

## County Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan

A Template for preparing Project Specific WQMPs for Priority Development Projects only for use in the *unincorporated portions of Riverside County* located within the *Santa Margarita Region*.

Project Title: Lost Ranch Winery Development No: Insert text here Design Review/Case No: PPT210141 BMP (Latitude, Longitude): 33.55266/-117.03629





Original Date Prepared: August 19, 2022

Revision Date(s): Insert text here

**Contact Information** 

**Prepared for:** Lost Ranch, LLC c/o Jasmine and Joseph Weins 24250 Jaunita Drive Menifee, CA 92587

Based on 2018 WQMP, prepared for Compliance with Regional Board Order No. <u>**R9-2013-0001**</u> as amended by Order No. **R9-2015-0001** and Order No. **R9-2015-0100** 

The County updated this template on July 24, 2018

#### Prepared by: RDS and Associates Rich Soltysiak, PE No 37233 30519 Wailea Court Temecula, CA 92592 951-691-7706

#### **A Brief Introduction**

The Regional Municipal Separate Stormwater Sewer System (MS4) Permit<sup>1</sup> requires that a Project-Specific WQMP be prepared for all development projects within the Santa Margarita Region (SMR) that meet the 'Priority Development Project' categories and thresholds listed in the SMR Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP). This Project-Specific WQMP Template for Development Projects in the **Santa Margarita Region** has been prepared to help document compliance and prepare a WQMP submittal. Below is a flowchart for the layout of this Template that will provide the steps required to document compliance.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Order No. R9-2013-0001 as amended by Order Nos. R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100, NPDES No. CAS0109266, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges from the MS4s Draining the Watersheds within the San Diego Region, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, May 8, 2013.

#### **OWNER'S CERTIFICATION**

This Project-Specific WQMP has been prepared for Lost Ranch LLC c/o Jasmine and Joseph Weins by Rich Soltysiak, RDS and Associates for the Lost Ranch Winery project.

This WQMP is intended to comply with the requirements of Riverside County for County Ordinance No. 754 which includes the requirement for the preparation and implementation of a Project-Specific WQMP.

The undersigned, while owning the property/project described in the preceding paragraph, shall be responsible for the implementation and funding of this WQMP and will ensure that this WQMP is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site. In addition, the property owner accepts responsibility for interim operation and maintenance of Stormwater Best Management Practices until such time as this responsibility is formally transferred to a subsequent owner. This WQMP will be reviewed with the facility operator, facility supervisors, employees, tenants, maintenance and service contractors, or any other party (or parties) having responsibility for implementing portions of this WQMP. At least one copy of this WQMP will be maintained at the project site or project office in perpetuity. The undersigned is authorized to certify and to approve implementation of this WQMP. The undersigned is aware that implementation of this WQMP is enforceable under Riverside County Water Quality Ordinance (No. 754).

"I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of law that the provisions of this WQMP have been reviewed and accepted and that the WQMP will be transferred to future successors in interest."

**Owner's Signature** 

**Owner's Printed Name** 

Date

Owner's Title/Position

#### PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION

"The selection, sizing and design of stormwater treatment and other stormwater quality and quantity control Best Management Practices in this plan meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. **R9-2013-0001** as amended by Order Nos. **R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100**."

Preparer's Signature

Rich Soltysiak Preparer's Printed Name

Preparer's Licensure:



Date

Preparer's Title/Position

### **Table of Contents**

Section A: Project and Site Information	7
<ul> <li>A.1 Maps and Site Plans</li> <li>A.2 Identify Receiving Waters</li> <li>A.3 Drainage System Susceptibility to Hydromodification</li> <li>A.4 Additional Permits/Approvals required for the Project:</li> <li>Section B: Optimize Site Utilization (LID Principles)</li> </ul>	
Section C: Delineate Drainage Management Areas (DMAs)	16
Section D: Implement LID BMPs	21
D.1 Full Infiltration Applicability D.2 Biofiltration Applicability D.3 Feasibility Assessment Summaries D.4 LID BMP Sizing	21 23 25 26
Section E: Implement Hydrologic Control BMPs and Sediment Supply BMPs	
<ul><li>E.1 Hydrologic Control BMP Selection</li><li>E.2 Hydrologic Control BMP Sizing</li><li>E.3 Implement Sediment Supply BMPs</li><li>Section F: Alternative Compliance</li></ul>	28 29 29 34
<ul> <li>F.1 Identify Pollutants of Concern</li> <li>F.2 Treatment Control BMP Selection</li> <li>F.3 Sizing Criteria</li> <li>F.4 Hydrologic Performance Standard – Alternative Compliance Approach</li> <li>Section G: Implement Trash Capture BMPs</li> </ul>	
Section H: Source Control BMPs	41
Section I: Coordinate Submittal with Other Site Plans	42
Section J: Operation, Maintenance and Funding	43
Section K: Acronyms, Abbreviations and Definitions	

### List of Tables

Table A-1 Identification of Receiving Waters	8
Table A-2 Identification of Susceptibility to Hydromodification	9
Table A-3 Other Applicable Permits	9
Table C-1 DMA Identification	16
Table C-2 Type 'A', Self-Treating Areas	17
Table C-3 Type 'B', Self-Retaining Areas	18
Table C-4 Type 'C', Areas that Drain to Self-Retaining Areas	18
Table C-5 Type 'D', Areas Draining to BMPs	20
Table D-1 Infiltration Feasibility	22
Table D-2 Geotechnical Concerns for Onsite Infiltration	23
Table D-3 Evaluation of Biofiltration BMP Feasibility	24
Table D-4 Proprietary BMP Approval Requirement Summary	24
Table D-5 LID Prioritization Summary Matrix	25
Table D-6 Summary of Infeasibility Documentation	25
Table D-7 DCV Calculations for LID BMPs	27
Table D-8 LID BMP Sizing	27
Table E-1 Hydrologic Control BMP Sizing	29
Table E-2 Triad Assessment Summary	31
Table F-1 Summary of Approved 2010 303(d) listed waterbodies and associated pollutants of	concern for
the Riverside County SMR Region and downstream waterbodies	35
Table F-2 Potential Pollutants by Land Use Type	
Table F-3 Treatment Control BMP Selection	37
Table F-4 Treatment Control BMP Sizing	37
Table F-5 Offsite Hydrologic Control BMP Sizing	38
Table G-1 Sizing Trash Capture BMPs	40
Table G-2 Approximate precipitation depth/intensity values for calculation of the Trash Captu	re Design
Storm	40
Table G-3 Trash Capture BMPs	40
Table I-1 Construction Plan Cross-reference	42
Table I-2 Other Applicable Permits	42

### List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Maps and Site Plans	51
Appendix 2: Construction Plans	52
Appendix 3: Soils Information	53
Appendix 4: Historical Site Conditions	54

Appendix 5: LID Feasibility Supplemental Information	55
Appendix 6: LID BMP Design Details	56
Appendix 7: Hydromodification	57
Appendix 8: Source Control	58
Appendix 9: O&M	69
Appendix 10: Educational Materials	75

### **Section A: Project and Site Information**

Use the table below to compile and summarize basic site information that will be important for completing subsequent steps. Subsections A.1 through A.4 provide additional detail on documentation of additional project and site information. The Regional MS4 Permit has effectively removed the ability for a project to be grandfathered from WQMP requirements. Even if a project were able to meet all the requirements stated in Section 1.2 of the WQMP, the 2014 WQMP requirements would apply.

PROJECT INFORMATION			
Type of PDP:	New Development		
Type of Project:	Winery		
Planning Case Number:	PPT210141		
Rough Grade Permit No.:	N/A Entitlement Application	n	
Development Name:	Lost Ranch Winery		
PROJECT LOCATION			
Latitude & Longitude (DMS):		33.55266/-117.03629	
Project Watershed and Sub-W	Vatershed:	Santa Margarita River, Murrieta Cre 902( 2.11, 2.12, 2.13, 2.23,2.52, 2.32	ek, Santa Gertrudis Creek 2, 2.42)
24-Hour 85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Storm	n Depth (inches):	1.0"	
Is project subject to Hydromo APN(s):	odification requirements?	Y N (Select based on Sect 942-030-007	tion A.3)
Map Book and Page No.:		PM27134 PMB 82/95-96	
PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS			
Proposed or Potential Land U	se(s)		AG/Winery
Proposed or Potential SIC Coc	de(s)		2084
Existing Impervious Area of P	roject Footprint (SF)		0
Total area of proposed Imper	vious Surfaces within the Pro	oject Limits (SF)/or Replacement	13,673
Total Project Area (ac)			10.11 Acres
Does the project consist of of	fsite road improvements?		⊠ Y N
Does the project propose to c	construct unpaved roads?		⊠ Y N
Is the project part of a larger common plan of development (phased project)?		Y N	
Has preparation of Project-Sp	ecific WQMP included coord	lination with other site plans?	□ Y 🛛 N
EXISTING SITE CHARACTERISTICS			
Is the project located within	n any Multi-Species Habitat	t Conservation Plan area (MSHCP	∐ Y ⊠ N
Criteria Cell?)			If "Y" insert Cell Number
Is a Geotechnical Report attac	ched?		∐Y ⊠N
If no Geotech. Report, list the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soils type(s) Type C (See Appendix 3)			
present on the site (A, B, C an	nd/or D)		
Provide a brief description of square feet of impervious su tank, 4,096sf of roof to be co parking to be disbursed into t	f the project Vineyard with rfacing onsite. 2,660sf of co ollected by 7-330 Gallon IBC the vineyards to the north, 90 to be dispersed into the vir	Wine Production and Tasting Room. Increte open air crush pad surface to Tote Containers for Harvest and Us 66sf patio cover to be disbursed into nevards to the south Project will a	Project consists of 8,673 o be treated by a holding se, 928sf of concrete ADA the vineyards to the east,

5,000sf of offsite pavement. A drainage swale will be added in the road right-of-way to accommodate street drainage.

Project will be mitigated with a total of 7-330 Gallon IBC Tote Containers for Harvest and Use thereby lowering total net untreated impervious cover below 10,000sf.

### A.1 Maps and Site Plans

When completing your Project-Specific WQMP, include a map of the Project vicinity and existing site. In addition, include all grading, drainage, landscape/plant palette and other pertinent construction plans in Appendix 2. At a **minimum**, your WQMP Site Plan should include the following:

- Vicinity and location maps
- Parcel Boundary and Project Footprint
- Existing and Proposed Topography
- Drainage Management Areas (DMAs)
- Proposed Structural Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- Drainage Paths
- Drainage infrastructure, inlets, overflows

- Source Control BMPs
- Site Design BMPs
- Buildings, Roof Lines, Downspouts
- Impervious Surfaces
- Pervious Surfaces (i.e. Landscaping)
- Standard Labeling
- Cross Section and Outlet details

Use your discretion on whether or not you may need to create multiple sheets or can appropriately accommodate these features on one or two sheets. Keep in mind that the Copermittee plan reviewer must be able to easily analyze your Project utilizing this template and its associated site plans and maps. Complete the checklists in Appendix 1 to verify that all exhibits and components are included.

### A.2 Identify Receiving Waters

Using Table A-1 below, list in order of upstream to downstream, the Receiving Waters that the Project site is tributary to. Continue to fill each row with the Receiving Water's 303(d) listed impairments (if any), designated Beneficial Uses, and proximity, if any, to a RARE Beneficial Use. Include a map of the Receiving Waters in Appendix 1. This map should identify the path of the stormwater discharged from the site all the way to the outlet of the Santa Margarita River to the Pacific Ocean. Use the most recent 303(d) list available from the State Water Resources Control Board Website.

(http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/water\_issues/programs/basin\_plan/)

Receiving Waters	USEPA Approved 303(d) List Impairments	Designated Beneficial Uses	Proximity to RARE Beneficial Use
Santa Gertrudis Creek	Indicator Bacteria, Chlorpyrifos, Copper, Iron, Manganese, Nitrogen, Phosphorus	MUN, AGR, IND, PROC, REC1, REC2, WARM, WILD	46.7 Miles
Murrieta Creek	Indicator Bacteria, Chlorpyrifos, Copper, Iron, Manganese, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Toxicity	MUN, AGR, IND, PROC, REC2, WARM, WILD	
Santa Margarita River	Benthic Community Effects, Chlorpyrifos, Indicator Bacteria, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Toxicity, Iron, Manganese,	MUN, AGR, IND, PROC, REC1, REC2, WARM, COLD, WILD, RARE	

Table A-1 Identification of Receiving Waters

### A.3 Drainage System Susceptibility to Hydromodification

Using Table A-2 below, list in order of the point of discharge at the project site down to the Santa Margarita River<sup>2</sup>, each drainage system or receiving water that the project site is tributary to. Continue to fill each row with the material of the drainage system, and any exemption (if applicable). Based on the results, summarize the applicable hydromodification performance standards that will be documented in Section E. Exempted categories of receiving waters include:

- Existing storm drains that discharge directly to water storage reservoirs, lakes, or enclosed embayments, or
- Conveyance channels whose bed and bank are concrete lined all the way from the point of discharge to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.
- Other water bodies identified in an approved WMAA (See Exhibit G to the WQMP)

Include a map exhibiting each drainage system and the associated susceptibility in Appendix 1.

Drainage System	Drainage System Material	Hydromodification Exemption	Hydromodification Exempt
Santa Gurtrudis Creek 12.63 Miles	Combination natural and improved open channel	7-330 Gallon IBC Tote Harvest and Use Containers will be utilized to collect 4,096sf Tasting Room Roof Design Capture Runoff.	⊠Y □N
Murrieta Creek 3.5 Miles	Combination levee or lined sidewall improved open channel	Per Exhibit G-2 Santa Margarita Watershed Hydromodification Exempt Reaches Exhibit	⊠Y □N
Santa Margarita River 30.6 Miles	Natural river beginning at Temecula southern border and extend west through Camp Pendleton to Pacific Ocean	Per Exhibit G-2 Santa Margarita Watershed Hydromodification Exempt Reaches Exhibit	⊠Y □N
Summary of Perform	nance Standards		
Hydromodification Exempt – Select if "Y" is selected in the Hydromodification Exempt column above, project is exempt from hydromodification requirements.			
<b>Not Exempt</b> -Select if "N" is selected in any row of the Hydromodification Exempt column above. Project is subject to hydrologic control requirements and may be subject to sediment supply requirements.			

#### Table A-2 Identification of Susceptibility to Hydromodification

### A.4 Additional Permits/Approvals required for the Project:

Table A-3 Other Applicable Permits		
Agency	Permit Re	quired
State Department of Fish and Game, 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement	□ Y	N 🛛
State Water Resources Control Board, Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification	ΓY	N 🛛

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Refer to Exhibit G of the WQMP for a map of exempt and potentially exempt areas. These maps are from the Draft SMR WMAA as of January 5, 2018 and will be replaced upon acceptance of the SMR WMAA.

#### Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Project Title

US Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit	ΠY	N 🛛
US Fish and Wildlife, Endangered Species Act Section 7 Biological Opinion	□ Y	N 🛛
Statewide Construction General Permit Coverage	<u>М</u> ү	<u> </u>
Statewide Industrial General Permit Coverage		N 🛛
Western Riverside MSHCP Consistency Approval (e.g., JPR, DBESP)		<b>N</b>
Other (please list in the space below as required) Preliminary WQMP For Entitlements		□ N

If yes is answered to any of the questions above, the Copermittee may require proof of approval/coverage from those agencies as applicable including documentation of any associated requirements that may affect this Project-Specific WQMP.

### Section B: Optimize Site Utilization (LID Principles)

Review of the information collected in Section 'A' will aid in identifying the principal constraints on site design and selection of LID BMPs as well as opportunities to reduce imperviousness and incorporate LID Principles into the site and landscape design. For example, **constraints** might include impermeable soils, high groundwater, groundwater pollution or contaminated soils, steep slopes, geotechnical instability, high-intensity land use, heavy pedestrian or vehicular traffic, utility locations or safety concerns. **Opportunities** might include existing natural areas, low areas, oddly configured or otherwise unbuildable parcels, easements and landscape amenities including open space and buffers (which can double as locations for LID Bioretention BMPs), and differences in elevation (which can provide hydraulic head). Prepare a brief narrative for each of the site optimization strategies described below. This narrative will help you as you proceed with your Low Impact Development (LID) design and explain your design decisions to others.

Apply the following LID Principles to the layout of the PDP to the extent they are applicable and feasible. Putting thought upfront about how best to organize the various elements of a site can help to significantly reduce the PDP's potential impact on the environment and reduce the number and size of Structural LID BMPs that must be implemented. Integrate opportunities to accommodate the following LID Principles within the preliminary PDP site layout to maximize implementation of LID Principles.

#### **Site Optimization**

Complete checklist below to determine applicable Site Design BMPs for your site.

