more detailed description of the proposed updates, revisions and changes encompassed by GPA No. 960. For complete details, see Section 3.5 of Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) No. 521. Associated project-level information, as well as the EIR and other pertinent documents, may also be found on the Planning Department’s website at <http://planning.rctlma.org>.

Table: Summary of Proposed General Plan Changes Addressed by GPA No. 960

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
Land Use	Policy and Text Changes	Revise existing text and policies to reflect current data, regulations, conditions, etc.	Changes to a variety of text, data, exhibits and policies throughout the General Plan Land Use Element are proposed to update relevant information, including statistics, regulations, historical data, etc. See redline/strike-out version of proposed General Plan.
Land Use	Policies to Enable Incidental Rural Commercial Uses	Add new policies to address incidental commercial uses in rural areas.	The existing General Plan only allows commercial activities within the Community Development (CD) Foundation. While designed to prevent urban development in rural areas, such a limitation also prevents development of neighborhood-serving incidental commercial uses and basic services in remote rural areas. Thus, this item proposed policies (LU 21.7 and 22.7) to allow small-scale commercial uses within the Rural and Rural Community Foundation Components, subject to certain specific conditions.
Land Use	Sphere of Influence Policies	Add new policies to address coordination of infrastructure within city sphere of influence areas.	The five-year (now eight-year) review cycle for General Plan Foundation Components under the General Plan Certainty System has resulted in restrictions that limit Riverside County’s ability to appropriately plan and develop necessary infrastructure within city sphere of influence areas. Thus, this item proposed policies (LU 22.8 and Administration Element “Required and Optional Findings 3.i”) to allow consideration of quarterly General Plan Amendments in the Rural Community Foundation if the property is located within an established city sphere of influence area.
Land Use	Changes to Rural Village Overlays and Study Areas	Revise existing policies addressing Rural Village Overlays and Rural Village Overlay Study Areas. (Changes to specific rural villages listed by Area Plan, below.)	Existing Rural Village Overlays (RVOs) and Rural Village Overlay Study Areas (RVOSAs) were examined to determine if the existing RVOs were still appropriate for their locations (to plan for potential urbanization over time) and, for RVOSAs, whether the designated area was ripe for a full RVO. As a result, this item proposes to revise the general text and policies (LU 34.1 – 34.5) addressing RVOs. Changes to specific rural villages were also made; see specific Area Plans, below.
Land Use	Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Consistency Changes	Revise policies to address land use consistency for various airports.	General Plan policies and land use designations within Airport Influence Areas (AIAs) were examined to ensure they are consistent with, and appropriate for, the areas’ air operations (as outlined per each airport’s Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan [ALUCPI]). Where warranted, various map, policy and parcel-specific land use changes are proposed to establish consistency with ALUCPIs. These include specific land use designation changes around Riverside Municipal, Flabob and Blythe Airports (see details under Area Plans, below.)

