1.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

1.1 None

2.0 PUBLIC HEARING: 9:00 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter:

2.1 Staff Recommendation:
DENIAL
Staff recommended at hearing: DENIAL
Planning Commission Action: CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 7, 2011

APPEAL OF PLOT PLAN NO. 24775 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Royal Street Communications – Engineer/Representative: Metro PCS - First Supervisorial District – Lake Mathews Zoning District – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan: Rural: Rural Residential (R:RR) (5 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northwesterly corner of Blackburn Road and McAllister Street, more specifically 17475 McAllister Street – 1.76 Acres - Zoning: Light Agriculture – 10 Acre Minimum (A-1-10) - REQUEST: The plot plan proposes a wireless communications facility, for Metro PCS, disguised as a 50 foot high flagpole with six (6) panel antennas mounted inside the flagpole. The 400 square foot lease area surrounded by an 8 foot high wood fence equipment enclosure with trellis cover will contain four (4) equipment cabinets and one (1) GPS antenna. Project Planner, Damaris Abraham at 951-955-5719 or e-mail dabraham@rctlma.org. (Quasi-judicial)

2.2 Staff Recommendation:
APPROVAL
Staff recommended at hearing: APPROVAL
Planning Commission Action: APPROVED

CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7625 and TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35220 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Richard Rogers – Engineer/Rep: CJ Consulting – First Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area – Southwest Area Plan: Rural: Rural Mountainous (R: RM) (10 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Via Vaquero, southerly of Sandia Creek, and westerly of Via Barranca – 23.26 gross acres – Zoning: Residential Agricultural – 20 Acre Minimum (R-A-20) – REQUEST: The change of zone proposes to change the site’s current zoning classification from Residential Agricultural – 20 Acre Minimum (R-A-20) to Residential Agricultural- 10 Acre Minimum (R-A-10). Tentative Parcel Map No. 35220 is a Schedule “H” subdivision of 23.26 gross acres into two (2) parcels with a minimum parcel size of 10 gross acres. Project Planner, Matt Straite at 951-955-8631 or e-mail mstraye@rctlma.org. (Quasi-judicial)

2.3 Staff Recommendation:
APPROVAL
Staff recommended at hearing: APPROVAL
Planning Commission Action: APPROVED

CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7746 and TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 36331 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Richard Dana - Engineer/Rep: GW Engineering - Third Supervisorial District – Hemet/San Jacinto Zoning District – San Jacinto Valley Area Plan – Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Min.) – Location: Northerly of Cactus Valley Road, southerly of Vista Road, easterly of State Street and westerly of Charlene Way – 10.07 Gross Acres – Agricultural (A-1-10) - REQUEST: - The Change of Zone proposes to change the zoning on the site from A-1-10 to A-1-2, and the Tentative Parcel Map is a Schedule “H” subdivision of 10.07 gross acres into three (3) residential lots with a minimum of 3 gross acre per lot. Project Planner, Adrienne Rossi at 951-955-6925 or e-mail arossi@rctlma.org. (Quasi-judicial)

2.4 Staff Recommendation:

CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7761 – Intent to Adopt a Negative
DENIAL OF APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL; APPROVAL OF STAFF'S PROPOSAL

Staff recommended at hearing:

DENIAL OF APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL; APPROVAL OF STAFF'S PROPOSAL

Planning Commission Action: APPROVED VOTED

2.5 Staff Recommendation:
APPROVAL

Staff recommended at hearing: APPROVAL

Planning Commission Action: CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 7, 2011

CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7050 / TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 32988 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Anza / Butterfield Road 34, LLC – Engineer/Representative: CSL Engineering - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD: MDR) (2-5 dwelling units per acre) – Location: Northerly of Anza Road, westerly of Rio Linda Road, and southerly of Monte Verde Road – 12.9 Gross Acres - Zoning: Residential Agricultural - 5 Acre Minimum (R-A-5) Request: The change of zone proposes to change the site’s zoning classification from Residential Agricultural – 5 Acre Minimum (R-A-5) to One Family Dwelling (R-1) and Open Area Combining Zone Residential Developments (R-5). The tentative tract map is a Schedule A subdivision of 12.9 acres into 37 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 7,200 square feet and three (3) open space lots for enhanced landscape treatments. (Continued from 9/6/2011, 2/7/2007, 1/10/2007 & 10/05/11) Project Planner, Kinika Hesterly at 951-955-1888 or e-mail khesterl@rctlma.org. (Quasi-judicial)

2.6 Staff Recommendation:
APPROVAL

Staff recommended at hearing: APPROVAL

Planning Commission Action: DENIED, RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ORDINANCE NO. 348.4299 and CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 07766 – Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration – Applicant: County of Riverside – Engineer/Rep: County of Riverside– First and Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area – Southwest Area Plan: Rural: Rural Mountainous (R: RM) (10 Acre Minimum) and Open Space – Recreation (OS: R) (20 acre minimum) – Location: The project area is non-contiguous and located in two areas within the Southwest Riverside County. Project Area A is located in the Murrieta hills bounded by Clinton Keith Road, Grand Avenue, and Tenaja Road. Project Area B is located in the Temecula hills bounded by Pauba Road, Rancho California Road, and De Luz Road. – 3,262 gross acres – Zoning: Residential Agriculture - 5 acre minimum and 10 acre minimum (R-A-10 and R-A-5); Light Agriculture - 20 acre minimum (A-1-20), and Rural Residential (RR)– REQUEST: The project proposes to create a zoning overlay to provide hillside grading standards and architectural design guidelines for the Santa Rosa Escarpment areas. Project Planner, Adam Rush at 951-955-6646 or e-mail arush@rctlma.org. (Judicial)

3.0 WORKSHOPS: 9:00 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter:

3.1 None

4.0 ORAL COMMUNICATION ON ANY MATTER NOT ON THE AGENDA
5.0 DIRECTOR’S REPORT

6.0 COMMISSIONER’S COMMENTS