#### **Project- Specific WQMP Site Design BMP Checklist**

The following questions below are based upon Section 3.2 of the SMR WQMP will help you determine how to best optimize your site and subsequently identify opportunities and/or constraints, and document compliance.

#### SITE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

Answer the following questions below by indicating "Yes," "No," or "N/A" (Not Applicable). Justify all "No" and "N/A" answers by inserting a narrative at the end of the section. The narrative should include identification and justification of any constraints that would prevent the use of those categories of LID BMPs. Upon identifying Site Design BMP opportunities, include these on your WQMP Site plan in Appendix 1.

	Did you identify and preserve existing drainage patterns?	
	Integrating existing drainage patterns into the site plan helps to maintain the time of concentration and infiltration rates of runoff, decreasing peak flows, and may also help preserve the contribution of Critical Coarse Sediment (i.e., Bed Sediment Supply) from the PDP to the Receiving Water. Preserve existing drainage patterns by:	
⊠Yes □No □N/A	<ul> <li>Minimizing unnecessary site grading that would eliminate small depressions, where appropriate add additional "micro" storage throughout the site landscaping.</li> <li>Where possible conform the PDP site layout along natural landforms, avoid excessive grading and disturbance of vegetation and soils, preserve or replicate the sites natural drainage features and patterns.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Set back PDP improvements from creeks, wetlands, riparian habitats and any other natural water bodies</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Use existing and proposed site drainage patterns as a natural design element, rather than using expensive impervious conveyance systems. Use depressed landscaped areas, vegetated buffers, and bioretention areas as amenities and focal points within the site and landscape design.</li> </ul>	
Discuss how this was in Minimum grading to p	ncluded or provide a discussion/justification for "No" or "N/A" answer.	
	Did you identify and protect existing vegetation?	
🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A	Identify any areas containing dense native vegetation or well-established trees, and try to avoid disturbing these areas. Soils with thick, undisturbed vegetation have a much higher capacity to store and infiltrate runoff than do disturbed soils. Reestablishment of a mature vegetative community may take decades. Sensitive areas, such as streams and floodplains should also be avoided.	
	<ul> <li>Define the development envelope and protected areas, identifying areas that are most suitable for development and areas that should be left undisturbed.</li> <li>Establish setbacks and buffer zones surrounding sensitive areas.</li> <li>Preserve significant trees and other natural vegetation where possible.</li> </ul>	
Discuss how this was included or provide a discussion/justification for "No" or "N/A" answer. Yes Existing Vineyard will be preserved and expanded.		



	Project- Specific WQMP Site Design BMP Checklist
	<b>Did you identify and disperse runoff to adjacent pervious areas or small collection areas?</b> Look for opportunities to direct runoff from impervious areas to adjacent landscaping, other pervious areas, or small collection areas where such runoff may be retained. This is sometimes referred to as reducing Directly Connected Impervious Areas.
⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	<ul> <li>Direct roof runoff into landscaped areas such as medians, parking islands, planter boxes, etc., and/or areas of pervious paving. Instead of having landscaped areas raised above the surrounding impervious areas, design them as depressed areas that can receive Runoff from adjacent impervious pavement. For example, a lawn or garden depressed 3"-4" below surrounding walkways or driveways provides a simple but quite functional landscape design element.</li> <li>Detain and retain runoff throughout the site. On flatter sites, smaller Structural BMPs may be interspersed in landscaped areas among the buildings and paving.</li> <li>On hillside sites, drainage from upper areas may be collected in conventional catch basins and piped to landscaped areas and LID BMPs and/or Hydrologic Control BMPs in lower areas. Low retaining walls may also be used to create terraces that can accommodate LID BMPs. Wherever possible, direct drainage from landscaped slopes offsite and not to impervious surfaces like parking lots.</li> <li>Reduce curb maintenance and provide for allowances for curb cuts.</li> <li>Design landscaped areas or other pervious areas to receive and infiltrate runoff from nearby impervious areas.</li> <li>Use Tree Wells to intercept, infiltrate, and evapotranspire precipitation and runoff before it reaches structural BMPs. Tree wells can be used to limit the size of Drainage Management Areas that must be treated by structural BMPs. Guidelines for Tree Wells are included in the Tree Well Fact Sheet in the LID BMP Design Handbook.</li> </ul>
Discuss how this was	included or provide a discussion/justification for "No" or "N/A" answer. Roof drains to
7-330 Gallon IBC Tote vineyard. Concrete sto	Containers with crush pad draining to a holding tank. ADA parking drains to northerly ep outs drain to southerly vineyard.
	Did you utilize native or drought tolerant species in site landscaping?
⊠Yes □No □N/A	Wherever possible, use native or drought tolerant species within site landscaping instead of alternatives. These plants are uniquely suited to local soils and climate and can reduce the overall demands for potable water use associated with irrigation.
Discuss how this was are primary source of	included or provide a discussion/justification for "No" or "N/A" answer. The vineyards landscaping.

	Project- Specific WQMP Site Design BMP Checklist
	Did implement harvest and use of runoff?
	Under the Regional MS4 Permit, Harvest and Use BMPs must be employed to reduce runoff on any site where they are applicable and feasible. However, Harvest and Use BMPs are effective for retention of stormwater runoff only when there is adequate demand for non-potable water during the wet season. If demand for non-potable water is not sufficiently large, the actual retention of stormwater runoff will be diminished during larger storms or during back- to-back storms.
	For the purposes of planning level Harvest and Use BMP feasibility screening, Harvest and Use is only considered to be a feasible if the total average wet season demand for non-potable water is sufficiently large to use the entire DCV within 72 hours. If the average wet season demand for non-potable water is not sufficiently large to use the entire DCV within 72 hours, then Harvest and Use is not considered to be feasible and need not be considered further.
	The general feasibility and applicability of Harvest and Use BMPs should consider:
	<ul> <li>Any downstream impacts related to water rights that could arise from capturing stormwater (not common).</li> </ul>
	• Conflicts with recycled water used – where the project is conditioned to use recycled water for irrigation, this should be given priority over stormwater capture as it is a year-round supply of water.
	<ul> <li>Code Compliance - If a particular use of captured stormwater, and/or available methods for storage of captured stormwater would be contrary to building codes in effect at the time of approval of the preliminary Project-Specific WQMP, then an evaluation of harvesting and use for that use would not be required.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Wet season demand – the applicant shall demonstrate, to the acceptance of the County of Riverside, that there is adequate demand for harvested water during the wet season to drain the system in a reasonable amount of time.</li> </ul>
Discuss how this was IBC Tote Containers w	included or provide a discussion/justification for "No" or "N/A" answer. 7-330 Gallon vill be utilized to capture roof runoff and utilized for irrigation purposes.
	Did you keep the runoff from sediment producing pervious area hydrologically separate from developed areas that require treatment?
🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A	Pervious area that qualify as self-treating areas or off-site open space should be kept separate from drainage to structural BMPs whenever possible. This helps limit the required size of structural BMPs, helps avoid impacts to sediment supply, and helps reduce clogging risk to BMPs.
Discuss how this was included or provide a discussion/justification for "No" or "N/A" answer. Roof drains to 7-330 Gallon IBC Tote Containers with crush pad draining to a holding tank.	

# Section C: Delineate Drainage Management Areas (DMAs) & Green Streets

This section provides streamlined guidance and documentation of the DMA delineation and categorization process, for additional information refer to the procedure in Section 3.3 of the SMR WQMP which discusses the methods of delineating and mapping your project site into individual DMAs. Complete Steps 1 to 4 to successfully delineate and categorize DMAs.

#### Step 1: Identify Surface Types and Drainage Pathways

Carefully delineate pervious areas and impervious areas (including roofs) throughout site and identify overland flow paths and above ground and below ground conveyances. Also identify common points (such as BMPs) that these areas drain to.

#### **Step 2: DMA Delineation**

Use the information in Step 1 to divide the entire PDP site into individual, discrete DMAs. Typically, lines delineating DMAs follow grade breaks and roof ridge lines. Where possible, establish separate DMAs for each surface type (e.g., landscaping, pervious paving, or roofs). Assign each DMA a unique code and determine its size in square feet. The total area of your site should total the sum of all of your DMAs (unless water from outside the project limits comingles with water from inside the project limits, i.e. run-on). Complete Table C-1

DMA Name or Identification	Surface Type(s) <sup>1</sup>	Area (Sq. Ft.)	DMA Туре
Tasting Room	Roof	4,096 Square Feet	
Crush Pad	Concrete	2,660 Square Feet	To be
Enter Unique Code	Enter Pervious, Impervious, or Mixed	Enter Area in Square Feet	Determined
Enter Unique Code	Enter Pervious, Impervious, or Mixed	Enter Area in Square Feet	betermined
Enter Unique Code	Enter Pervious, Impervious, or Mixed	Enter Area in Square Feet	in step 3
Enter Unique Code	Enter Pervious, Impervious, or Mixed	Enter Area in Square Feet	

#### Table C-1 DMA Identification

Add Columns as Needed. Consider a separate DMA for Tree Wells or other LID principals like Self-Retaining areas are used for mitigation.

#### **Step 3: DMA Classification**

Determine how drainage from each DMA will be handled by using information from Steps 1 and 2 and by completing Steps 3.A to 3.C. Each DMA will be classified as one of the following four types:

- Type 'A': Self-Treating Areas:
- Type 'C': Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas
- Type 'B': Self-Retaining Areas
- Type 'D': Areas Draining to BMPs

Tree wells are considered Type 'B' areas, and their tributary areas limited to a 10:1 ratio are considered Type 'C' areas. If Tree wells are proposed, consider grading or other features to minimize the pervious runoff to the tree wells, to avoid overwhelming the trees. Type 'A', 'B', and 'C' are considered LID Principals that can be used to minimize or potentially eliminate structural LID BMPs.

If Tree wells are proposed, a landscape architect shall be consulted on the tree selection, since compliance will be determined based on the survival of the tree. The tree type should be noted on the WQMP site map.

#### Step 3.A – Identify Type 'A' Self-Treating Area

Indicate if the DMAs meet the following criteria by answering "Yes" or "No".

🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Area is undisturbed from their natural condition OR restored with Native and/or California Friendly vegetative covers.
🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Area is irrigated, if at all, with appropriate low water use irrigation systems to prevent irrigation runoff.
🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Runoff from the area will not comingle with runoff from the developed portion of the site, or across other landscaped areas that do not meet the above criteria.

If all answers indicate "Yes," complete Table C-2 to document the DMAs that are classified as Self-Treating Areas.

DMA Name or Identification	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Stabilization Type	Irrigation Type (if any)
Crush Pad	2,660	Holding Tank	N/A
Tasting Room Roof	4,096	7-330 Gallon IBC Tote Tanks	N/A

#### Table C-2 Type 'A', Self-Treating Areas

#### Step 3.B – Identify Type 'B' Self-Retaining Area and Type 'C' Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas

Type 'B' Self-Retaining Area: A Self-Retaining Area is shallowly depressed 'micro infiltration' areas designed to retain the Design Storm rainfall that reaches the area, without producing any Runoff.

Indicate if the DMAs meet the following criteria by answering "Yes," "No," or "N/A".

Yes No N/A	Inlet elevations of area/overflow drains, if any, should be clearly specified to be three inches or more above the low point to promote ponding.
Yes No N/A	Soils will be freely draining to not create vector or nuisance conditions.
Yes No N/A	Pervious pavements (e.g., crushed stone, porous asphalt, pervious concrete, or permeable pavers) can be self-retaining when constructed with a gravel base course four or more inches deep below any underdrain discharge elevation.

If all answers indicate "Yes," DMAs may be categorized as Type 'B', proceed to identify Type 'C' Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas.

Type 'C' Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas: Runoff from impervious or partially pervious areas can be managed by routing it to Self-Retaining Areas consistent with the LID Principle discussed in SMR WQMP Section 3.2.5 for 'Dispersing Runoff to Adjacent Pervious Areas'.

Indicate if the DMAs meet the following criteria by answering "Yes" or "No".

Yes No	The drainage from the tributary area must be directed to and dispersed within the Self-Retaining Area.
Yes No	The maximum ratio of Tributary Area to Self-Retaining area is (2 ÷ Impervious Fraction): 1

If all answers indicate "Yes," DMAs may be categorized as Type 'C'.

Complete Table C-3 and Table C-4 to identify Type 'B' Self-Retaining Areas and Type 'C' Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas.

Table C-3	Туре	'Β',	Self-Retaining Areas	
-----------	------	------	----------------------	--

Self-Retaining Area			Type 'C' DMA	s that are drain Area	ing to the Self-Retaining	
DMA		Area (square feet)	Storm Depth (inches)		[C] from Table C-4=	Required Retention Depth (inches)
Name/ ID	Post-project surface type	[A]	[B]	DMA Name / ID	[C]	$[D] = [B] + \frac{[B] \cdot [C]}{[A]}$

<u>Note</u>: Tree well areas can extend well beyond the drip line. The Tree Well area for open top types would include the shallow depressed area at the soil surface. The Tree Well area for Structural Soil Tree Wells or Suspended Pavement Tree Wells includes the area with open-graded gravel or void space over the structural soil or structural cells. Please specify type in this table and WQMP site map. See LID handbook Tree Well factsheet for additional details.

 $\left(\frac{2}{Impervious \ Fraction}
ight)$ : 1

(Tributary Area: Self-Retaining Area)

DMA				Receivir	ng Self-Retainin	g DMA	
MA Name/ ID	Area (square feet)	<sup>o</sup> ost-project surface type	Runoff factor	Product		Area (square feet)	Ratio
ā	[A]	_ 0	[B]	[C] = [A] x [B]	DMA name /ID	[D]	[C]/[D]

Table C-4 Type 'C', Areas that Drain to Self-Retaining Areas

<u>Note:</u> (See Section 3.3 of SMR WQMP) Ensure that partially pervious areas draining to a Self-Retaining area do not exceed the following ratio:

#### Step 3.B.1 – Document the use of Green Street Exemption (see Section 3.11 of the WQMP Guidance)

The Regional MS4 Permit specifies that projects that consist of **retrofitting or redevelopment of existing paved alleys, streets, or roads** may be exempted from classification as PDPs if they are designed and constructed in accordance with USEPA Green Streets Guidance. This does not apply for interior roads for PDP projects. For projects with road frontage improvements, Green Street standards can be used in the frontage road right-of-way. The remainder of the project is subject to full WQMP and Hydromodification requirements. See excerpt from Section 3.11 of the WQMP Guidance below:

#### 3.11.4 BMP Sizing Targets for Applicable Green Streets Projects

Applicable green street projects are not required to meet the same sizing requirements for BMPs as other projects, but should attempt to meet a sizing target to the MEP. The following steps are used to size BMPs for applicable Green Streets projects:

- 1. Delineate drainage areas tributary to BMP locations and compute imperviousness.
- 2. Determine sizing goal by referring to sizing criteria presented in Section 2.3.2 ( $V_{BMP}$ ).
- 3. Attempt to provide the target BMP sizing according to Step 2.
- 4. If the target criteria cannot be achieved, document the constraints that override the application of BMPs, and provide the largest portion of the sizing criteria that can be reasonably provided given constraints.

Even if BMPs cannot be sized to meet the target sizing criteria, it is still important to design the BMP inlet, energy dissipation, and overflow capacity for the full tributary area to ensure that flooding and scour is avoided. It is strongly recommended that BMPs which are designed to less than their target design volume be designed to bypass peak flows.

DMA Name or ID	Street Name	BMPSizingTargetsCalculationsand documentingconstraintsincludedAppendix 6*
		Yes No
*WQMP shall not be app	proved without calculations or documenting constraints	for Green Street Exemption.

#### Table C-4.1 – Green Streets

#### Step 3.C – Identify Type 'D' Areas Draining to BMPs

Areas draining to BMPs are those that could not be fully managed through LID Principles (DMA Types A through C) and will instead drain to an LID BMP and/or a Conventional Treatment BMP designed to manage water quality impacts from that area, and Hydromodification where necessary.

Complete Table C-5 to document which DMAs are classified as Areas Draining to BMPs

#### Table C-5 Type 'D', Areas Draining to BMPs

	0
DMA Name or ID	BMP Name or ID Receiving Runoff from DMA

<u>Note</u>: More than one DMA may drain to a single LID BMP; however, one DMA may not drain to more than one BMP.

### **Section D: Implement LID BMPs**

The Regional MS4 Permit requires the use of LID BMPs to provide retention or treatment of the DCV and includes a BMP hierarchy which requires Full Retention BMPs (Priority 1) to be considered before Biofiltration BMPs (Priority 2) and Flow-Through Treatment BMPs and Alternative Compliance BMPs (Priority 3). LID BMP selection must be based on technical feasibility and should be considered early in the site planning and design process. Use this section to document the selection of LID BMPs for each DMA. Note that feasibility is based on the DMA scale and may vary between DMAs based on site conditions.