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
			(Note: These proposals were developed before the incorporation of the new cities of Eastvale and Jurupa Valley, which affect the lands surrounding Flabob and Riverside Municipal Airports. These proposals are included here for informational purposes.) Changes are proposed to airport policies (LU 15.1-15.9), Table LU-3 (Relationship of ALUC Compatibility Plans to County Area Plans) and Table 3-B (Summary of Airport Land Use Consistency Changes). Corresponding changes under "mobile noise" in the General Plan Noise Element and under "aviation systems" in the Circulation Element are also proposed to reflect these same airport-related changes. Likewise, corresponding changes to text, policies and tables were made to Area Plans containing affected AIAs / airports.
Land Use	Policy Changes to Encourage Day Care Facilities	Revise text and policies to address and encourage provision of day care facilities.	GPA No. 883, adopted in June 2009, amended the Vision and Land Use Element of the General Plan to include policies to encourage provision of child care facilities. This item proposes additional changes to expand these policies to address care for all community members needing day care services (seniors, disabled adults, etc.). Also, to reduce redundancies, certain day care policies are proposed for condensing or elimination from the General Plan. They will instead be addressed as Riverside County Planning Department Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for use during project review. Instead, they are proposed as new implementation action items in General Plan Appendix K-1.
Land Use	Facilitating Open Space Land Use Designations for Conservation Habitat	Add text and policies to address General Plan Amendments to designate open space acquired for conservation purposes.	For the purpose of preserving open space dedicated as a result of development (for example, land acquired by a conservation agency or other entity), this item proposes new Policy LU 23.1 to allow designation of land into the Open Space Foundation Component (as Open Space-Conservation Habitat, OS-CH) through a quarterly entitlement/policy General Plan Amendment, to be processed per Ordinance No. 348, Section 2.4.
Land Use	Protecting Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range	Add text and policies to ensure land use compatibility with the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range (CMAGR).	A portion of CMAGR is located in Riverside County, east of the Salton Sea. CMAGR provides support training critical to the readiness of the nation's Marine Corps and Naval Air Forces. Land use compatibility is essential for its operation, as well as the protection of its surrounding communities. Thus, this item proposes Policy LU 36.2, as well as Eastern Coachella Valley Area Plan Policy ECVAP 11.1 and Noise Element Policy N 8.1, to address safety and land use compatibility.
Palo Verde Valley Area Plan (PVVAP)	Blythe Airport / Airport Influence Area (AIA)	Update text, policies, tables and figures to address Blythe Airport land use compatibility.	Update PVVAP text, policy PVVAP 3.1 and Table PVVAP-4 to reflect the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for the Blythe Airport / AIA. Similarly update Figure PVVAP-3 (Land Use Plan) to reflect parcel-specific land use changes and update LUDs in Figure PVVAP- 4 (Policy Areas) to reflect 2004 boundary for Blythe AIA. Update Figure PVVAP-5 (Blythe Airport Influence Area) to reflect updated airport compatibility zones.
Jurupa Area Plan (JURAP)	Flabob Airport / Airport Influence Area (AIA)	Update text, policies, tables and figures to address Flabob Airport land use compatibility.	Update JURAP text, policies JURAP 8.1-8.3 and Table JURAP-4 to reflect the ALUCP for Flabob Airport. Similarly update Figure JURAP-3 (Land Use Plan) to reflect parcel-specific LUD changes, Figure JURAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure JURAP-5 (Flabob Airport Influence Area) per current Flabob AIA bounds.
Jurupa Area Plan (JURAP)	Riverside Municipal Airport / Airport Influence Area (AIA)	Update text, policies, tables and figures to address Riverside Municipal Airport land use compatibility.	Update JURAP text, policy JURAP 9.1 and Table JURAP-5 to reflect the ALUCP for Riverside Municipal Airport. Similarly update Figure JURAP-3 (Land Use Plan) per parcel- specific LUD changes, Figure JURPA-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure JURAP-5 (Riverside Municipal Airport Influence Area) to reflect current AIA.
Other Area Plans	Various Other Airports / Airport Influence Areas (AIAs)	Update text, policies, tables and figures to address Riverside Municipal Airport land use compatibility.	See Table 3.0-B (Summary of Airport Land Use Consistency Changes), below, for details on other updates for various airports throughout the county.

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
Eastern Coachella Valley Area Plan (ECVAP)	Chiriaco Summit Rural Village Overlay (RVO)	Update text and policies to clarify plans for the area.	Review determined that this community's land uses were already discussed under the Planned Communities Policy Area section of the Area Plan. Thus, this item proposes revisions to Policy ECVAP 2.2 and Figure ECVAP-3 (Land Use Plan) to correct this discrepancy (by removing the Chiriaco Summit RVO from the map, but leaving the land use discussion provided under the policy area).
Elsinore Area Plan (ELAP)	El Cariso Rural Village Overlay Study Area (RVOSA)	Delete text, policies and mapping associated with this RVOSA.	Analysis of this Study Area determined that there is no need for higher land use intensities in this area. Thus, the El Cariso RVO Study Area will be eliminated and the community allowed to continue to grow per its underlying LUDs as depicted on the existing Area Plan map (Figure ELAP-3). To accomplish this, Policy ELAP 6.2 was deleted pursuant to adopted GPA No. 1075; this item proposes to update Figure ELAP-3 (Land Use Plan) and Figure ELAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas) to match, eliminating this study area from the General Plan.
Elsinore Area Plan (ELAP)	Meadowbrook Rural Village Overlay Study Area (RVOSA)	Replace RVOSA with specific Rural Village Land Use Overlay (RVLUO) establishing specific alternate land use designations..	Analysis of this Study Area determined that there is a need for future land use intensities higher than the underlying existing LUDs in this area due to urbanization pressures. Thus, this item proposes to replace the existing Study Area with a full Rural Village Land Use Overlay that provides fully mapped alternate LUDs within the areas covered by the overlay. This includes new policies (ELAP 5.1 - 5.2), new Figure ELAP-5 (Meadowbrook Rural Village Land Use Overlay) and revisions to Figure ELAP-3 (Land Use Plan) and Figure ELAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas) to provide an alternative land use development scenario allowing higher intensity uses than the underlying LUDs.
Elsinore Area Plan (ELAP)	Lake Elsinore Environs Policy Area (aka Lakeland Village)	Update text, policies, map and some land use designations to better plan for future development and to reflect revisions to floodplain data.	The existing Lake Elsinore Environs Policy Area was reviewed and revised to establish updated land use intensities (LUDs) to reflect revised floodplain mapping for Lake Elsinore. Thus, revisions are proposed to policies ELAP 6.1-6.2 and to Figure ELAP-3 (Land Use Plan) to encourage clustering and consolidated development, as well as address the need for additional plans to preserve the area's historic character.
Lakeview / Nuevo Area Plan (LNAP)	Northeast Business Park Overlay	Add text, policies and map to propose new overlay.	This overlay was developed to address the need for future urbanization in the existing agricultural lands (primarily dairy) north of the Ramona Expressway. Thus, this item proposes new overlay policies (LNAP 5.1-5.3), as well as updates to Figure LNAP-3 (Land Use Plan) and Figure ELAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas), to provide an alternative land use development scenario for this area (i.e., through the Business Park LUD).
Lakeview / Nuevo Area Plan (LNAP)	Lakeview Mountain Policy Area	Add text, policies and map to propose new policy area.	This policy area was developed to provide for better coordination of any future development and protection of existing wildlife, open space and recreational values in and around the area. Thus, this item proposes new policies (LNAP 6.1 - 6.11), plus updates to Figure ELAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas).
Mead Valley Area Plan (MVAP)	Good Hope Rural Village Overlay Study Area (RVOSA)	Replace RVOSA with specific Rural Village Land Use Overlay (RVLUO) establishing specific alternate land use designations.	Analysis of this Study Area determined that there is a need for future land use intensities higher than the underlying existing LUDs in this area due to urbanization pressures. Thus, this item proposes to replace the existing Study Area with a full Rural Village Land Use Overlay that provides fully mapped alternate LUDs within the areas covered by the overlay. This includes new policies (MVAP 3.1-3.4), new Figure MVAP-6 (Good Hope Rural Village Land Use Overlay), as well as updates to Figure MVAP-3 (Land Use Plan) and Figure MVAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas). The result is an alternative land use development scenario that would allow for higher intensity uses than the underlying LUDs.

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
San Jacinto Valley Area Plan (SJVAP)	Agriculture / Potential Development Special Study Area	Eliminate existing Special Study Area; leave existing land use designations as-is.	After focused study as part of the General Plan update, it was determined that the roughly 7,700 acres covered should remain under the Agriculture Foundation Component and land use designation; the area was not ripe for further urbanization plans at present. Thus, this item proposes to eliminate the Agriculture/Potential Development Special Study Area (by deleting policy SJVAP 6.1 and similarly updating Figure SJVAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas)), leaving the region agricultural per existing LUDs.
REMAP (Riverside Extended Mountains Area Plan)	Aguanga Rural Village Overlay Study Area (RVOSA)	Eliminate Aguanga RVOSA; leave existing land use designations as-is.	Analysis of this Study Area determined that there is no need for higher land use intensities in this area. Thus, the Aguanga RVO Study Area will be eliminated and the community allowed to continue to grow per its underlying LUDs as depicted on the existing Area Plan map (Figure REMAP-3). To accomplish this, Policy REMAP 2.1 was deleted pursuant to adopted GPA No. 1075; this item proposes to update Figure REMAP-3 (Land Use Plan) and Figure REMAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas) to match, eliminating this study area from the General Plan.
REMAP (Riverside Extended Mountains Area Plan)	Delete Anza Rural Village Overlay (RVO) / Replace with Anza Valley Policy Area	Eliminate Anza RVO; replace with new Anza Valley Policy Area text, policies and maps.	Analysis of the existing 1,500-acre Anza RVO determined that due to limited infrastructure capacity, particularly lack of assured water supplies, a full Rural Village Land Use Overlay was inappropriate for the Anza community. Instead, a policy area would be proposed over the entire 74,500-acre region to promote and preserve the rural character of the community. The Anza RVO would be eliminated and the community allowed to continue to grow per its underlying LUDs as depicted on the existing Area Plan map (Figure REMAP-3). To accomplish this, Policy REMAP 2.1 was deleted pursuant to adopted GPA No. 1075; this item proposes to add new policies (REMAP 1.1 – 1.3) establishing the Anza Valley Policy Area, with updates to Figure REMAP-3 (Land Use Plan) and Figure REMAP-4 (Overlays and Policy Areas) to reflect the new policy area as well.
Western Coachella Valley Area Plan (WCVAP)	Sky Valley Rural Village Overlay (RVO)	Examine existing RVO to see if any updates are needed. Determined none needed at this time.	The existing 100-acre Sky Valley Rural Village Overlay was examined to determine if it continued to plan for appropriate intensification for this community. Due to the very limited allowance of additional land use densities provided under this particular Rural Village Overlay, it was determined that no change was necessary at this time. Thus, although originally scheduled for updating, GPA No. 960 does not include any changes to the Sky Valley RVO.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 1: Technical Mapping Errors	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses parcels erroneously designated as Rural Mountainous (RM) that do not meet the requisite steep slope requirements. It also addresses mechanical mapping errors, such as mapped land use designation colors not following parcel lines and similar physical mapping errors. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes), below, for additional details.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 2: Revisions to Open Space-Conservation Habitat (OS-CH)	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses privately owned lands erroneously designated as "Open Space – Conservation Habitat," (OS-CH), which is normally used to designate publicly held lands being conserved for their habitat value. New, more appropriate LUDs (typically going to the lowest allowable level of private development/use) are proposed for these sites. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes), below, for additional details.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 3: Public Facility (PF) Revisions	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses privately owned lands erroneously designated as "Public Facilities" (PF), which normally designates lands slated for public benefit uses, such as airports, sewage plants and other such infrastructure. New, more appropriate LUDs (for example, Rural-Residential, Rural-Mountain and others) are proposed for these sites. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes) for additional details.