### **D.1 Full Infiltration Applicability**

An assessment of the feasibility of utilizing full infiltration BMPs is required for all projects, *except where it can be shown that site design LID principles fully retain the DCV (i.e., all DMAs are Type A, B, or C), or where Harvest and Use BMPs fully retain the DCV. Check the following box if applicable:* 

Site design LID principles or Tree Wells fully retain the DCV (i.e., all DMAs are Type A, B, or C), (Proceed to Section E).

If the above box remains unchecked, perform a site-specific evaluation of the feasibility of Infiltration BMPs using each of the applicable criteria identified in Chapter 2.3.3 of the SMR WQMP and complete the remainder of Section D.1.

#### **Geotechnical Report**

A Geotechnical Report or Phase I Environmental Site Assessment may be required by the Copermittee to confirm present and past site characteristics that may affect the use of Infiltration BMPs. In addition, the Copermittee, at their discretion, may not require a geotechnical report for small projects as described in Chapter 2 of the SMR WQMP. If a geotechnical report has been prepared, include it in Appendix 3. In addition, if a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment has been prepared, include it in Appendix 4.

#### **Infiltration Feasibility**

Table D-1 below is meant to provide a simple means of assessing which DMAs on your site support Infiltration BMPs and is discussed in the SMR WQMP in Chapter 2.3.3. Check the appropriate box for each question and then list affected DMAs as applicable. If additional space is needed, add a row below the corresponding answer.

Table D-1 Infiltration Feasibility		
Downstream Impacts (SMR WQMP Section 2.3.3.a)		
Does the project site	YES	NO
have any DMAs where infiltration would negatively impact downstream water rights or other Beneficial Uses <sup>3</sup> ?		Х
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
Groundwater Protection (SMR WQMP Section 2.3.3.b)		
Does the project site	YES	NO
have any DMAs with industrial, and other land uses that pose a high threat to water quality, which cannot be		Х
treated by Bioretention BMPs? Or have DMAs with active industrial process areas?		
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have any DMAs with a seasonal high groundwater mark shallower than 10 feet?		Х
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have any DMAs located within 100 feet horizontally of a water supply well?		Х
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have any DMAs that would restrict BMP locations to within a 2:1 (horizontal: vertical) influence line extending		х
from any septic leach line?	L	
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have any DMAs been evaluated by a licensed Geotechnical Engineer, or Environmental Engineer, who has		Х
concluded that the soils do not have adequate physical and chemical characteristics for the protection of		
groundwater, and has treatment provided by amended media layers in Bioretention BMPs been considered		
in evaluating this factor?	<u> </u>	
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
Public Safety and Offsite Improvements (SMR WQMP Section 2.3.3.c)		
Does the project site	YES	NO
have any areas identified by the geotechnical report as posing a public safety risk where infiltration of		х
stormwater could have a negative impact, such as potential seepage through fill conditions?	<b></b>	
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
Infiltration Characteristics For LID BMPs (SMR WQMP Section 2.3.3.d)		
Does the project site	YES	NO
have measured infiltration rates of less than 2.4 inches / hour?		N/A
Riverside County may allow measure rates as low as 0.8in/hr to support infiltration BMPs, if the Engineer believes		
infiltration is appropriate and sustainable. Mark no, if this is the case.		
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		1
Cut/Fill Conditions (SMR WQMP Section 2.3.3.e)		
Does the project site	YES	NO
have significant cut and/or fill conditions that would preclude in-situ testing of infiltration rates at the final		Х
infiltration surface?	<b> </b>	
If Yes, list affected DMAs:	L	
Other Site-Specific Factors (SMR WQMP Section 2.3.3.f)		
Does the project site	YES	NO
have DMAs where the geotechnical investigation discovered other site-specific factors that would preclude		х
Precuve and/or sale initiation?	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Describe nere:		

If you answered "Yes" to any of the questions above for any DMA, Infiltration BMPs that rely solely on infiltration should not be used for those DMAs and you should proceed to the assessment for Biofiltration BMPs below. Biofiltration BMPs that provide partial infiltration may still be feasible and should be assessed in Section D.2. Summarize concerns identified in the Geotechnical Report, if any, that resulted in a "YES" response above in the table below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such a condition must be substantiated by sufficient modeling to demonstrate an impact and would be subject to County of Riverside discretion. There is not a standardized method for assessing this criterion. Water rights evaluations should be site-specific.

able D-2 Geotechnical Concerns for Onsite Infiltration						
Type of Geotechnical Concern	DMAs Feasible (By Name or ID)	DMAs Infeasible (By Name or ID)				
Collapsible Soil						
Expansive Soil						
Slopes						
Liquefaction						
Low Infiltration Rate						
Other						

### **D.2** Biofiltration Applicability

This section should document the applicability of biofiltration BMPs for Type D DMAs that are not feasible for full infiltration BMPs. The key decisions to be documented in this section include:

- 1. Are biofiltration BMPs with partial infiltration feasible?
  - a. Biofiltration BMPs must be designed to maximize incidental infiltration via a partial infiltration design unless it is demonstrated that this design is not feasible.
  - b. These designs can be used at sites with low infiltration rates where other feasibility factors do not preclude incidental infiltration.

Document summary in Table D-3.

- 2. If not, what are the factors that require the use of biofiltration with no infiltration? This may include:
  - a. Geotechnical hazards
  - b. Water rights issues
  - c. Water balance issues
  - d. Soil contamination or groundwater quality issues
  - e. Very low infiltration rates (factored rates < 0.1 in/hr)
  - f. Other factors, demonstrated to the acceptance of the local jurisdiction

If this applies to any DMAs, then rationale must be documented in Table D-3.

- 3. Are biofiltration BMPs infeasible?
  - a. If yes, then provide a site-specific analysis demonstrating the technical infeasibility of all LID BMPs has been performed and is included in Appendix 5. If you plan to submit an analysis demonstrating the technical infeasibility of LID BMPs, request a pre-submittal meeting with the Copermittee with jurisdiction over the Project site to discuss this option. Proceed below.

Table D-3         Evaluation of Biofiltrati	on BMP Feasibility	
	Is Partial/	
	Incidental	
	Infiltration	
	Allowable?	Basis for Infeasibility of Partial Infiltration (provide summary and
DMA ID	(Y/N)	include supporting basis if partial infiltration not feasible)
Insert text here		

#### **Proprietary Biofiltration BMP Approval Criteria**

Does the Co-Permittee allow Proprietary BMPs as an equivalent to Biofiltration, if specific criteria is met?

Yes or No, if no skip to Section F to document your alternative compliance measures.

If the project will use proprietary BMPs as biofiltration BMPs, then this section and Appendix 5 shall be completed to document that the proprietary BMPs are selected in accordance with Section 2.3.6 of the SMR WQMP and County requirements. Proprietary Biofiltration BMPs must meet both of the following approval criteria:

- 1. Demonstrate equivalency to Biofiltration by completing the BMP Design worksheet and Proprietary Biofiltration Criteria, which is found in Appendix 5, including all supporting documentation, and
- 2. Obtain Co-Permittee concurrence for the long term Operation and Maintenance Plan for the proprietary BMP. The Co-Permittee has the sole discretion to allow or reject Proprietary BMPs, especially if they will be maintained publically through a CFD, CSA, or L&LMD.

Add additional rows to Table D-4 to document approval criteria are met for each type of BMP proposed.

Proposed Proprietary	Approval Critoria	Notes/Comments	
<b>Biofiltration BMP</b>	Approvarentena	Notes/comments	
Insert BMP Name and Manufacturer Here	BMP Design worksheets and Proprietary Biofiltration Criteria are completed in Appendix 5 Proposed BMP has an active TAPE GULD Certification for the project pollutants of concern <sup>4</sup> or equivalent 3 <sup>rd</sup> party demonstrated performance. Is there any media or cartridge required to maintain the function of the BMP sole- sourced or proprietary in any way? If yes, obtain explicit approval by the Agency. Potentially full replacement costs to a non- proprietary BMP needs to be considered.	<ul> <li>Yes or No</li> <li>Insert text here</li> <li>Yes or No</li> <li>Insert text here</li> <li>Yes or No</li> <li>Yes or No</li> <li>If yes, provide the date of concurrence from the Co-Permittee.</li> <li>Insert date here</li> </ul>	
	The BMP includes biological features	Describe features here.	

#### Table D-4 Proprietary BMP Approval Requirement Summary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Use Table F-1, F-2, and F-3 to identify and document the pollutants of concern and include these tables in Appendix 5.

including vegetation supported by	
engineered or other growing media.	

### **D.3 Feasibility Assessment Summaries**

From the Infiltration, Biofiltration with Partial Infiltration and Biofiltration with No Infiltration Sections above, complete Table D-5 below to summarize which LID BMPs are technically feasible, and which are not, based upon the established hierarchy.

Table D-S LID Phonuzation Summary Matrix							
	LID		LID BMP Hierarchy				
	Principles or Tree	1. Infiltration	<ol><li>Biofiltration with Partial</li></ol>	<ol> <li>Biofiltration with No</li> </ol>	No LID (Alternative Compliance)		
DMA Name/ID	wells		Infiltration*	Infiltration*			
Crush Pad	$\square$						
Tasting Room	$\square$						
Insert text here							
Insert text here							

Table D-5 LID Prioritization Summary Matrix

\*Includes Proprietary Biofiltration, if accepted by the Co-Permittee.

For those DMAs where LID BMPs are not feasible, provide a narrative in Table D-6 below summarizing why they are not feasible, include your technical infeasibility criteria in Appendix 5, and proceed to Section F below to document Alternative Compliance measures for those DMAs. Recall that each proposed DMA must pass through the LID BMP hierarchy before alternative compliance measures may be considered.

This is based on the clarification letter titled "San Diego Water Board's Expectations of Documentation to Support a Determination of Priority Development Project Infiltration Infeasibility" (April 28, 2017, Via email from San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board to San Diego County Municipal Storm Water Copermittees<sup>5</sup>).

Table D-6	Summary	of Infeasibility	Documentation
-----------	---------	------------------	---------------

		Narrative Summary (include reference to applicable
	Question	appendix/attachment/report, as applicable)
a)	When in the entitlement process	No
	did a geotechnical engineer analyze	
	the site for infiltration feasibility?	
b)	When in the entitlement process were other investigations conducted (e.g., groundwater quality, water rights) to evaluate	No
	infiltration feasibility?	
c)	What was the scope and results of testing, if conducted, or rationale	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>http://www.projectcleanwater.org/download/pdp-infiltration-infeasibility/</u>

	for why testing was not needed to reach findings?	
d)	What public health and safety requirements affected infiltration locations?	N/A
e)	What were the conclusions and recommendations of the geotechnical engineer and/or other professional responsible for other investigations?	N/A
f)	What was the history of design discussions between the permittee and applicant for the proposed project, resulting in the final design determination related locations feasible for infiltration?	N/A
g)	What site design alternatives were considered to achieve infiltration or partial infiltration on site?	N/A
h)	What physical impairments (i.e., fire road egress, public safety considerations, utilities) and public safety concerns influenced site layout and infiltration feasibility?	N/A
i)	What LID Principles (site design BMPs) were included in the project site design?	N/A

### **D.4 LID BMP Sizing**

Each LID BMP must be designed to ensure that the DCV will be captured by the selected BMPs with no discharge to the storm drain or surface waters during the DCV size storm. Infiltration BMPs must at minimum be sized to capture the DCV to achieve pollutant control requirements.

Biofiltration BMPs must at a minimum be sized to:

- Treat 1.5 times the DCV not reliably retained on site using a volume-base or flow-based sizing method, or
- Include static storage volume, including pore spaces and pre-filter detention volume, at least 0.75 times the portion of the DCV not reliably retained on site.

First, calculate the DCV for each LID BMP using the  $V_{BMP}$  worksheet in Appendix F of the LID BMP Design Handbook. Second, design the LID BMP to meet the required  $V_{BMP}$  using the methods included in Section 3 of the LID BMP Design Handbook. Utilize the worksheets found in the LID BMP Design Handbook or consult with the Copermittee to assist you in correctly sizing your LID BMPs. Use Table D-7 below to document the DCV each LID BMP. Provide the completed design procedure sheets for each LID BMP in Appendix 6. You may add additional rows to the table below as needed.

DMA Type/ID	DMA (square feet) [A]	Post- Project Surface Type	Effective Impervious Fraction, I <sub>f</sub> [B]	DMA Runoff Factor	DMA Areas x Runoff Factor [A] x [C]	Enter Bl	MP Name / Identif	ier Here
						Design Storm Depth (in)	DCV, <b>V</b> <sub>BMP</sub> (cubic feet)	Proposed Volume on Plans (cubic feet)
	$A_T = \Sigma[A]$				Σ= [D]	[E]	$[F] = \frac{[D]x[E]}{12}$	[G]

Table D-7 DCV Calculations for LID BMPs

[B], [C] is obtained as described in Section 2.6.1.b of the SMR WQMP

[E] is obtained from Exhibit A in the SMR WQMP

[G] is obtained from a design procedure sheet, such as in LID BMP Design Handbook and placed in Appendix 6.

Complete Table D-8 below to document the Design Capture Volume and the Proposed Volume for each LID BMP. You can add rows to the table as needed. Alternatively, the Santa Margarita Hydrology Model (SMRHM) can be used to size LID BMPs to address the DCV and, if applicable, to size Hydrologic Control BMPs to meet the Hydrologic Performance Standard described in the SMR WQMP, as identified in Section E.

#### Table D-8 LID BMP Sizing

BMP Name / ID	DMA No.	BMP Type / Description	Design Capture Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Proposed Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )

If bioretention will include a capped underdrain, then include sizing calculations demonstrating that the BMP will meet infiltration sizing requirements with the underdrain capped and also meet biofiltration sizing requirements if the underdrain is uncapped.

### Section E: Implement Hydrologic Control BMPs and Sediment Supply BMPs

#### See Appendix 7 for additional required information.

If a completed Table 1.2 demonstrates that the project is exempt from Hydromodification Performance Standards, specify N/A and proceed to Section G.



If a PDP is not exempt from hydromodification requirements than the PDP must satisfy the requirements of the performance standards for hydrologic control BMPs and Sediment Supply BMPs. The PDP may choose to satisfy hydrologic control requirements using onsite or offsite BMPs (i.e. Alternative Compliance). Sediment supply requirements cannot be met via alternative compliance. If N/A is not selected above, select one of the two options below and complete the applicable sections.

- Project is Not Hydromodification Exempt and chooses to implement Hydrologic Control and Sediment Supply BMPs Onsite (complete Section E).
- Project is Not Hydromodification Exempt and chooses to implement Hydrologic Control Requirements using Alternative Compliance (complete Section F). Selection of this option must be approved by the Copermittee.

### **E.1 Hydrologic Control BMP Selection**

Capture of the DCV and achievement of the Hydrologic Performance Standard may be met by combined and/or separate structural BMPs. The user should consider the full suite of Hydrologic Control BMPs to manage runoff from the post-development condition and meet the Hydrologic Performance Standard identified in this section.

For the Preliminary WQMP, in lieu of preparing detailed routing calculations, the basin size may be estimated as the difference in volume between the pre-development and post-development hydrograph for the 10-year 24-hour storm event plus the Vbmp. This does not relieve the engineer of the responsibility for meeting the full Hydrologic Control requirements during final design.

The Hydrologic Performance Standard consists of matching or reducing the flow duration curve of postdevelopment conditions to that of pre-existing, naturally occurring conditions, for the range of geomorphically significant flows (the low flow threshold runoff event up to the 10-year runoff event). 10% of the 2-year runoff event can be used for the low flow threshold without any justification. Higher low flow thresholds can be used with site-specific analysis, see Section 2.6.2.b of the WQMP guidance document. Select each of the hydrologic control BMP types that are applied to meet the above performance standard on the site.

LID principles as defined in Section 3.2 of the SMR WQMP, including Tree Wells.

Structural LID BMPs that may be modified or enlarged, if necessary, beyond the DCV.

Structural Hydrologic Control BMPs that are distinct from the LID BMPs above. The LID BMP Design Handbook provides information not only on Hydrologic Control BMP design, but also on BMP design to meet the combined LID requirement and Hydrologic Performance Standard. The Handbook specifies the type of BMPs that can be used to meet the Hydrologic Performance Standard.