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 4: Revisions to Open Space-Conservation (OS-C)	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses lands originally designated as "Open Space-Conservation" (OS-C) that were determined to be unsuitable for such due to existing development, location or other constraints. New, more appropriate LUDs (typically going to the lowest allowable level of private development/use) are proposed for these sites. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes), below, for additional details.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 5: Revisions to Open Space-Recreation (OS-R or OS-REC)	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses lands originally designated as "Open Space-Recreation" (OS-R) that were determined to be inappropriate for such use. New, more appropriate LUDs (for example, Rural-Residential, Rural-Mountain and others) are proposed for these sites. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes), below, for additional details.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 6: Revisions to Designations for Public Use Lands	Change the Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses parcels in which public lands are designated for private development uses. Thus, this item proposes to change these parcels to the correct LUDs; for example, PF for public facilities (such as around landfills) or OS-C for conserved open space buffer areas. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes) for additional details.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 7: Revisions to Designations for Existing Lot Sizes	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category applies land use designations that are more suitable to the existing lot sizes in certain areas of Riverside County. (For example, where an existing 1-acre lot is designated for a 10-acre lot size.) See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes), below, for additional details.
Countywide (Mapped Land Use Designations)	Parcel-specific LUD Changes, Criteria 8: Other Land Use Changes, Including Executive Directions	Change Land Use Designations (LUDs) on individual parcels of land where appropriate per the criteria indicated.	This category addresses land use designation changes identified by the Riverside County Planning Director during past development review processes, including those that do not fit into any of the other categories above. As an example, this includes: designating nearly 800 acres as AG around the Salton Sea to preserve and protect fish farming, aquaculture and related activities. See Table 3.0-E (Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes), below, for additional details.
Circulation Element	Revisions to the Countywide Planned Circulation System	Revise county circulation system maps and related policies and standards.	The existing Countywide Planned Circulation System was examined to determine if regional and local transportation systems would be able to accommodate the traffic demands of the planned future intensities at General Plan build out, as well as those from proposed project land use changes. Thus, this item includes revisions to roadways depicted in General Plan Figure C-1 (Countywide Circulation Network), as well as the corresponding detailed maps in the individual Area Plans. It includes changes to proposed roadway alignments, intersection locations, and functional classifications (widths, number of lanes, level of service targets, etc.), where needed throughout unincorporated Riverside County. A number of Circulation Element policies would also change accordingly. Likewise, land use policies are also revised where necessary to ensure the network's capacity and anticipated levels of service remain adequate. These land use-related changes are described either within the Land Use Element (where programmatic) or within the applicable Area Plan (where local).
Circulation Element	Revisions to the Countywide Non-Motorized Transportation Plan	Revise county trail system maps and related policies and standards.	The existing Countywide Non-Motorized Transportation Plan was examined for its adequacy in providing planning and coordination guidance for the provision of trails and other non-motorized transport needs within Riverside County. Thus, this item include revisions to both the policies (in both the Land Use and Circulation Elements), standards and planned mapped trails, as shown (countywide) in General Plan Figure C-7 (Countywide Non-Motorized Transportation Network), as well as detailed in each of the individual Area Plans. Specifically, Policies C 15.1-18.3 are proposed to provide the flexibility necessary for coordinating development and maintenance of the non-motorized transportation system in Riverside County.