### E.2 Hydrologic Control BMP Sizing

Hydrologic Control BMPs must be designed to ensure that the flow duration curve of the postdevelopment DMA will not exceed that of the pre-existing, naturally occurring, DMA for the range of geomorphically significant flows. Using SMRHM, (or another acceptable continuous simulation model if approved by the Copermittee) the applicant shall demonstrate that the performance of the Hydrologic Control BMPs complies with the Hydrologic Performance Standard. Complete Table E-1 below and identify, for each DMA, the type of Hydrologic Control BMP, if the SMRHM model confirmed the management (Identified as "passed" in SMRHM), the total volume capacity of the Hydrologic Control BMP, the Hydrologic Control BMP footprint at top floor elevation, and the drawdown time of the Hydrologic Control BMP. SMRHM summary reports should be documented in Appendix 7. Refer to the SMRHM Guidance Document for additional information on SMRHM. You can add rows to the table as needed.

	0					
BMP	DMA	BMP Type / Description	SMRHM*	BMP	BMP	Drawdown
Name / ID	No.		Passed	Volume	Footprint (ac)	time (hr)
				(ac-ft)		

 Table E-1 Hydrologic Control BMP Sizing

\*Or other continuous simulation model, compliant with the WQMP and Permit. If Tree Wells are proposed for some or all of the project, check the box for Tree Wells in Section E.1 and enter each Tree Well DMA in Table E-1 above for the BMP Name/ID, DMA No. and BMP Type/Description. For Tree Wells, leave SMRHM\* Passed Column and the columns to the left blank.

If a bioretention BMP with capped underdrain is used and hydromodification requirements apply, then sizing calculations must demonstrate that the BMP meets flow duration control criteria with the underdrain capped and uncapped. Both calculations must be included.

### E.3 Implement Sediment Supply BMPs

The sediment supply performance standard applies to PDPs for which hydromodification applied that have the potential to impact Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas. Refer to Exhibit G-1 of the WQMP Guidance Document to determine if there are onsite Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas (based on on-going WMAA analysis) or Potential Sediment Source Areas (sites added through the Regional Board review process). Select one of the two options below and include the Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area Exhibit showing your project location in Appendix 7.

- There are no mapped Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas or Potential Sediment Source Areas on the site. Include a copy of Exhibit G - CCSY & PSS Areas in Appendix 7, with the project location marked. If the project is outside of the "Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas and Potential Sediment Source Areas" then check this box. The Sediment Supply Performance Standard is met with no further action is needed.
  - There are mapped Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas or Potential Sediment Source Areas on the site, the Sediment Supply Performance Standard will be met through Option 1 (E.3.1) or Option 2 (E.3.2) below.

# **E.3.1** Option 1: Avoid Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas and Potential Sediment Source Areas

The simplest approach for complying with the Sediment Supply Performance Standard is to avoid impacts to areas identified as Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas or Potential Sediment Supply Areas. If a portion of PDP is identified as a Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area or a Potential Sediment Source Area, that PDP may still achieve compliance with the Sediment Supply Performance Standards if Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas and Potential Sediment Supply Areas are avoided, i.e. areas are not developed and thereby delivery of Critical Coarse Sediment to the receiving waters is not impeded by site developments.

Provide a narrative describing how the PDP has avoided impacts to Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas and/or Potential Sediment Source Areas below.

Insert narrative description here

If it is not feasible to avoid these areas, proceed to Option 2 to complete a Site-Specific Critical Coarse Sediment Analysis.

#### **E.3.2 Option 2: Site-Specific Critical Coarse Sediment Analysis**

Perform a stepwise assessment to ensure the pre-project source(s) of Critical Coarse Sediment (i.e., Bed Sediment Supply) is maintained:

**Step 1:** Identify if the site is an actual verified Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area supplying Bed Sediment Supply to the receiving channel

**Step 1.A** – Is the Bed Sediment of onsite streams similar to that of receiving streams?

Rate the similarity:	🗌 High
	🗌 Medium
	Low

Results from the geotechnical and sieve analysis to be performed both onsite and in the receiving channel should be documented in Appendix 7. Of particular interest, the results of the sieve analysis, the soil erodibility factor, a description of the topographic relief of the project area, and the lithology of onsite soils should be reported in Appendix 7.

□ **Step 1.B** – Are onsite streams capable of delivering Bed Sediment Supply from the site, if any, to the receiving channel?

Rate the potential:	🗌 High
	🗌 Medium
	Low

Results from the analyses of the sediment delivery potential to the receiving channel should be documented in Appendix 7 and identify, at a minimum, the Sediment Source, the distance to the receiving channel, the onsite channel density, the project watershed area, the slope, length, land use, and rainfall intensity.

**Step 1.C** – Will the receiving channel adversely respond to a change in Bed Sediment Load?

Rate the need for bed sediment supply:

High
Medium
Low

Results from the in-stream analysis to be performed both onsite should be documented in Appendix 7. The analysis should, at a minimum, quantify the bank stability and the degree of incision, provide a gradation of the Bed Sediment within the receiving channel, and identify if the channel is sediment supply-limited.

#### **Step 1.D** – Summary of Step 1

Summarize in Table E.3 the findings of Step 1 and associate a score (in parenthesis) to each step. The sum of the three individual scores determines if a stream is a significant contributor to the receiving stream.

- Sum is equal to or greater than eight Site is a significant source of sediment bed material all on-site streams must be preserved or by-passed within the site plan. The applicant shall proceed to Step 2 for all onsite streams.
- Sum is greater than five but lower than eight. Site is a source of sediment bed material some of the on-site streams must be preserved (with identified streams noted). The applicant shall proceed to Step 2 for the identified streams only.
- Sum is equal to or lower than five. Site is not a significant source of sediment bed material. The applicant may advance to Section F.

 Table E-2 Triad Assessment Summary

Step	Rating	Total Score

1.A	🗌 High (3)	🗌 Medium (2)	🗌 Low (1)	
1.B	🗌 High (3)	🗌 Medium (2)	🗌 Low (1)	
1.C	🗌 High (3)	🗌 Medium (2)	🗌 Low (1)	
Significant Source				

**Step 2:** Avoid Development of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas, Potential Sediment Sources Areas, and Preserve Pathways for Transport of Bed Sediment Supply to Receiving Waters

Onsite streams identified as a actual verified Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas should be avoided in the site design and transport pathways for Critical Coarse Sediment should be preserved

Check those that apply:

The site design does avoid all onsite channels identified as actual verified Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas **AND** 

The drainage design bypasses flow and sediment from onsite upstream drainages identified as actual verified Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas to maintain Critical Coarse Sediment supply to receiving waters

(If both are yes, the applicant may disregard subsequent steps of Section E.3 and directly advance directly to Section G)

Or -

Provide in Appendix 7 a site map that identifies all onsite channels and highlights those onsite channels that were identified as a Significant Source of Bed Sediment. The site map shall demonstrate, if feasible, that the site design avoids those onsite channels identified as a Significant Source of Bed Sediment. In addition, the applicant shall describe the characteristics of each onsite channel identified as a Significant Source of Bed Sediment. If the design plan cannot avoid the onsite channels, please provide a rationale for each channel individually.

The site map shall demonstrate that the drainage design bypasses those onsite channels that supply Critical Coarse Sediment to the receiving channel(s). In addition, the applicant shall describe the characteristics of each onsite channel identified as an actual verified Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area.

Identified Channel #1 - Insert narrative description here

Identified Channel #2 - Insert narrative description here

The site design **does NOT avoid** all onsite channels identified as actual verified Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas

OR

The project blocks the potential for Critical Coarse Sediment from migrating to receiving waters.

(If either of these are the case, the applicant shall continue completing this section).

#### E.3.3 Sediment Supply BMPs to Result in No Net Impact to Downstream Receiving Waters

If impacts to Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas cannot be avoided, sediment supply BMPs must be implemented such there is no net impact to receiving waters. Sediment supply BMPs may consist of approaches that permit flux of bed sediment supply from Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas within the project boundary. This approach is subject to acceptance by the County of Riverside. It may require extensive documentation and analysis by gualified professionals to support this demonstration.

Appendix H of the San Diego Model BMP Design Manual provides additional information on site-specific investigation of Critical Coarse Sediment Supply areas.

http://www.projectcleanwater.org/download/2018-model-bmp-design-manual/

If applicable, insert narrative description here

Documentation of sediment supply BMPs should be detailed in Appendix 7.

### **Section F: Alternative Compliance**

Alternative Compliance may be used to achieve compliance with pollutant control and/or hydromodification requirements for a given PDP. Alternative Compliance may be used under two scenarios, check the applicable box if the PDP is proposing to use Alternative Compliance to satisfy all or a portion of the Pollutant Control and/or Hydrologic Control requirements (but not sediment supply requirements)

- ☐ If it is not feasible to fully implement Infiltration or Biofiltration BMPs at a PDP site, Flow-Through Treatment Control BMPs may be used to treat pollutants contained in the portion of DCV not reliably retained on site and Alternative Compliance measures must also be implemented to mitigate for those pollutants in the DCV that are not retained or removed on site prior to discharging to a receiving water.
- Alternative Compliance is selected to comply with either pollutant control or hydromodification flow control requirements even if complying with these requirements is potentially feasible on-site. If such voluntary Alternative Compliance is implemented, Flow-Through Treatment Control BMPs must still be used to treat those pollutants in the portion of the DCV not reliably retained on site prior to discharging to a receiving water.

Refer to Section 2.7 of the SMR WQMP and consult the Local Jurisdiction for currently available Alternative Compliance pathways. Coordinate with the Copermittee if electing to participate in Alternative Compliance and complete the sections below to document implementation of the Flow-Through BMP component of the program.

### F.1 Identify Pollutants of Concern

The purpose of this section is to help you appropriately plan for mitigating your Pollutants of Concern in lieu of implementing LID BMPs and to document compliance and.

Utilize Table A-1 from Section A, which noted your project's Receiving Waters, to identify impairments for Receiving Waters (including downstream receiving waters) by completing Table F-1. Table F-1 includes the watersheds identified as impaired in the Approved 2010 303(d) list; check box corresponding with the PDP's receiving water. The most recent 303(d) lists are available from the State Water Resources Control Board website:

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/tmdl/integrated2010.shtml).https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/tmdl/integrated2010.shtml.

Wat	er Body	Nutrients <sup>1</sup>	Metals <sup>2</sup>	Toxicity	Bacteria and Pathogens	Pesticides and Herbicides	Sulfate	Total Dissolved Solids
	De Luz Creek	Х	Х				Х	
	Long Canyon Creek		Х		Х	Х		
	Murrieta Creek	Х	Х	Х		Х		
	Redhawk Channel	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
	Santa Gertudis Creek	Х	Х		Х	Х		
	Santa Margarita Estuary	Х						
	Santa Margarita River (Lower)	Х			Х			
	Santa Margarita River (Upper)	Х		Х				
	Temecula Creek	Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
	Warm Springs Creek	Х	Х		Х	Х		

 Table F-1 Summary of Approved 2010 303(d) listed waterbodies and associated pollutants of concern for the Riverside County

 SMR Region and downstream waterbodies.

<sup>1</sup>Nutrients include nitrogen, phosphorus and eutrophic conditions caused by excess nutrients.

<sup>2</sup> Metals includes copper, iron, and manganese.

Use Table F-2 to identify the pollutants identified with the project site. Indicate the applicable PDP Categories and/or Project Features by checking the boxes that apply. If the identified General Pollutant Categories are the same as those listed for your Receiving Waters, then these will be your Pollutants of Concern; check the appropriate box or boxes in the last row.

Priority Development Project Categories and/or Project Features (check those that apply)		General Pollutant Categories									
		Bacterial Indicators	Metals	Nutrients	Pesticides	Toxic Organic Compounds	Sediments	Trash & Debris	Oil & Grease	Total Dissolved Solids	Sulfate
	Detached Residential Development	Р	N	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	Ν
	Attached Residential Development	Р	N	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	Ρ	Ρ	P <sup>(2)</sup>	Ν	N
	Commercial/Industrial Development	P <sup>(3)</sup>	P <sup>(7)</sup>	P <sup>(1)</sup>	P <sup>(1)</sup>	Р	P <sup>(1)</sup>	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	N
	Automotive Repair Shops	N	Р	Ν	Ν	P <sup>(4, 5)</sup>	Ν	Ρ	Р	Ν	Ν
	Restaurants (>5,000 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Р	N	Ν	P <sup>(1)</sup>	Ν	Ν	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	N
	Hillside Development (>5,000 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Р	N	Р	Ρ	Ν	Р	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	N
	Parking Lots (>5,000 ft <sup>2</sup> )	P <sup>(6)</sup>	P <sup>(7)</sup>	P <sup>(1)</sup>	P <sup>(1)</sup>	P <sup>(4)</sup>	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	N
	Streets, Highways, and Freeways	P <sup>(6)</sup>	P <sup>(7)</sup>	P <sup>(1)</sup>	P <sup>(1)</sup>	P <sup>(4)</sup>	Р	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	Ν
	Retail Gasoline Outlets	Ν	P <sup>(7)</sup>	Ν	Ν	P <sup>(4)</sup>	Ν	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	Ν
P	Project Priority ollutant(s) of Concern										

#### Table F-2 Potential Pollutants by Land Use Type

#### P = Potential

N = Not Potential

<sup>(1)</sup> A potential Pollutant if non-native landscaping exists or is proposed onsite; otherwise not expected

<sup>(2)</sup> A potential Pollutant if the project includes uncovered parking areas; otherwise not expected

<sup>(3)</sup> A potential Pollutant is land use involving animal waste products; otherwise not expected

<sup>(4)</sup> Including petroleum hydrocarbons

<sup>(5)</sup> Including solvents

<sup>(6)</sup> Bacterial indicators are routinely detected in pavement runoff

<sup>(7)</sup> A potential source of metals, primarily copper and zinc. Iron, magnesium, and aluminum are commonly found in the environment and are commonly associated with soils, but are not primarily of anthropogenic stormwater origin in the municipal environment.
### **F.2 Treatment Control BMP Selection**

Treatment Control BMPs typically provide proprietary treatment mechanisms to treat potential Pollutants in runoff, but do not sustain significant biological processes. Treatment Control BMPs must be selected to address the Project Priority Pollutants of Concern (identified above) and meet the acceptance criteria described in Section 2.3.7 of the SMR WQMP. Documentation of acceptance criteria must be included in Appendix 6. In addition, ensure that proposed Treatment Control BMPs are properly identified on the WQMP Site Plan in Appendix 1.

#### Table F-3 Treatment Control BMP Selection

Selected Treatment Control BMP Name or $ID^1$	Priority Pollutant(s) of Concern to Mitigate <sup>2</sup>	Removal Efficiency Percentage <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Treatment Control BMPs must not be constructed within Receiving Waters. In addition, a proposed Treatment Control BMP may be listed more than once if they possess more than one qualifying pollutant removal efficiency.

<sup>2</sup> Cross Reference Table E.1 above to populate this column.

<sup>3</sup> As documented in a Copermittee Approved Study and provided in Appendix 6.

### F.3 Sizing Criteria

Utilize Table F-4 below to appropriately size flow-through BMPs to the DCV, or Design Flow Rate, as applicable. Please reference Chapter 3.5.1 of the SMR WQMP for further information.

Table F-4 Treatment Control BMP Sizing							
DMA Type/ID	DMA Area (square feet)	Post- Project Surface Type	Effective Impervious Fraction, I <sub>f</sub>	DMA Runoff Factor	DMA Areas x Runoff Factor	Enter BMP Name / Identifier Here	
	[A]		[B]	[C]	[A] x [C]		
						Design Storm (in)	Design Flow Rate (cfs)
	$A_T = \Sigma[A]$				Σ= [D]	[E]	$[F] = \frac{[D]x[E]}{[G]}$

[B], [C] is obtained as described in Section 2.6.1.b from the SMR WQMP

[E] either 0.2 inches or 2 times the 85th percentile hourly rainfall intensity

[G] = 43,560,.

# F.4 Hydrologic Performance Standard – Alternative Compliance Approach

Alternative compliance options are only available if the governing Copermittee has acknowledged the infeasibility of onsite Hydrologic Control BMPs and approved an alternative compliance approach. See Section 3.5 and 3.6 of the SMR WQMP.

Select the pursued alternative and describe the specifics of the alternative:

□ Offsite Hydrologic Control Management within the same channel system

Insert narrative description here

□ In-Stream Restoration Project

Insert narrative description here

#### For Offsite Hydrologic Control BMP Option

Each Hydrologic Control BMP must be designed to ensure that the flow duration curve of the postdevelopment DMA will not exceed that of the pre-existing, naturally occurring, DMA by more than ten percent over a one-year period. Using SMRHM, the applicant shall demonstrate that the performance of each designed Hydrologic Control BMP is equivalent with the Hydrologic Performance Standard for onsite conditions. Complete Table F-5 below and identify, for each Hydrologic Control BMP, the equivalent DMA the Hydrologic Control BMP mitigates, that the SMRHM model passed, the total volume capacity of the BMP, the BMP footprint at top floor elevation, and the drawdown time of the BMP. SMRHM summary reports for the alternative approach should be documented in Appendix 7. Refer to the SMRHM Guidance Document for additional information on SMRHM. You can add rows to the table as needed.

					-
BMP Name / Type	Equivalent	SMRHM	BMP Volume	BMP	Drawdown
	DMA (ac)	Passed	(ac-ft)	Footprint (ac)	time (hr)

#### Table F-5 Offsite Hydrologic Control BMP Sizing

#### For Instream Restoration Option

Attach to Appendix 7 the technical report detailing the condition of the receiving channel subject to the proposed hydrologic and sediment regimes. Provide the full design plans for the in-stream restoration project that have been approved by the Copermittee. Utilize the San Diego Regional Water Quality Equivalency Guidance Document.

### Section G: Implement Trash Capture BMPs

The Santa Margarita Regional Board has required Full Trash Capture compliance thru Order No. R9-2017-007. For the Santa Margarita Watershed, the County is requiring Track 1 full trash capture compliance for projects proposing the following uses as part of their development after **December 3**, **2018**.

- High-density residential: all land uses with at least ten (10) developed dwelling units/acre.
- Industrial: land uses where the primary activities on the developed parcels involve product manufacture, storage, or distribution (e.g., manufacturing businesses, warehouses, equipment storage lots, junkyards, wholesale businesses, distribution centers, or building material sales yards).
- Commercial: land uses where the primary activities on the developed parcels involve the sale or transfer of goods or services to consumers (e.g., business or professional buildings, shops, restaurants, theaters, vehicle repair shops, etc.).
- Mixed urban: land uses where high-density residential, industrial, and/or commercial land uses predominate collectively (i.e., are intermixed).
- Public transportation stations: facilities or sites where public transit agencies' vehicles load or unload passengers or goods (e.g., bus stations and stops).

Riverside County Maintenance is generally supportive of United Storm Water – Connector Pipe Screens or equivalent. Equivalent systems or alternative designs shall be on the State of California Approved Trash Capture Device List and requires approval by the Transportation Department for maintenance. Riverside County is developing Trash Capture Device Standards, which are expected to be added to the Transportation Plan Check Policies and Guidelines when available. Design calculations are not expected to be required if the project uses standard sizes per the County's Trash Capture Device Standards. Until the Trash Capture Device Standards are available and the project uses standard sizes, the project shall complete the following tables and furnish hydraulic analysis calculating the flowrate in the catch basin does not exceed the flowrate capacity of the trash capture device in a fully clogged condition.

Trash Capture BMPs may be applicable to Type 'D' DMAs, as defined in Section 2.3.4 of the SMR WQMP. Trash Capture BMPs are designed to treat  $Q_{TRASH}$ , the runoff flow rate generated during the 1-year 1hour precipitation depth. Utilize Table G-1 to size Trash Capture BMP. Refer to Table G-2 to determine the Trash Capture Design Storm Intensity (E).

DMA Type/ID	DMA Area (square feet) [A]	Post- Project Surface Type	Effective Impervious Fraction, I <sub>f</sub> [B]	DMA Runoff Factor [C]	DMA Areas x Runoff Factor [A] x [C]	Enter BMP N	ame / Identifier Here
						Trash Capture Design Storm	Trash Capture Design Flow
	$\begin{array}{c} A_{T} = \\ \Sigma[A] \end{array}$				Σ= [D]	Intensity (in)	$[F] = \frac{[D]x[E]}{[G]}$

#### Table G-1 Sizing Trash Capture BMPs

[B], [C] is obtained as described in Section 2.6.1.b from the SMR WQMP

[G] = 43,560

#### Table G-2 Approximate precipitation depth/intensity values for calculation of the Trash Capture Design Storm

City	1-year 1-hour Precipitation Depth/Intensity (inches/hr)
Murrieta	0.47
Temecula	0.50
Wildomar	0.37

Use Table G-3 to summarize and document the selection and sizing of Trash Capture BMPs.

 Table G-3 Trash Capture BMPs

			Required Trash	Provided Trash
BMP Name /	DMA		Capture Flowrate	Capture Flowrate
ID	No(s)	BMP Type / Description	(cfs)	(cfs) <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> For connector pipe screens, the Trash Capture Flowrate shall be based on a fully clogged condition for the screen, where the water level is at the top of the screen. Then determined the Flowrate based on weir equation (Qweir =  $C \times L \times H^{(2/3)}$ , where C = 3.4). The height used to calculate the weir flow rate shall maintain a 6" freeboard to the invert of the catch basin opening at the road. This analysis is meant to replicate the hydraulic analysis used in the County's Full Trash Capture Device Standards.

### **Section H: Source Control BMPs**

Section H need only be completed at the Preliminary WQMP phase if source control is critical to the project successfully handling the anticipated pollutants.

Source Control BMPs include permanent, structural features that may be required in your Project plans, such as roofs over and berms around trash and recycling areas, and Operational BMPs, such as regular sweeping and "housekeeping," that must be implemented by the site's occupant or user. The Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP) standard typically requires both types of BMPs. In general, Operational Source Control BMPs cannot be substituted for a feasible and effective Structural Source Control BMP. Complete checklist below to determine applicable Source Control BMPs for your site.

### Project-Specific WQMP Source Control BMP Checklist

All development projects must implement Source Control BMPs. Source Control BMPs are used to minimize pollutants that may discharge to the MS4. Refer to Chapter 3 (Section 3.8) of the SMR WQMP for additional information. Complete Steps 1 and 2 below to identify Source Control BMPs for the project site.

#### STEP 1: IDENTIFY POLLUTANT SOURCES

Review project site plans and identify the applicable pollutant sources. "Yes" indicates that the pollutant source is applicable to project site. "No" indicates that the pollutant source is not applicable to project site.

🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Storm Drain Inlets	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Outdoor storage areas
🔀 Yes 🗌 No	Floor Drains	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Material storage areas
🔀 Yes 🗌 No	Sump Pumps	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Fueling areas
🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Pets Control/Herbicide Application	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Loading Docks
🔀 Yes 🗌 No	Food Service Areas	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Fire Sprinkler Test/Maintenance water
🔀 Yes 🗌 No	Trash Storage Areas	🖂 Yes 🗌 No	Plazas, Sidewalks and Parking Lots
🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Industrial Processes	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Pools, Spas, Fountains and other water features
🗌 Yes 🔀 No	Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning and Maintenance/Repair Areas		

#### STEP 2: REQUIRED SOURCE CONTROL BMPs

List each Pollutant source identified above in column 1 and fill in the corresponding Structural Source Control BMPs and Operational Control BMPs by referring to the Stormwater Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist included in Appendix 8. The resulting list of structural and operational source control BMPs must be implemented as long as the associated sources are present on the project site. Add additional rows as needed.

Pollutant Source	Structural Source Control BMP	Operational Source Control BMP	
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here	
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here	
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here	
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here	
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here	
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here	

Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here

### **Section I: Coordinate Submittal with Other Site Plans**

For Final WQMPs, populate Table I-1 below to assist the plan checker in an expeditious review of your project. During construction and at completion, County of Riverside inspectors will verify the installation of BMPs against the approved plans. The first two columns will contain information that was prepared in previous steps, while the last column will be populated with the corresponding plan sheets. This table is to be completed with the submittal of your final Project-Specific WQMP.

 Table I-1 Construction Plan Cross-reference

BMP No. or ID	BMP Identifier and Description	Corresponding Plan Sheet(s)
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here
Insert text here	Insert text here	Insert text here

Note that the updated table — or Construction Plan WQMP Checklist — is **only a reference tool** to facilitate an easy comparison of the construction plans to your Project-Specific WQMP. The Copermittee with jurisdiction over the Project site can advise you regarding the process required to propose changes to the approved Project-Specific WQMP.

Use Table I-2 to identify other applicable permits that may impact design of the site. If yes is answered to any of the items below, the Copermittee may require proof of approval/coverage from those agencies as applicable including documentation of any associated requirements that may affect this Project-Specific WQMP.

Agency		quired
State Department of Fish and Game, 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement	□ Y	<u> </u>
State Water Resources Control Board, Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification	ΓY	□ N
US Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit		<u> </u>
US Fish and Wildlife, Endangered Species Act Section 7 Biological Opinion		□ N
Statewide Construction General Permit Coverage	X Y	<u> </u>
Statewide Industrial General Permit Coverage		□ N
Western Riverside MSHCP Consistency Approval (e.g., JPR, DBESP)		□ N
Other (please list in the space below as required)Riverside County Plot Plan Approval Along With Riverside County Grading and Building Permits		□ N

 Table I-2 Other Applicable Permits

### **Section J: Operation, Maintenance and Funding**

Applicant is required to state the intended responsible party for BMP Operation, Maintenance and Funding at the Preliminary WQMP phase. The remaining requirements as outlined above are required for Final WQMP only.

The Copermittee with jurisdiction over the Project site will periodically verify that BMPs on your Project are maintained and continue to operate as designed. To make this possible, the Copermittee will require that you include in Appendix 9 of this Project-Specific WQMP:

- 1. A means to finance and implement maintenance of BMPs in perpetuity, including replacement cost.
- 2. Acceptance of responsibility for maintenance from the time the BMPs are constructed until responsibility for operation and maintenance is legally transferred. A warranty covering a period following construction may also be required.
- 3. An outline of general maintenance requirements for the Stormwater BMPs you have selected.
- 4. Figures delineating and designating pervious and impervious areas, location, and type of Stormwater BMP, and tables of pervious and impervious areas served by each facility. Geolocating the BMPs using a coordinate system of latitude and longitude is recommended to help facilitate a future statewide database system.
- 5. A separate list and location of self-retaining areas or areas addressed by LID Principles that do not require specialized Operations and Maintenance or inspections but will require typical landscape maintenance as noted in Chapter 5, in the SMR WQMP. Include a brief description of typical landscape maintenance for these areas.

The Copermittee with jurisdiction over the Project site will also require that you prepare and submit a detailed BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan that sets forth a maintenance schedule for each of the BMPs built on your site. An agreement assigning responsibility for maintenance and providing for inspections and certification may also be required.

Details of these requirements and instructions for preparing a BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan are in Chapter 5 of the SMR WQMP.

#### Maintenance Mechanism: Insert text here.

Will the proposed BMPs be maintained by a Homeowners' Association (HOA) or Property Owners Association (POA)?

Include your Operation and Maintenance Plan and Maintenance Mechanism in Appendix 9, <u>see</u> <u>Appendix 9 for additional instructions</u>. Additionally, include all pertinent forms of educational materials for those personnel that will be maintaining the proposed BMPs within this Project-Specific WQMP in Appendix 10.

## Section K: Acronyms, Abbreviations and Definitions

<b>Regional MS4 Permit</b>	Order No. R9-2013-0001 as amended by Order No. R9-2015-0001
	and Order No. R9-2015-0100 an NPDES Permit issued by the San
	Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board.
Applicant	Public or private entity seeking the discretionary approval of new
	or replaced improvements from the Copermittee with jurisdiction
	over the project site. The Applicant has overall responsibility for
	the implementation and the approval of a Priority Development
	Project. The WQMP uses consistently the term "user" to refer to the
	applicant such as developer or project proponent.
	The WQMP employs also the designation "user" to identify the
	Registered Professional Civil Engineer responsible for submitting
	the Project-Specific WQMP, and designing the required BMPs.
Best Management	Defined in 40 CFR 122.2 as schedules of activities, prohibitions of
Practice (BMP)	practices, maintenance procedures, and other management
	practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the United
	States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating
	procedures and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or
	leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material
	storage. In the case of municipal storm water permits, BMPs are
	typically used in place of numeric effluent limits.
BMP Fact Sheets	BMP Fact Sheets are available in the LID BMP Design Handbook.
	Individual BMP Fact Sheets include sitting considerations, and
	design and sizing guidelines for seven types of structural BMPs
	(infiltration basin, infiltration trench, permeable pavement, harvest-
	and-use, bioretention, extended detention basin, and sand filter).
California	Publisher of the California Stormwater Best Management Practices
Stormwater Quality	Handbooks, available at
Association (CASQA)	www.cabmphandbooks.com.
Conventional	A type of BMP that provides treatment of stormwater runoff.
Treatment Control	Conventional treatment control BMPs, while designed to treat
BMD	particular Pollutants, typically do not provide the same level of
Bitil	volume reduction as LID BMPs, and commonly require more
	specialized maintenance than LID BMPs. As such, the Regional
	MS4 Permit and this WQMP require the use of LID BMPs wherever
	feasible, before Conventional Treatment BMPs can be considered or
	implemented.
Copermittees	The Regional MS4 Permit identifies the Cities of Murrieta,
_	Temecula, and Wildomar, the County, and the District, as
	Copermittees for the SMR.
County	The abbreviation refers to the County of Riverside in this
	document.

CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act - a statute that requires state
	and local agencies to identify the significant environmental impacts
	of their actions and to avoid or mitigate those impacts, if feasible.
CIMIS	California Irrigation Management Information System - an
	integrated network of 118 automated active weather stations all
	over California managed by the California Department of Water
	Resources.
CWA	Clean Water Act - is the primary federal law governing water
	pollution. Passed in 1972, the CWA established the goals of
	eliminating releases of high amounts of toxic substances into water,
	eliminating additional water pollution by 1985, and ensuring that
	surface waters would meet standards necessary for human sports
	and recreation by 1983.
	CWA Section 402(p) is the federal statute requiring NPDES permits
	tor discharges from MS4s.
CWA Section 303(d)	Impaired water in which water quality does not meet applicable
Waterbody	water quality standards and/or is not expected to meet water
	quality standards, even after the application of technology based
	pollution controls required by the CWA. The discharge of urban
	runoff to these water bodies by the Copermittees is significant
	because these discharges can cause or contribute to violations of
	applicable water quality standards.
Design Storm	The Regional MS4 Permit has established the 85th percentile, 24-
	nour storm event as the "Design Storm". The applicant may refer to
	Exhibit A to identify the applicable Design Storm Depth (D85) to
	Design Conture Values (DCV) is the values of run off and duesd
DCV	from the Design Storm to be mitigated through LID Potentian
	PMDa Other LID PMDa and Valuma Pagad Conventional
	Treatmont BMPs, as appropriate
Design Flow Date	The design flow rate represents the minimum flow rate capacity
Design Flow Rate	that flow-based conventional treatment control BMPs should treat
	to the MFP when considered
	Directly Connected Impervious Areas - those impervious areas that
DelA	are hydraulically connected to the MS4 (i.e. street curbs, catch
	basing, storm drains, etc.) and thence to the structural BMP without
	flowing over pervious areas.
Discretionary	A decision in which a Copermittee uses its judgment in deciding
Approval	whether and how to carry out or approve a project.
District	Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
DMA	A Drainage Management Area - a delineated portion of a project
	site that is hydraulically connected to a common structural BMP or
	conveyance point. The Applicant may refer to Section 3.3 for
	further guidelines on how to delineate DMAs.

Drawdown Time	Refers to the amount of time the design volume takes to pass
	through the BMP. The specified or incorporated drawdown times
	are to ensure that adequate contact or detention time has occurred
	for treatment, while not creating vector or other nuisance issues. It
	is important to abide by the drawdown time requirements stated in
	the fact sheet for each specific BMP.
Effective Area	Area which 1) is suitable for a BMP (for example, if infiltration is
Ellective Area	notentially feasible for the site based on infeasibility criteria
	infiltration must be allowed over this area) and 2) receives runoff
	from impervious areas
ESA	An Environmental Sensitive Area (FSA) designates an area "in
EJA	which plants or animals life or their habitats are either rare or
	which plans of alumais me of their special pature or role in an
	especially valuable because of their special nature of fore in an
	ecosystem and much would be easily disturbed of degraded by
	Reference. Codo e 20107 5)
	Resources Code g 50107.5).
EI	Evapotranspiration (E1) is the loss of water to the autosphere by
	the combined processes of evaporation (from son and plant
	surfaces) and transpiration (from plant tissues). It is also an
	indicator of now much water crops, lawn, garden, and trees need
	for healthy growth and productivity
FAR	The Floor Area Katio (FAK) is the total square feet of a building
	divided by the total square feet of the lot the building is located on.
Flow-Based BMP	Flow-based BMIPs are conventional treatment control BMPs that are
	sized to treat the design flow rate.
FPPP	Facility Pollution Prevention Plan
НСОС	Hydrologic Condition of Concern - Exists when the alteration of a
	site's hydrologic regime caused by development would cause
	significant impacts on downstream channels and aquatic habitats,
	alone or in conjunction with impacts of other projects.
HMP	Hydromodification Management Plan – Plan defining Performance
	Standards for PDPs to manage increases in runoff discharge rates
	and durations.
Hydrologic Control	BMP to mitigate the increases in runoff discharge rates and
BMP	durations and meet the Performance Standards set forth in the
	HMP.
HSG	Hydrologic Soil Groups - soil classification to indicate the
	minimum rate of infiltration obtained for bare soil after prolonged
	wetting. The HSGs are A (very low runoff potential/high
	infiltration rate), B, C, and D (high runoff potential/very low
	infiltration rate)
Hydromodification	The Regional MS4 Permit identifies that increased volume, velocity,
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	frequency and discharge duration of storm water runoff from
	developed areas has the potential to greatly accelerate downstream
	erosion, impair stream habitat in natural drainages, and negatively
	impact beneficial uses.