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
Multipurpose Open Space Element	Policy, Text and Data Updates	Revise existing text and policies to reflect current data, regulations, conditions, etc.	Changes to a variety of text, data, exhibits and policies throughout the General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element are proposed to update relevant information, including statistics, regulations, recently developed or acquired data, etc. See redline/strike-out version of proposed General Plan. Countywide maps are provided in the Element and detailed regional maps of the same are provided in the respective Area Plans.
Multipurpose Open Space Element	Revisions to Water Conservation Policies	Revise existing text and policies.	This item proposes new and revised policies regarding water supply, conveyance and conservation in the Multipurpose Open Space Element (as well as Land Use Element) to reduce landscape water demand and to encourage the use of reclaimed water in the future developments. This includes updates to policies OS 1.3-1.4 and 2.1-2.5 for water supply and conservation and policies LU 18.1-18.6 for water conservation and water-efficient landscaping resources to encourage water-efficient practices as a proactive approach to addressing water-supply shortages in Riverside County.
Multipurpose Open Space Element	Revisions to Watershed and Water-course Management Policies	Revise existing text and policies.	In 2004, a joint County-City Arroyo-Watershed Advisory Committee was formed between the County and City of Riverside to study the impacts of development and other human activities on the arroyos and watersheds that overlap the two jurisdictions, and make recommendations to reduce impacts to these resources. Thus, this item encompasses text and policy revisions and additions reflecting the Advisory Committee's recommendations (and as endorsed by the Board of Supervisors), including: Multipurpose Open Space policies addressing water quality, groundwater recharge, floodplain and riparian area management, etc. (OS 3.4-3.7, 4.5-4.7, 5.3, 5.5, 5.7, 18.3 and 18.4), Land Use (LU 4.1u-v, 7.6-7.9, 9.1, 9.4 and 18.8), Safety (S 1.3) and Circulation (C 20.4 – 20.5) Elements. These revisions will improve the efficient management of stormwater and urban runoff, improve water quality and enhance water use efficiency.
Safety Element	Policy, Text and Data Updates	Revise existing text and policies to reflect current data, regulations, conditions, etc.	The Safety Element was examined to ensure that countywide policies addressing safety hazards, risks and preparedness remain appropriate and adequate for current conditions and the planned future of Riverside County. Thus, this item encompasses a number of new and revised Safety Element policies (S 1.3, 5.1-5.8 and 5.14-5.21) proposed to reduce hazard risks and improve safety, such as for updated geological, seismic and fire-hazard planning. The accompanying maps (e.g., Figure S-1 through S-22) were similarly updated to reflect current information. Specific revisions include fire-hazard mapping and protection, 100-year flood zones and other hazard maps updated by the State of California and other agencies.
Air Quality Element	Policy, Text and Data Updates	Revise existing text and policies to reflect current data, regulations, conditions, etc.	The Air Quality Element was revised where needed to update current air quality (AQ) data, regulations and address emerging AQ issues. Thus, this item includes updates to the AQ standards in General Plan Table AQ-1, as well as text and figures related to AQ.
Air Quality Element	New Text and Policies Addressing Greenhouse Gases and the Climate Action Plan	Add new text and policies to address climate change, greenhouse gases (GHGs) and a proposed County Climate Action Plan (CAP).	A new section was added to the Air Quality Element to address greenhouse gases (GHGs), climate change and the County's proposed Climate Action Plan (CAP). Thus, this item includes text outlining the new information and policies related to California laws and policies on GHG emission reduction. Added policies include AQ 18.1-18.5, 19.1-19.4 and 27.1-29.4. Text addressing GHGs was also added in other parts of the General Plan, in particular Chapter 2 (Vision), to reinforce Riverside County's position and commitment to improving air quality and combating greenhouse gases. As revised, the Air Quality Element will establish new GHG emissions reduction strategies, including GHG reduction targets based on a countywide carbon inventory (also prepared as part of GPA No. 960). From it, goals and policies were developed to achieve the reduction targets in coordination with the draft CAP, also proposed for Riverside County.