JRMP	A separate Jurisdictional Runoff Management Plan (JRMP) has
	been developed by each Copermittee and identifies the local
	programs and activities that the Copermittee is implementing to
	meet the Regional MS4 Permit requirements.
LID	Low Impact Development (LID) is a site design strategy with a goal
	of maintaining or replicating the pre-development hydrologic
	regime through the use of design techniques. LID site design BMPs
	help preserve and restore the natural hydrologic cycle of the site,
	allowing for filtration and infiltration which can greatly reduce the
	volume, peak flow rate, velocity, and pollutant loads of storm
	water runoff.
LID BMP	A type of stormwater BMP that is based upon Low Impact
	Development concepts. LID BMPs not only provide highly effective
	treatment of stormwater runoff, but also yield potentially
	significant reductions in runoff volume – helping to mimic the pre-
	project hydrologic regime, and also require less ongoing
	maintenance than Treatment Control BMPs. The applicant may
	refer to Chapter 2.
LID BMP Design	The LID BMP Design Handbook was developed by the
Handbook	Copermittees to provide guidance for the planning, design and
	maintenance of LID BMPs which may be used to mitigate the water
	quality impacts of PDPs within the County.
LID Bioretention BMP	LID Bioretention BMPs are bioretention areas are vegetated (i.e.,
	landscaped) shallow depressions that provide storage, infiltration,
	and evapotranspiration, and provide for pollutant removal (e.g.,
	filtration, adsorption, nutrient uptake) by filtering stormwater
	through the vegetation and soils. In bioretention areas, pore spaces
	and organic material in the soils help to retain water in the form of
	soli moisture and to promote the adsorption of pollutants (e.g.,
	Dissolved metals and petroleum hydrocarbons) into the soil matrix.
	trans use soil moisture and promote the drying of the soil through
	The Regional MS4 Permit defines "retain" as to keep or hold in a
	narticular place condition or position without discharge to surface
	waters
LID Biofiltration BMD	BMPs that reduce stormwater pollutant discharges by intercenting
	rainfall on vegetative canony and through incidental infiltration
	and/or evapotranspiration and filtration and other biological and
	chemical processes. As stormwater passes down through the
	planting soil, pollutants are filtered, adsorbed, biodegraded, and
	sequestered by the soil and plants, and collected through an
	underdrain.
LID Harvest and	BMPs used to facilitate capturing Stormwater Runoff for later use
	without negatively impacting downstream water rights or other
NEUSE DIVIF	Beneficial Uses.

LID Infiltration BMP	BMPs to reduce stormwater runoff by capturing and infiltrating the
	runoff into in-situ soils or amended onsite soils. Typical LID
	Infiltration BMPs include infiltration basins, infiltration trenches
	and pervious pavements.
LID Retention BMP	BMPs to ensure full onsite retention without runoff of the DCV
	such as infiltration basins, bioretention, chambers, trenches,
	permeable pavement and pavers, harvest and reuse.
LID Principles	Site design concepts that prevent or minimize the causes (or
	drivers) of post-construction impacts, and help mimic the pre-
	development hydrologic regime.
MEP	Maximum Extent Practicable - standard established by the 1987
	amendments to the CWA for the reduction of Pollutant discharges
	from MS4s. Refer to Attachment C of the Regional MS4 Permit for a
	complete definition of MEP.
MF	Multi-family – zoning classification for parcels having 2 or more
	living residential units.
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) is a conveyance or
	system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems,
	municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made
	channels, or storm drains): (1) Owned or operated by a State, city,
	town, borougn, county, parisn, district, association, or other public
	dispessed of sources industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes
	including aposial districts under State law such as a source district
	flood control districts or drainage district, or similar entity, or an
	Indian triba or an authorized Indian tribal organization or
	designated and approved management agency under section 208 of
	the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States: (ii)
	Designated or used for collecting or conveying storm water: (iii)
	Which is not a combined sewer: (iv) Which is not part of the
	Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR
	122.26.
New Development	Defined by the Regional MS4 Permit as 'Priority Development
Broject	Projects' if the project, or a component of the project meets the
FIOJECT	categories and thresholds described in Section 1.1.1.
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System - Federal
	program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing,
	terminating, monitoring and enforcing permits, and imposing and
	enforcing pretreatment requirements, under Sections 307, 318, 402,
	and 405 of the CWA.
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
PDP	Priority Development Project - Includes New Development and
	Redevelopment project categories listed in Provision E.3.b of the
	Regional MS4 Permit.

Priority Pollutants of	Pollutants expected to be present on the project site and for which a	
Concern	downstream water body is also listed as Impaired under the CWA	
	Section 303(d) list or by a TMDL.	
Project-Specific	A plan specifying and documenting permanent LID Principles and	
WQMP	Stormwater BMPs to control post-construction Pollutants and	
	stormwater runoff for the life of the PDP, and the plans for	
	operation and maintenance of those BMPs for the life of the project.	
Receiving Waters	Waters of the United States.	
Redevelopment	The creation, addition, and or replacement of impervious surface	
Project	on an already developed site. Examples include the expansion of a	
	building footprint, road widening, the addition to or replacement	
	of a structure, and creation or addition of impervious surfaces.	
	Replacement of impervious surfaces includes any activity that is	
	not part of a routine maintenance activity where impervious	
	material(s) are removed, exposing underlying soil during	
	construction. Redevelopment does not include trenching and	
	resurfacing associated with utility work; resurfacing existing	
	roadways; new sidewark construction, pedestrian ramps, or blke	
	name on existing roads; and routine replacement of damaged	
	Project that mosts the criteria described in Section 1	
	Project that meets the criteria described in Section 1.	
Runoff Fund	are not available to the Applicant	
	If established a Runoff Fund will develop regional mitigation	
	projects where PDPs will be able to buy mitigation credits if it is	
	determined that implementing onsite controls is infeasible.	
San Diego Regional	San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board - The term	
Board	"Regional Board", as defined in Water Code section 13050(b), is	
Doard	intended to refer to the California Regional Water Quality Control	
	Board for the San Diego Region as specified in Water Code Section	
	13200. State agency responsible for managing and regulating water	
	quality in the SMR.	
SCCWRP	Southern California Coastal Water Research Project	
Site Design BMP	Site design BMPs prevent or minimize the causes (or drivers) of	
	post-construction impacts, and help mimic the pre-development	
	hydrologic regime.	
SF	Parcels with a zoning classification for a single residential unit.	
SMC	Southern California Stormwater Monitoring Coalition	
SMR	The Santa Margarita Region (SMR) represents the portion of the	
	Santa Margarita Watershed that is included within the County of	
	Riverside.	

	Course Control DMDs land use on site planning prestings on		
Source Control BMP	Source Control bivins land use or site planning practices, or		
	structural or nonstructural measures that aim to prevent runoff		
	pollution by reducing the potential for contamination at the source		
	of pollution. Source control BMPs minimize the contact between		
	Pollutants and runoff.		
Structural BMP	Structures designed to remove pollutants from stormwater runoff		
	and mitigate hydromodification impacts.		
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan		
Tentative Tract Map	Tentative Tract Maps are required for all subdivision creating five		
•	(5) or more parcels, five (5) or more condominiums as defined in		
	Section 783 of the California Civil Code, a community apartment		
	project containing five (5) or more parcels, or for the conversion of		
	a dwelling to a stock cooperative containing five (5) or more		
	dwelling units.		
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load - the maximum amount of a Pollutant		
	that can be discharged into a waterbody from all sources (point and		
	non-point) and still maintain Water Quality Standards. Under		
	CWA Section 303(d), TMDLs must be developed for all		
	waterbodies that do not meet Water Quality Standards after		
	application of technology-based controls.		
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency		
Volume Beerd BMD	Volume-Based BMPs applies to BMPs where the primary mode of		
Volume-Based BiviP	volume-based bin s applies to bin s where the primary mode of		
	detention retention and infiltration systems		
	the second secon		
WQMP	water Quality Management Plan		
Wet Season	n The Regional MS4 Permit defines the wet season from October 1		
	through April 30.		

## Appendix 1: Maps and Site Plans

Location Map, WQMP Site Plan and Receiving Waters Map

Complete the checklist below to verify all exhibits and components are included in the Project-Specific WQMP. Refer Section 4 of the SMR WQMP and Section D of this Template.

	Map and Site Plan Checklist
Indicate all	Maps and Site Plans are included in your Project-Specific WQMP by checking the boxes below.
$\square$	Vicinity and Location Map
	Existing Site Map (unless exiting conditions are included in WQMP Site Plan)
$\square$	WQMP Site Plan
	Parcel Boundary and Project Footprint
	🔀 Existing and Proposed Topography & Drainage Management Areas (DMAs)
	Proposed Structural Best Management Practices (BMPs), with cross sections
	🔀 Drainage Paths
	Drainage infrastructure, inlets, overflows
	Source Control & Site Design BMPs (notes can be used for BMPs that can't be depicted)
	Buildings, Roof Lines, Downspouts
	Impervious Surfaces
	Pervious Surfaces (i.e. Landscaping)
	🔀 Standardized Labeling
	Use Riverside County Flood Control CB-110 for outlet structure with block outs for a trash screen out the outside, and an orifice/weir plate(s) on the inside of the structure or other design that is as easy to maintain. The screen should be as large as possible to minimize clogging.
	If BMPs are in the road R/W (only with CFD/CSA maintenance or LID Principals) add "BMP" paddle markers at the start and end of each BMPs and LID principals
	When underdrain are proposed, gravel shall be clean washed gravel, AASHTO #57 stone preferred. Underdrains shall be Schedule 40 PVC, with a minimum slope of 0.005, with cleanouts equal in diameter of the subdrain that extends 6 inches above the media with a lockable screw cap, spaced every 50 feet, at the collector drain line connection, and at any bends.
	When BSM is proposed, BSM shall consist of 60-80% clean sand, up to 20% clean topsoil, and 20% of a nutrient-stabilized organic amendment. BSM shall be placed on top of 3-inches of Choker Sand placed on top of 3-inches of ASTM No. 8 stone (1/4 to 1/2-inch pea gravel), and placed on top of 12 to 24-inches of a clean, open-graded drain rock layer.
	For Tracts, the Regional Board requires <u>fully functioning</u> WQMP BMPs for opening model home complexes, sales offices, or use of roads (i.e. prior to occupancy or intended use of any portion of the project). The County encourages phasing post-construction BMPs, small structural BMPs (e.g. specifically for sales offices), or self-retaining areas. This phasing can be shown on the WQMP site map and sequencing shall be included on the Grading plans, so that a fully functioning WQMP BMP is addressing any portion of the project that has been granted occupancy or granted the intended use.







# LOST RANCH WINERY WQMP SITE PLAN PWQMP SHEET 1 OF 1

	AREA (SF)	SPACES REQ	SPACES PROV.
E/45 SF OF NG AREA 1 SPACE/2 OYEES (6 LOYEES)	2300	54.11	54
E/250 SF OF CE AREA	98	0.39	1
E/500 SF OF DUCTION AREA	1064	2.13	2
CE/1000 SF RAGE AREA	119	0.12	1
		57	58
PACES		3	3
			2

	440,321	10.11
-	1	
	AREA	
WN	SQ.FT.	ACRES
PAVEMENT	4,984	0.11
	2,294	0.05

	SQ.FT.	ACRES	% OF TOTAL
	4,577	0.11	1%
	4,096	0.09	1%
	47,406	1.09	11%
H CORRAL	17,323	0.40	4%
	348,689	8.00	79%
	18,230	0.42	4%
	8,673	0.20	2%
	440,321	10.11	100%

AREA

LINE ATION SEWER LINE 'DRAIN WER POLE REMOVED/RELOCATED VERTICAL CURVE
EX MINOR CONTOURS
EX MAJOR CONTOURS
EXISTING FENCE
- NEIGHBORING LOT LINES
- RIGHT OF WAY/EASEMENT LINES
- CENTERLINE
• PROJECT PROPERTY LINE
- SANITARY SEWER LINE
- WATER LINE
- ADA PATH OF TRAVEL
— 75% MIN. VINEYARD AREA
PROP DG, 70,000 LB FIRE TRUCK RATED
- PROP PAVEMENT
- PROP CONCRETE
— PROP MIXED LANDSCAPING AND RANCH AREA

(SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS)

CALLE ANITA
MAP
PG 930,C6 & D6 S, R2W cale
POLE YDRANT DNAL MAP EVATION BREAK ID FLOOR ELEVATION ID SURFACE INE INE OF WAY RTY LINE SED
IG IG SED INTERLINE LINE TION SEWER LINE DRAIN WER POLE
REMOVED/RELOCATED VERTICAL CURVE
EX MINOR CONTOURS EX MAJOR CONTOURS
EXISTING FENCE NEIGHBORING LOT LINES
RIGHT OF WAY/EASEMENT LIN
PROJECT PROPERTY LINE
SANITARY SEWER LINE
WATER LINE

BENTON RD

D
Ύ Γ
TERLINE NE
ON SEWER LINE RAIN
R POLE MOVED/RELOCATED
RTICAL CURVE
X MINOR CONTOURS
X MAJOR CONTOURS
EXISTING FENCE
IEIGHBORING LOT LINES
RIGHT OF WAY/EASEMENT LII
CENTERLINE
PROJECT PROPERTY LINE
SANITARY SEWER LINE
WATER LINE
ada path of travel
- 75% MIN. VINEYARD AREA
PROP DG, 70,000 LB FIRE TRUCK RATED
- PROP PAVEMENT

## Appendix 2: Construction Plans

N/A Preliminary WQMP

Bioretention/Biofiltration BMPs construction notes (Santa Margarita Region only). For Bioretention and Biofiltration facilities, the **following construction notes shall be shown on the Grading and/or Drainage plans**:

- 1. The Engineer shall furnish to the County a copy of the source testing and a signed certification that the fully blended Bioretention/Biofiltration Soil Media (BSM) material meets all of the WQMP requirements before material is imported or if the material is mixed onsite prior to installation.
- 2. As BSM material is being installed, Quality Assurance (QA) tests shall be conducted or for every 1,200 tons or 800 cubic yards mixed on-site from a completely mixed stockpile or windrow, with a minimum of three tests. For imported material from a supplier with a quality control program the QA tests shall be conducted 2,400 tons or 1,600 cubic yards from the supplier.
- 3. The Engineer conducting the Quality Control testing shall furnish to the County copy of the QA testing and a certification that the BSM for the project meets all of the following requirements. Certified mitigation plans can be used for exceedances, as long as all requirements are designed to be met.
  - a. <u>BSM shall not be compacted.</u> BSM shall consist of 60-80% clean sand, up to 20% clean topsoil, and 20% of a nutrient-stabilized organic amendment. The initial infiltration rate shall be greater than 8 inches per hour per laboratory test.
  - b. pH: 6.0 8.5; Salinity: 0.5 to 3.0 mmho/cm as electrical conductivity; Sodium absorption ratio: < 6.0; Chloride: < 800 ppm in saturated extract; Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC): > 10 meq/100 g; Organic Matter: 2 to 5-percent on a dry weight basis; Carbon: Nitrogen Ratio: 12 to 40, preferably 15 to 40; Gravel larger than 2mm: 0 to 25-percent of the total sample; Clay smaller than 0.005mm: 0 to 5 percent of the non-gravel fraction.
  - c. BSM shall be tested to limit the leaching of potential inherent pollutants. BSM used in Biofiltration BMPs shall conform to the following limits for pollutant concentrations in saturated extract: Phosphorus: < 1 mg/L; Nitrate < 3 mg/L, Copper < 0.025 mg/L. These pollutant limits are for the amount that is leached from the sample, not from the soil sample itself. Testing may be performed after laboratory rinsing of media with up to 15 pore volumes of water. Equivalent test results will be accepted if certified by a laboratory or appropriate testing facility.
  - d. Low nutrient compost used in BSM shall be sourced from a facility permitted through CalRecycle, preferably through USCC STA program. Compost shall conform to the following requirements: Physical contaminants <1% by dry weight; Carbon:Nitrogen ratio: 12:1 to 40:1; Maturity/Stability shall conform to either: Solvita Maturity Index: ≥ 5.5, CO2 Evolution: < 2.5 mg CO2-C per g compost organic matter per day, or < 5 mg CO2-C per g compost C per day; Select Pathogens and Trace metals shall pass US EPA Class A Standard. Testing shall be no more than 6 months old and representative of current stockpiles.
  - e. Coconut coir pith used in BSM shall be thoroughly rinsed with freshwater and screened to remove coarse fibers as part of production and aged > 6 months. Peat used in BSM shall be sphagnum peat.

Please notify the County if additional sources and laboratories can be added to this list. The Potential Sources and Laboratories are not part of the construction note - **Potential BSM sources may include**: Gail Materials (Temescal Valley), Agriservice (Oceanside), and Greatsoils (Escondido). Earthworks (Riverside); **Potential Laboratories may include**: Fruit Growers Laboratory, Inc. (Santa Paula, <u>http://www.fglinc.com/</u>) Wallace Laboratories (El Segundo, <u>http://us.wlabs.com/</u>). Control Labs (Watsonville, <u>http://www.controllabs.com</u>) and A&L Western Laboratories (Modesto, <u>http://www.al-labs-west.com/</u>).

## Appendix 3: Soils Information

Geotechnical Study, Other Infiltration Testing Data, and/or Other Documentation



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

## Custom Soil Resource Report for Western Riverside Area, California

**Lost Ranch Winery** 



## Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Western Riverside Area, California	13
AtC2—Arlington and Greenfield fine sandy loams, 2 to 8 percent	
slopes , eroded	13
RaC2—Ramona sandy loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, eroded	14
RmE3—Ramona and Buren sandy loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes,	
severely eroded	16
References	18

## **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Int	Area of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:15,800.	
Soils		m	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Man may not be valid at this scale	
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	97	Wet Spot	Warning. Soli Map may not be valid at this scale.	
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	х 8	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause	
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	
Special	Special Point Features			contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed	
ం	Blowout	water rea	Streams and Canals	scale.	
	Borrow Pit	Transport	ation	Please roly on the har scale on each man sheet for man	
英	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	measurements.	
$\diamond$	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways		
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:	
0 0 0	Gravelly Spot	_	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
0	Landfill		Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator	
A	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts	
عالم	Marsh or swamp	Backgrou	Aerial Photography	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
~	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
6	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NBCS certified data as	
õ	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.	
~	Rock Outcrop			Call Current Areas - Masters Diverside Area - California	
× +	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 14, Sep 13, 2021	
	Sandy Spot				
°°•	Soverely Freded Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.	
÷					
0	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 14, 2022—Mar	
\$>	Slide or Slip			17, 2022	
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI				
AtC2	Arlington and Greenfield fine sandy loams, 2 to 8 percent slopes , eroded	4.0	40.1%				
RaC2	Ramona sandy loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, eroded	2.8	27.7%				
RmE3	Ramona and Buren sandy loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded	3.2	32.2%				
Totals for Area of Interest		10.1	100.0%				

## Map Unit Legend

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate

pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

### Western Riverside Area, California

# AtC2—Arlington and Greenfield fine sandy loams, 2 to 8 percent slopes , eroded

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hcr5 Elevation: 100 to 3,500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 9 to 20 inches Mean annual air temperature: 63 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 320 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### Map Unit Composition

Arlington and similar soils: 45 percent Greenfield and similar soils: 40 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Arlington**

#### Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from granite

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 11 inches: fine sandy loam
H2 - 11 to 24 inches: sandy loam
H3 - 24 to 36 inches: cemented
H4 - 36 to 47 inches: coarse sandy loam

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 24 to 40 inches to duripan
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.2 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R019XD029CA - LOAMY Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Greenfield**

#### Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from granite

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 26 inches: fine sandy loam

- H2 26 to 43 inches: fine sandy loam
- H3 43 to 60 inches: loam
- H4 60 to 70 inches: stratified loamy sand to sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.3 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R019XD029CA - LOAMY Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Greenfield

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Unnamed

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### RaC2—Ramona sandy loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, eroded

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: hcy7

*Elevation:* 250 to 3,500 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 10 to 20 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 63 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 230 to 320 days *Farmland classification:* Prime farmland if irrigated

#### Map Unit Composition

Ramona and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Ramona**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from granite

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 14 inches: sandy loam H2 - 14 to 23 inches: fine sandy loam H3 - 23 to 68 inches: sandy clay loam H4 - 68 to 74 inches: gravelly sandy loam

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 1 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.1 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R019XD029CA - LOAMY Hydric soil rating: No

#### Minor Components

#### Hanford

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Tujunga

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Greenfield

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

# RmE3—Ramona and Buren sandy loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hcyj Elevation: 250 to 3,500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 20 inches Mean annual air temperature: 63 degrees F Frost-free period: 230 to 320 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Ramona and similar soils: 45 percent Buren and similar soils: 40 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Ramona**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Alluvium derived from granite

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam

H2 - 8 to 17 inches: fine sandy loam

H3 - 17 to 68 inches: sandy clay loam

H4 - 68 to 74 inches: gravelly sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 1 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.4 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C
*Ecological site:* R019XD029CA - LOAMY *Hydric soil rating:* No

### **Description of Buren**

## Setting

Landform: Terraces, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Parent material: Alluvium

### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 12 inches:* sandy loam *H2 - 12 to 28 inches:* loam *H3 - 28 to 37 inches:* loam *H4 - 37 to 52 inches:* cemented

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 37 to 40 inches to duripan
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 1 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to slightly saline (0.0 to 4.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.5 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R019XD029CA - LOAMY Hydric soil rating: No

## **Minor Components**

### Buren

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### Ramona

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### Hanford

*Percent of map unit:* 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

# References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf

## Appendix 4: Historical Site Conditions

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment or Other Information on Past Site Use

N/A

## Appendix 5: LID Feasibility Supplemental Information

Information that supports or supplements the determination of LID technical feasibility documented in Section D

N/A

## Appendix 6: LID BMP Design Details

BMP Sizing, Design Details and other Supporting Documentation to supplement Section D

Santa M BMP Design	<mark>Iargarita W</mark> Nolume, V <sub>BMP</sub>	atershed (Rev. 03-2012)	Legend:		Required Entries Calculated Cells		
(Note this wo	orksheet shall <u>only</u> b	e used in conjunction with	BMP designs from	m the LID BMP	- Design Handbook)		
Company Name	RDS and Assoc	iates	Date 8/9/2022				
Designed by	esigned by Rich Soltysiak			y Case No PP	Г210141		
Company Project Number/Name Lost Ranch Winery							
Drainage Area Numb	er/Name	DMA1					
Enter the Area Tributary to this Feature			$A_{\mathrm{T}} = 0.$	09 acres			
85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile, 24-hour Rainfall Depth, from the Isohyetal Map in Handbook Appendix E							
Site Location				Township	T7S		
				Range	R2W		
				Section	24		
Enter the 85 <sup>th</sup> Pe	Rainfall Depth		D <sub>85</sub> =	1.00			
	Determine the Effective Impervious Fraction						
Type of post-dev (use pull down r	Type of post-development surface coverRoofs(use pull down menu)						
Effective Imperv	vious Fraction			$I_f =$	1.00		
	Calculate the con	posite Runoff Coeffic	ient, C for the	BMP Tributary	y Area		
Use the followin	og equation based	on the WFF/ASCE M	lethod				
$C = 0.858 I_f^3 - 0.7$	$78I_{f}^{2} + 0.774I_{f} + 0$	).04	lethou	C =	0.89		
	Ι	Determine Design Stor	age Volume, V	BMP			
Calculate V <sub>U</sub> , th	e 85% Unit Stora	ge Volume $V_U = D_{85}$	x C	$V_u =$	0.89 (in*ac)/ac		
Calculate the de	sign storage volu	me of the BMP, V <sub>BMP</sub> .					
$V_{BMP}$ (ft <sup>3</sup> )=	V <sub>U</sub> (in-ac/ac)	x A <sub>T</sub> (ac) x 43,560 (ft	$\frac{2}{ac}$	V <sub>BMP</sub> =	291 ft <sup>3</sup>		
		12 (in/ft)					
Notes:							

## Appendix 7: Hydromodification & Critical Coarse Sediment

Supporting Detail for Hydromodification compliance & Exhibit G - CCSY & PSS Areas with the project location.

N/A

## Appendix 8: Source Control

Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist

For Final WQMP, include a copy of the completed Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist in the subsequent pages and summarize Source Control BMPs in Section H of this Template.

#### How to use this worksheet (also see instructions in Section H of the 2018 SMR WQMP Template):

- 1. Review Column 1 and identify which of these potential sources of stormwater pollutants apply to your site. Check each box that applies.
- 2. Review Column 2 and incorporate all of the corresponding applicable BMPs in your WQMP Exhibit.
- 3. Review Columns 3 and 4 and incorporate all of the corresponding applicable permanent controls and operational BMPs in your WQMP. Use the format shown in Table H.1 of this WQMP Template. Describe your specific BMPs in an accompanying narrative, and explain any special conditions or situations that required omitting BMPs or substituting alternative BMPs for those shown here.

IF THE ON TH	SE SOURCES WILL BE	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONT			DURCES WILL BE THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		BMPs, AS APPLICABLE
	1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	2     3       Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings     Permanent Controls—List in WQMP       Table and Narrative     Table and Narrative		Ор	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative		
	<b>A.</b> On-site storm drain inlets	Locations of inlets.		Mark all inlets with the words "Only Rain Down the Storm Drain" or similar. Catch Basin Markers may be available from the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, call 951.955.1200 to verify.		Maintain and periodically repaint or replace inlet markings. Provide stormwater pollution prevention information to new site owners, lessees, or operators. See applicable operational BMPs in Fact Sheet SC-44, "Drainage System Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at <u>www.cabmphandbooks.com</u> Include the following in lease agreements: "Tenant shall not allow anyone to discharge anything to storm drains or to store or deposit materials so as to create a potential discharge to storm drains."	
	B. Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps			State that interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps will be plumbed to sanitary sewer.		Inspect and maintain drains to prevent blockages and overflow.	
	<b>c.</b> Interior parking garages			State that parking garage floor drains will be plumbed to the sanitary sewer.		Inspect and maintain drains to prevent blockages and overflow.	

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 - Page 1 of 10

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE					
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	2 Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings	3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQN Table and Narrative			
D1. Need for future indoor & structural pest control		Note building design features that discourage entry of pests.	Provide Integrated Pest Management information to owners, lessees, and operators.			
D2. Landscape/ Outdoor Pesticide Use	<ul> <li>Show locations of native trees or areas of shrubs and ground cover to be undisturbed and retained.</li> <li>Show self-retaining landscape areas, if any.</li> <li>Show stormwater treatment and hydrograph modification management BMPs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>State that final landscape plans will accomplish all of the following.</li> <li>Preserve existing native trees, shrubs, and ground cover to the maximum extent possible.</li> <li>Design landscaping to minimize irrigation and runoff, to promote surface infiltration where appropriate, and to minimize the use of fertilizers and pesticides that can contribute to stormwater pollution.</li> <li>Where landscaped areas are used to retain or detain stormwater, specify plants that are tolerant of saturated soil conditions.</li> <li>Consider using pest-resistant plants, especially adjacent to hardscape. To insure successful establishment, select plants appropriate to site soils, slopes, climate, sun, wind, rain, land use, air movement, ecological consistency and plant interactions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintain landscaping using minimu or no pesticides.</li> <li>See applicable operational BMPs in "What you should know forLandscape and Gardening" at http://www.rcwatershed.org/about/materials-library/#1450469138395-bb76dd39-d810</li> <li>Provide IPM information to new owners, lessees and operators.</li> </ul>			

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 – Page 2 of 10

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE		THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE					
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants		2 Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings		3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative		4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQM Table and Narrative	
	<b>E.</b> Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features.		Show location of water feature and a sanitary sewer cleanout in an accessible area within 10 feet. (Exception: Public pools must be plumbed according to County Department of Environmental Health Guidelines.)		If the Co-Permittee requires pools to be plumbed to the sanitary sewer, place a note on the plans and state in the narrative that this connection will be made according to local requirements.		See applicable operational BMPs in "Guidelines for Maintaining Your Swimming Pool, Jacuzzi and Garden Fountain" at: http:// www.rcwatershed.org/about/materials- library/#1450469201433-151558-0-6008
	F. Food service		For restaurants, grocery stores, and other food service operations, show location (indoors or in a covered area outdoors) of a floor sink or other area for cleaning floor mats, containers, and equipment. On the drawing, show a note that this drain will be connected to a grease interceptor before discharging to the sanitary sewer.		Describe the location and features of the designated cleaning area. Describe the items to be cleaned in this facility and how it has been sized to insure that the largest items can be accommodated.		See the brochure, "The Food Service Industry Best Management Practices for: Restaurants, Grocery Stores, Delicatessens and Bakeries" at http:// www.rcwatershed.org/about/materials- library/#145038926766-61e8a10b-55a9 Provide this brochure to new site owners, lessees, and operators.
	<b>G.</b> Refuse areas		Show where site refuse and recycled materials will be handled and stored for pickup. See local municipal requirements for sizes and other details of refuse areas. If dumpsters or other receptacles are outdoors, show how the designated area will be covered, graded, and paved to prevent run- on and show locations of berms to prevent runoff from the area. Any drains from dumpsters, compactors, and tallow bin areas shall be connected to a grease removal device before discharge to sanitary sewer.		State how site refuse will be handled and provide supporting detail to what is shown on plans. State that signs will be posted on or near dumpsters with the words "Do not dump hazardous materials here" or similar.		State how the following will be implemented: Provide adequate number of receptacles. Inspect receptacles regularly; repair or replace leaky receptacles. Keep receptacles covered Prohibit/prevent dumping of liquid of hazardous wastes. Post "no hazardou materials" signs. Inspect and pick up litter daily and clean up spills immediately. Keep spill control materials available on-site. See Fact Sheet SC-34, "Waste Handling and Disposal" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 – Page 3 of 10

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE				
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	2 Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings	3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQMF Table and Narrative		
☐ H. Industrial processes.	☐ Show process area.	If industrial processes are to be located on site, state: "All process activities to be performed indoors. No processes to drain to exterior or to storm drain system."	<ul> <li>See Fact Sheet SC-10, "Non- Stormwater Discharges" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com</li> <li>See the brochure "Industrial &amp; Commercial Facilities Best Management Practices for: Industrial, Commercial Facilities" at: http://www.rcwatershed.org/ about/materials-library/ #1450389926766-61e8af0b-53a9</li> </ul>		
I. Outdoor storage of equipment or materials. (See rows J and K for source control measures for vehicle cleaning, repair, and maintenance.)	<ul> <li>Show any outdoor storage areas, including how materials will be covered. Show how areas will be graded and bermed to prevent runon or run-off from area.</li> <li>Storage of non-hazardous liquids shall be covered by a roof and/or drain to the sanitary sewer system, and be contained by berms, dikes, liners, or vaults.</li> <li>Storage of hazardous materials and wastes must be in compliance with the local hazardous materials ordinance and a Hazardous Materials Management Plan for the site.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Include a detailed description of materials to be stored, storage areas, and structural features to prevent pollutants from entering storm drains.</li> <li>Where appropriate, reference documentation of compliance with the requirements of Hazardous Materials Programs for:         <ul> <li>Hazardous Waste Generation</li> <li>Hazardous Materials Release Response and Inventory</li> <li>California Accidental Release (CalARP)</li> <li>Aboveground Storage Tank</li> <li>Uniform Fire Code Article 80 Section 103(b) &amp; (c) 1991</li> <li>Underground Storage Tank</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	See the Fact Sheets SC-31, "Outdoor Liquid Container Storage" and SC-33, "Outdoor Storage of Raw Materials" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at <u>www.cabmphandbooks.com</u>		

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 – Page 4 of 10

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE					
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	2 Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings	3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQN Table and Narrative			
J. Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning	<ul> <li>Show on drawings as appropriate:         <ul> <li>(1) Commercial/industrial facilities having vehicle/equipment cleaning needs shall either provide a covered, bermed area for washing activities or discourage vehicle/equipment washing by removing hose bibs and installing signs prohibiting such uses.</li> <li>(2) Multi-dwelling complexes shall have a paved, bermed, and covered car wash area (unless car washing is prohibited on-site and hoses are provided with an automatic shutoff to discourage such use).</li> <li>(3) Washing areas for cars, vehicles, and equipment shall be paved, designed to prevent run-on to or runoff from the area, and plumbed to drain to the sanitary sewer.</li> <li>(4) Commercial car wash facilities shall be designed such that no runoff from the facility is discharged to the storm drain system. Wastewater from the facility shall discharge to the sanitary sewer, or a wastewater reclamation system shall be installed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	If a car wash area is not provided, describe any measures taken to discourage on-site car washing and explain how these will be enforced.	<ul> <li>Describe operational measures to implement the following (if applicable):</li> <li>Washwater from vehicle and equipment washing operations shall not be discharged to the storm drain system. Refer to "Outdoor Cleaning Activities and Professional Mobile Service Providers" for many of the Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutan categories below. Brochure can be found at: http://www.rcwatershed.org/about/materials-library/#1450389926766-61e8af0b-53a9</li> <li>Car dealerships and similar may rinse cars with water only.</li> </ul>			