Element or Area Plan	Proposed Item	Proposed Action	Details
Administration Element	Policy, Text and Data Updates	Revise existing text and policies to address technical needs and clarify procedures affecting General Plan Amendment proposals.	The Administration Element was updated where needed to ensure its policies and programs continue to reflect current planning practices and provide a clear and concise set of directions for the implementation of the General Plan. In particular, this includes changes that would permit General Plan Amendments (GPAs) for land going into conservation (via Open Space-Conservation [OS-C] land use designation) as a technical amendment provided flood maps are revised either by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) or the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. Another change includes a new policy addressing city spheres of influence and proposed infrastructure when proposing land use conversions from the Rural Community Foundation to the Community Development Foundation.
General Plan Appendices	Appendix A-1: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms	Revise and update existing text.	Update terms and information as needed.
General Plan Appendices	Appendix B-1: General Planning Principles	Revise and update existing text.	Update as needed.
General Plan Appendices	Appendix E-1: Socio-economic Buildout Assumptions and Methodology	Revise and update existing text, tables and data.	Revise and update existing text, tables, demographics and planning assumptions as needed, based on current conditions and revised buildout projections..
General Plan Appendices	Appendix F-1: Population and Employment Forecasts	Revise and update existing text, tables and data.	Revise and update existing text, tables, demographics and forecasts as needed, based on current conditions and revised buildout projections.
General Plan Appendices	Appendix I-1: Noise Element Data	Update noise data and modeling.	New noise study providing updated ambient noise data and new traffic noise modeling, based on current conditions and revised buildout projections.
General Plan Appendices	Appendix K-1: Implementation Program	Update implementation items.	Update implementation program items as needed to reflect proposed General Plan changes to policies, etc.
General Plan Appendices	Appendix L-1: Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans	Update to reflect latest Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans.	Update to reflect latest planning information, particularly the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans for the public airports throughout Riverside County.

Footnotes:

1. LUD = Land Use Designation. See Table 3.0-C (General Plan Land Use Designations and Foundation Components) for full list of abbreviations used for LUDs, associated Foundation components and other mapping items.
2. By definition, an "overlay" establishes a second LUD that may be applied to a given site, in addition to the existing LUD. As an example, the General Plan (page LU-72) states: "For instance, the underlying land use designation might be Rural Residential; however, the presence of the Rural Village Overlay allows the opportunity to develop higher density residential and/or commercial uses. In this case, the property owner can choose between developing to the Rural Residential standards or the standards of the Rural Village Overlay." For more information, see General Plan discussion on "Overlays," starting on page LU-72.

Source: Based on Riverside County Planning Dept., *Draft Environmental Impact Report No. 521*, Section 3.5 (Project Components), March 2014.