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 – Page 5 of 10

F THESE SOURCES WILL BE DN THE PROJECT SITE	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE					
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	2 Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings	3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQN Table and Narrative			
K. Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	<ul> <li>Accommodate all vehicle equipment repair and maintenance indoors. Or designate an outdoor work area and design the area to prevent run-on and runoff of stormwater.</li> <li>Show secondary containment for exterior work areas where motor oil, brake fluid, gasoline, diesel fuel, radiator fluid, acid-containing batteries or other hazardous materials or hazardous wastes are used or stored. Drains shall not be installed within the secondary containment areas.</li> <li>Add a note on the plans that states either (1) there are no floor drains, or (2) floor drains are connected to wastewater pretreatment systems prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer and an industrial waste discharge permit will be obtained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>State that no vehicle repair or maintenance will be done outdoors, or else describe the required features of the outdoor work area.</li> <li>State that there are no floor drains or if there are floor drains, note the agency from which an industrial waste discharge permit will be obtained and that the design meets that agency's requirements.</li> <li>State that there are no tanks, containers or sinks to be used for parts cleaning or rinsing or, if there are, note the agency from which an industrial waste discharge permit will be obtained and that the design meets that agency's requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In the Stormwater Control Plan, not that all of the following restrictions apply to use the site:</li> <li>No person shall dispose of, nor perm the disposal, directly or indirectly of vehicle fluids, hazardous materials, a rinsewater from parts cleaning into storm drains.</li> <li>No vehicle fluid removal shall be performed outside a building, nor or asphalt or ground surfaces, whether inside or outside a building, except is such a manner as to ensure that any spilled fluid will be in an area of secondary containment. Leaking vehicle fluids shall be contained or drained from the vehicle immediated</li> <li>No person shall leave unattended dr parts or other open containers containing vehicle fluid, unless such containers are in use or in an area of secondary containment.</li> <li>Refer to "Automotive Maintenance Car Care Best Management Practifor Auto Body Shops, Auto Ref Shops, Car Dealerships, Gas Statiand Fleet Service Operation "Outdoor Cleaning Activities," "Professional Mobile Ser Providers" for many of the Potern Sources of Runoff Polluta Brochures can be found at: http://www.rcwatershed.org/about/materilibrary/#1450389926766-61e8af0b-53a9</li> </ul>			

F THESE SOURCES WILL BE IN THE PROJECT SITE	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE					
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants	2 Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings	3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQM Table and Narrative			
L. Fuel Dispensing Areas	<ul> <li>Fueling areas<sup>6</sup> shall have impermeable floors (i.e., portland cement concrete or equivalent smooth impervious surface) that are: a) graded at the minimum slope necessary to prevent ponding; and b) separated from the rest of the site by a grade break that prevents run-on of stormwater to the maximum extent practicable.</li> <li>Fueling areas shall be covered by a canopy that extends a minimum of ten feet in each direction from each pump. [Alternative: The fueling area must be covered and the cover's minimum dimensions must be equal to or greater than the area within the grade break or fuel dispensing area<sup>1</sup>.] The canopy [or cover] shall not drain onto the fueling area.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>The property owner shall dry sweep the fueling area routinely.</li> <li>See the Fact Sheet SD-30, "Fueling Areas" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com</li> </ul>			
<sup>6</sup> The fueling area shall be defined a minimum of one foot, whichever is	us the area extending a minimum of 6.5 feet from the come greater.	er of each fuel dispenser or the length at which the hose	e and nozzle assembly may be operated plus a			

1	2	3	4	
Potential Sources of	Permanent Controls—Show on	Permanent Controls—List in WQMP	Operational BMPs—Include in WQM	
Runoff Pollutants	WQMP Drawings	Table and Narrative	Table and Narrative	
M. Loading Docks	<ul> <li>Show a preliminary design for the loading dock area, including roofing and drainage. Loading docks shall be covered and/or graded to minimize run-on to and runoff from the loading area. Roof downspouts shall be positioned to direct stormwater away from the loading area. Water from loading dock areas shall be drained to the sanitary sewer, or diverted and collected for ultimate discharge to the sanitary sewer.</li> <li>Loading dock areas draining directly to the sanitary sewer shall be equipped with a spill control valve or equivalent device, which shall be kept closed during periods of operation.</li> <li>Provide a roof overhang over the loading area or install door skirts (cowling) at each bay that enclose the end of the trailer.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Move loaded and unloaded items indoors as soon as possible.</li> <li>See Fact Sheet SC-30, "Outdoor Loading and Unloading," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com</li> </ul>	

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 – Page 8 of 10

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE THEN YOUR WQMP S			OULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE				
1 Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants		2 Durces of Permanent Controls—Show on Ilutants WQMP Drawings		3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative		4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQMF Table and Narrative	
	N. Fire Sprinkler Test Water			Provide a means to drain fire sprinkler test water to the sanitary sewer.		See the note in Fact Sheet SC-41, "Building and Grounds Maintenance, in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at <u>www.cabmphandbooks.com</u>	
	<b>o.</b> Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water or Other Sources			Boiler drain lines shall be directly or indirectly connected to the sanitary sewer system and may not discharge to the storm drain			
	Boiler drain lines			system.			
	Condensate drain lines			Condensate drain lines may			
	Drainage sumps		-	discharge to landscaped areas if the			
	Roofing, gutters, and trim.			flow is small enough that runoff will not occur. Condensate drain lines may not discharge to the storm drain system.			
	Other sources			Rooftop equipment with potential to produce pollutants shall be roofed and/or have secondary containment.			
				Any drainage sumps on-site shall feature a sediment sump to reduce the quantity of sediment in pumped water.			
				Avoid roofing, gutters, and trim made of copper or other unprotected metals that may leach into runoff.			
				Include controls for other sources as specified by local reviewer.			

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

Appendix 8 – Page 9 of 10

Appendix 8						
STORMWATER	POLLUTANT	SOURCES/SOURCE	CONTROL	CHECKLIST		

IF THESE SOURCES WII ON THE PROJECT SITE	L BE TH	THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APP			
1 Potential Sources Runoff Pollutants	of Permanent Co WQMP	2 ntrols—Show on Drawings	3 Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative	4 Operational BMPs—Include in WQMF Table and Narrative	
P. Plazas, sidew and parking lots	ılks,			Sweep plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots regularly to prevent accumulation of litter and debris. Collect debris from pressure washing to prevent entry into the storm drain system. Collect washwater containing any cleaning agent or degreaser and discharge to the sanitary sewer not to a storm drain.	

Appendix 8 – Page 10 of 10

2018 SMR WQMP TEMPLATE

## Appendix 9: O&M

Operation and Maintenance Plan and Documentation of Finance, Maintenance and Recording Mechanisms

## For the Final WQMP the following information shall be provided:

- 1. Maintenance Plan per Section 5.3.5 of the WQMP Guidance Document. County will regularly inspect BMPs, so BMPs without access (e.g. backyards, etc) will be rejected. Due to liability, the County does not allow for overlapping private maintenance in the public right-of-way.
- 2. For all projects, include one wet-signed and notarized hardcopy of the BMP Maintenance agreement. Please note, references to Exhibit A and B on Page 1can be struck out if the entire parcel is mentioned in the "Legal Description" on Page 1 of the agreement. Otherwise see below for Exhibit A and B standards. For BMP agreement, ensure that the name on the agreement matches throughout and the notary sheet, Notary shall be the latest California format, the date of the agreement is the date of the notary, all text does not exceed the margins, then the County will sign, attest & record
- For Tracts, contact County EDA regarding maintenance determinations/formations. Include a completed Exhibit B.9 WQMP O&M Cost Sheet.xlsx that is signed by both the preparer (to ensure quantities are correct) and the owner (to understand the maintenance obligations in perpetuity) & an Approved Maintenance Exhibit from EDA.
- 4. For Tracts or any project , written documentation from the maintenance entity that they are willing to maintain (e.g. CFD, CSA, L&LMD, etc.)

07

## BMP EXHIBIT "A" STANDARDS

1. Use the legal description of the parcel as shown on the tentative exhibit. If not available, use the one in the most current title report.

2. As a backup, if the project is a map the description of the future lot may be included for reference

### **BMP EXHIBIT "B" STANDARDS**

- 1. 0.12" minimum lettering
- 2. Sheet size must be 8.5" x 11"
- 3. Show Street names, north arrow
- 4. Indicate point of flow exit into street if basin system fails
- 5. Indicate Q100 of flow exit into street
- 6. Indicate direction of flow exit into street
- 7. Indicate by notation and/or show nearest downstream
- drainage facility (catch basin, culvert, riser, etc)

8. Show "Exhibit A", IP and project number (TR, PM, PUP, PP etc)

9. Title block, signature block, engineer seals, USA note is not necessary on Exhibit

10. Show scale used for drawing, provide 4" graphic scale

### MAINTENANCE EXHIBIT "B" STANDARDS

- 1. 0.12" minimum lettering
- 2. Sheet size must be 8.5" x 11"
- 3. Show street names, north arrow

4. Show "Exhibit A", IP and project number (TR, PM, PUP, PP etc)

5. Title block, signature block, engineer seals, USA note is not necessary on Exhibit

6. Show scale used for drawing, provide 4" graphic scale



Recorded at the request of: COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

THIS INSTRUMENT IS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AND ENTITLED TO BE RECORDED WITHOUT FEE.(GOV. CODE 6103)

RETURN TO: RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT. **STOP NO. 1080** 4080 LEMON STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

## COVENANT AND AGREEMENT REGARDING WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN BMP, CONSENT TO INSPECT, MAINTENANCE AND INDEMNIFICATION

APN:	PROJECT No	IP No	
OWNER(S):			
PROPERTY ADI	DRESS:		
LEGAL DESCRI	PTION:		

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into in Riverside County, California, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ Year\_\_\_\_, by and between\_\_\_\_\_, (hereinafter referred to as "Covenantor" or "Owner") and the COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE via its Department of Transportation, a political subdivision of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as "County").

## **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, the Covenantor owns real property ("Property") in the County of Riverside, State of California, more specifically described in Exhibit "A" and depicted in Exhibit "B", each of these exhibits is attached, and incorporated herein by this reference;

WHEREAS, the County is the owner of interests in that certain real property within the unincorporated area of the County of Riverside, State of California, containing storm drains, pipelines, and related appurtenances constituting the County's municipal separate storm sewer system (the County's "MS4");

WHEREAS, Covenantor intends to develop, improve, and/or use the Property is such a way that approval by the County for such development, improvement, and/or use is required pursuant to applicable laws;

WHEREAS, As a condition for said approval by the County, County required Covenantor, and Covenantor desires to, restrict the use of the Property according to the conditions, covenants, equitable servitudes, and restrictions contained herein for the express benefit of the County's MS4, which include requirements that the Property incorporate post construction on-site stormwater quality control measures;

WHEREAS, the Covenantor/Owner has chosen to install one or more \_\_\_\_\_\_, hereinafter referred to as "Device", as the on-site control measure to minimize pollutants in urban runoff;

WHEREAS, said Device has been installed in accordance with plans and specifications accepted by the County;

WHEREAS, said Device, with installation on private property and draining only private property, is a private facility with all maintenance or replacement, therefore, the sole responsibility of the Covenantor/Owner in accordance with the terms of this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Covenantor/Owner is aware that periodic and continuous maintenance, including, but not necessarily limited to, filter material replacement and sediment removal, is required to assure peak performance of Device and that, furthermore, such maintenance activity will require compliance with all Local, State, or Federal laws and regulations, including those pertaining; to confined space and waste disposal methods, in effect at the time such maintenance occurs;

**NOW THEREFORE**, incorporating the foregoing Recitals and in consideration of the covenants and conditions contained herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and expressly for the benefit of, and to bind, their successors in interest, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Covenantor/Owner hereby provides the County or County's designee complete access to the Device and its immediate vicinity and such access onto the property to permit access to the devise at any time, upon twenty-four (24) hour advance notice in writing, of any duration for the purpose of inspection, sampling and testing of the Device. County shall make every effort at all times to minimize or avoid interference with Owner's use of the Property.

2. Covenantor/Owner shall use its best efforts diligently to maintain the Device in a manner assuring peak performance at all times. All reasonable precautions shall be exercised by Owner and Owner's representative or contractor in the removal and extraction of material(s) from the Device and the ultimate disposal of the material(s) in a manner consistent with all relevant laws and regulations in effect at the time. As may be requested

from time to time by the County / Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), the Owner shall provide the RWQCB with documentation identifying the material(s) removed, the quantity, and disposal destination.

**3.** In the event Covenantor/Owner, or its successors or assigns, fails to accomplish the necessary maintenance contemplated by this Agreement, within five (5) days of being given written notice by the County, the County is hereby authorized to cause any maintenance necessary to be done and charge the entire cost and expense to the Owner or Owner's successors or assigns, including administrative costs and interest thereon at the maximum rate authorized by the Civil Code from the date of notice of expense until paid in full.

4. The County may require the Covenantor/Owner to post security in a form and for a time period satisfactory to the County to guarantee the performance of the obligations stated herein. Should the Owner fail to perform the obligations under this Agreement, the County may, in the case of a cash deposit, certificate of deposit or letter of credit, act for the Owner using the proceeds from it, or in the case of a surety bond, require the sureties to perform the obligations of the Agreement.

5. The County may, but shall not be obligated to, enforce this Agreement by a proceeding at law or in equity against any person or persons violating or attempting to violate any condition, covenant, equitable servitude, or restriction provided for herein, either to restrain such violation or to recover damages.

6. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements and understandings with respect to the subject matter hereof, whether oral or written.

7. If any part of this Agreement is declared by a final decision of a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid for any reason, such shall not affect the validity of the rest of the Agreement. The other parts of this Agreement shall remain in effect as if this Agreement had been executed without the invalid parts(s). The parties declare that they intend and desire that the remaining parts of this Agreement continue to be effective without any part(s) that have been declared invalid.

8. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which so executed shall, irrespective of the date of its execution and delivery, be deemed an orginal, and all such counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

**9.** This Agreement shall be recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Riverside County, California and shall constitute notice to all successors and assigns of the title to said Property of the obligation herein set forth.

**10.** In the event of legal action occasioned by any default or action of the Covenantor/Owner, or its successors or assigns, then the Covenantor/Owner and its

successors or assigns agree(s) to pay all costs incurred by the County in enforcing the terms of this Agreement, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and that the same shall become a part of the lien against said Property.

11. Covenantor/Owner agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the County, its elected officers, employees, agents, and contractors from and against any and all liability, expense, including costs and reasonable legal fees, and claims of damage of any nature whatsoever including, but not limited to, death, bodily injury, personal injury, or property damage arising from or connected with the County inspection of the Property except where such liability, expense, or claim for damage results from the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the County.

**12.** It is the intent of the parties hereto that burdens and benefits herein undertaken shall constitute covenants that run with said Property and constitute a lien thereon against.

**13.** The obligations herein undertaken shall be binding upon the heirs, successors, executors, administrators and assigns of the parties hereto and any other present or future interest holders or estate holders in the property. The term "Owner" shall include not only the present Owner, but also its heirs, successors in interest and in title to the property, executors, administrators, and assigns. Owner shall notify any successor to title of all or part of the Property about the existence of this Agreement. Owner shall provide such notice prior to such successor obtaining an interest in all or part of the Property. Owner shall provide a copy of such notice to the County at the same time such notice is provided to the successor.

14. Time is of the essence in the performance of this Agreement.

**15.** Any notice to a party required or called for in this Agreement shall be served in person, or by deposit in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, to the address set forth below. Notice(s) shall be deemed effective upon receipt, or seventy-two (72) hours after deposit in the U.S. Mail, whichever is earlier. A party may change a notice address only by providing written notice thereof to the other party.

## COVENANTOR/OWNER NAME:

COUNTY:

Riverside County Department of Transportation Attn: Transportation Director 4080 Lemon Street Riverside, CA

## COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

## COVENANTOR/OWNER

Patricia Romo, P.E. Director of Transportation

Signature of Covenantor/Owner

(Print Name)

(Attest)

Date

Date

(Print Title)

Attach Notary

## Appendix 10: Educational Materials

BMP Fact Sheets, Maintenance Guidelines and Other End-User BMP Information

For the Final WQMP, examples of material to provide in Appendix 10 may include but are not limited to the following:

- BMP Fact Sheets for proposed BMPs form Exhibit C: LID BMP Design Handbook of the SMR WQMP,
- Source control information and training material for site owners and operators,
- O&M training material,
- Other educational/training material related to site drainage and BMPs.