Table 3.0-B: Summary of Airport Land Use Consistency Changes

Airport	Area Plan	Text Changes	Map Changes
Banning Municipal Airport	The Pass (PAP)	Update text, policies PAP 1.1-1.2 and Table PAP-4 for the Banning Municipal Airport Influence Area	Update Figure PAP- 4 (Policy Areas) and Figure PAP-5 (Banning Municipal Airport Influence Area [AIA]) to reflect current Banning AIA.
Bermuda Dunes Airport*	Western Coachella Valley (WCVAP)	Update text, policy WCVAP 5.1 & Table WCVAP-4 for Bermuda Dunes Airport Influence Area	Update Figure WCVAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure WCVAP-5 (Bermuda Dunes Airport Influence Area) to reflect current AIA.
Blythe Airport	Palo Verde Valley (PVVAP)	Update text, policy PVVAP 3.1 and Table PVVAP-4 for the Blythe Airport Influence Area	Update Fig. PVVAP-3 (Land Use Plan) to reflect parcel-specific land use changes; update LUDs in Fig. PVVAP- 4 (Policy Areas) to reflect 2004 boundary for Blythe AIA; and, update Fig. PVVAP-5 (Blythe Airport Influence Area) to reflect updated airport compatibility zones.
Chino Airport	Eastvale (EAP)	Update text, policies 2.1-2.4, 3.1 and Table EAP-4 to reflect Chino Airport Influence Area	Update Figure EAP-5 (Chino Airport Influence Area) to reflect current Chino AIA boundaries.
Chiriaco Summit Airport	Eastern Coachella Valley (ECVAP)	Update text, policies ECVAP 3.1-3.2 and Table ECVAP-5 to reflect Chiriaco Airport Influence Area	Update Figure ECVAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Fig. ECVAP-5 (Chiriaco Summit Airport Influence Area) to reflect current Chiriaco Summit AIA.
Corona Municipal Airport	Temescal Canyon (TCAP)	Update text, policy TCAP 7.1 and Table TCAP-4 for Corona Municipal Airport Influence Area	Update Figure TCAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure TCAP-5 (Corona Municipal Airport Influence Area) to reflect current Corona Municipal AIA.
Desert Center Airport	Desert Center (DCAP)	Delete Desert Center Airport Influence Area section and Table DCAP-4 (Land Use Compatibility Guidelines) – no longer public.	Update Figure DCAP-4 (Policy Areas) to remove AIA and delete Figure DCAP-5 (Desert Center Airport Influence Policy Area) to reflect airport no longer being public.
Flabob Airport**	Jurupa (JURAP)	Update text, policies JURAP 8.1-8.3 and Table JURAP-4 for the Flabob Airport Influence Area	Update Fig. JURAP-3 (Land Use Plan) to reflect parcel-specific LUD changes; Fig. JURAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Fig. JURAP-5 (Flabob Airport Influence Area) per current Flabob AIA bounds.
French Valley Airport*	Southwest (SWAP)	Update text, policy SWAP 11.1 and Table SWAP-4 for French Valley Airport Influence Area	Update Figure SWAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure SWAP-5 (French Valley Airport Influence Area) to reflect current French Valley AIA.
Hemet-Ryan Airport	Harvest Valley/ Winchester (HVWAP) and San Jacinto Valley (SJVAP)	Update text in HVWAP and SJVAP; policies HVWAP 1.1 and SJVAP 5.1; & Tables HVWAP-4 & SJVAP-4 to reflect the current Hemet-Ryan Airport AIA	Update Figure 4 (Policy Areas) and Figure 5 (Hemet-Ryan Airport Influence Area) in both HVWAP and SJVAP to reflect the current Hemet-Ryan Airport AIA boundaries.
Jacqueline Cochran Regional Airport*	Eastern Coachella Valley (ECVAP)	Update text, policy ECVAP 3.1 and Table ECVAP-4 for Jackie Cochran Regional Airport Influence Area	Update Figure ECVAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure ECVAP-5 (Jacqueline Cochran Regional Airport Influence Area) to reflect current AIA.
March Air Reserve Base (MARB)	HVWAP, Highgrove (HAP), Lake Mathews/ Woodcrest (LMWAP), Mead Valley (MVAP), Lakeview/Nuevo (LNAP) and Reche Canyon/ Badlands (RCBAP)	Update text & policies re MARB for HVWAP (2.1), HAP (12.1), LMWAP (4.1), MVAP (2.1), LNAP (3.1) & RCBAP (1.1); update MARB Airport Land Use Compatibility Criteria in Tables HVWAP-5, HAP-4, LMWAP-3, LNAP-3, MVAP-3, and RCBAP-3	Update Figure 4 (Policy Areas) and Figure 5 (March Air Reserve Base Airport Influence Area) for Area Plans LMWAP, MVAP, LNAP & RCBAP, and Figure HVWAP-4 (Policy Areas) and Figure HVWAP-6 (March Air Reserve Base Influence Area), to reflect current boundaries and planning information for MARB.
Riverside Municipal Airport**	Jurupa (JURAP)	Update text, policy JURAP 9.1 and Table JURPA-5 for Riverside Municipal Airport Influence Area	Update Fig. JURAP-3 (Land Use Plan) per parcel- specific LUD changes, Fig. JURPA-4 (Policy Areas) and Fig. JURAP-5 (Riverside Municipal Airport Influence Area) to reflect current AIA.
Skylark Airport	Elsinore (ELAP)	Remove Skylark Airport Influence Area text, policy ELAP 3.1 and Table ELAP-4	Update Figure ELAP-4 (Policy Areas) and delete Fig. ELAP-5 (Skylark Airport Influence Policy Area) to reflect Skylark Airport no longer public.

* Notwithstanding the changes proposed under GPA No. 960, the existing General Plan's land use designations may not be consistent with this airport's Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.

** This item predates city incorporation (which now encompasses area); item now in city and shown for informational purposes only.

Table 3.0-E: Summary of Criteria-Based Parcel-Specific Land Use Changes

Area Plan	Exhibit	Existing LUD ¹	Proposed LUD ¹	Proposed Acreage
East County - Desert	C2-6	OS-CH	OS-RUR	4.7
	C2-1b	OS-CH	OS-RUR	451.2
Eastern Coachella Valley	C2-22a	OS-CH	EDR	6.4
		OS-CH	MDR	0.7
		OS-CH	RD	133.5
		OS-CH	RR	20.2
	C2-7b	OS-CH	OS-RUR	191.3
	C6-9	AG	PF	9.8
	C8-11	RD	RR	133.5
	C8-12	RD	RR	148.3
	C8-13	RD	RR	424.1
	C8-14	RD	RR	318.1
	Fish Farms	BP	AG	124.1
		CR	AG	8.3
		LI	AG	104.7
		MDR	AG	76.7
		OS-R	AG	31.1
OS-W		AG	267.3	
VHDR		AG	57.4	
Eastvale	C6-4a	VLDR	AG	112.7
		AG	OS-C	107.0
		LDR	OS-C	41.4
Elsinore	C2-10	MDR	OS-C	20.2
		OS-CH	RR	29.6
		OS-CH	RM	82.8
	C2-12	OS-CH	CT	3.0
		OS-CH	RM	46.2
	C2-9	OS-CH	RR	61.8
		OS-CH	RM	9.4
	C8-1	OS-CH	RR	31.0
RR		LI	16.7	
Harvest Valley/ Winchester	C3-5	PF	MDR	8.9
		PF	MDR ²	4.4
	C6-2	OS-CH	PF	7.7
Jurupa	C3-2	PF	LI ²	20.2
	C8-2	LI	RC-LDR	6.1
	C8-4	LI	MHDR	0.5
Lake Mathew/Woodcrest	C3-1	PF	RM	3.9
		PF	RR	5.6
	C4-2	OS-C	MDR	4.5
	C8-8	RC-VLDR	CR	1.0
Mead Valley	C8-9	RC-LDR	CR	14.0
Reche Canyon/Badlands	C2-23b	OS-CH	OS-RUR	154.6
	C3-3	RM	PF	1.6
		PF	RM	67.0
	C6-8	OS-CH	PF	70.9
REMAP	C1-6	CR	CT	4.7
		VLDR	CT	0.9
		CR	VLDR	0.8
	C2-17b	OS-CH	OS-RUR	855.0
	C2-3b	OS-CH	OS-RUR	35.7
	C2-4	OS-CH	OS-RUR	40.5
	C2-5	OS-CH	OS-RUR	39.2
San Jacinto Valley	C4-1a	OS-C	MDR	3.5
	C8-16	LDR	RR	256.2
Southwest	C1-5	RM	RC-EDR	41.3
		RR	RC-EDR	3.9
		RM	RR	25.7
	C2-13b	OS-CH	OS-RUR	544.6
	C2-14	OS-CH	RM ³	40.8
	C2-15	OS-CH	EDR	84.2
OS-CH		OS-RUR	15.1	

Area Plan	Exhibit	Existing LUD ¹	Proposed LUD ¹	Proposed Acreage
Southwest <i>(continued)</i>	C6-1	OS-CH	PF	264.0
	C7-1	AG	RC -EDR	10.8
	C8-3a	MDR	LDR	5.5
Temescal Canyon	C6-10	OS-Min	PF	13.3
	C8-5	RR	MDR	19.3
		RR	OS-CH	56.4
		RR	VLDR	5.7
The Pass	C6-5	OS-RUR	PF	79.0
		RM	PF	3.3
Western Coachella Valley	C1-1	OS-R	MDR	0.4
	C2-2	OS-CH	MDR	3.8
	C2-20	OS-CH	RR	5.5
	C2-21	OS-CH	RR	147.4
	C2-24	OS-CH	RR	151.8
	C2-8b	OS-CH	RR	71.3
	C3-4	PF	MDR	80.5
	C4-3	OS-C	RR	19.6
	C5-1	OS-R	MDR	37.6
	C6-3	OS-RUR	PF	39.8
	C6-7	OS-RUR	PF	138.5
	C8-10	OS-W	LI	0.9
		RR	LI	8.1
	C8-15	CR	VLDR	8.7
		MDR	VLDR	142.1
C8-17	LI	RR	1.9	

Footnotes:

1. See Table 3-C, above, for key to land use designation abbreviations and details on land uses.
2. Closed Landfill Policy Area also applied over area.
3. RM with Santa Rosa Plateau Policy Area applied over area.

Source: Riverside County Draft EIR No. 521, pages 3.0-21 through 23, March 2014.