If you wish to speak, please complete a “SPEAKER IDENTIFICATION FORM” and give it to the Planning Director. The purpose of the public hearing is to allow interested parties to express their concerns. Please do not repeat information already given. If you have no additional information, but wish to be on record, simply give your name and address and state that you agree with the previous speaker(s).

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you require reasonable accommodations please contact the TLMA Commission Secretary at (951) 955-7436 or e-mail at esarabia@rivco.org. Requests should be made 72 hours in advance or as soon as possible prior to the scheduled meeting. Alternative formats are available upon request.

1.0 CONSENT CALENDAR:

1.1 THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 32311 – Applicant: Lansing Companies – First Supervisorial District – Mead Valley Zoning District – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan: Rural Community: Low Density Residential (RC-LDR) (½ acre minimum) – Open Space: Conservation (OS-C) – Open Space: Recreation (OS-R) – Location: Southerly of Cajalco Road and westerly of Barton Street – 108.4 Acres – Zoning: Specific Plan Zone (SP229) – Approved Project Description: Schedule “I” to realign the parcel lines within the planning area boundary lines of Planning Areas No. 7, 8, 9, and 12 Boulder Springs of Specific Plan No. 229, Amendment No. 1 for the sole purpose of financing – REQUEST: Third Extension Of Time Request for Tentative Parcel Map No. 32311, extending the expiration date to May 15, 2021. Project Planner: Gabriel Villalobos at (951) 955-6184 or email at gvillalo@rivco.org.

1.2 THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 32379 – Applicant: Matthew Fagan Consulting Services – Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area – Southwest Area Plan: Community Development: Commerical Retail (CD-CR) (0.20-0.35 FAR) – Location: Northerly of Mazoe Street, easterly of Pourroy Road, southerly of Auld Road, and westerly of Dickson Path – 21.01 Acres – Zoning: General Commercial (C-1/C-P) – Approved Project Description: Schedule “E” subdivision of 21.01 acres into three (3) commercial lots, and the realignment to Butterfield Stage Road and Auld Road. The proposed parcels range in size from a minimum of 3.72 gross acres to a maximum of 10.97 gross acres – REQUEST: Third Extension of Time Request for Tentative Parcel Map No. 32379, extending the expiration date to June 14, 2021. Project Planner: Gabriel Villalobos at (951) 955-6184 or email at gvillalo@rivco.org.

2.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS: CONTINUED ITEMS: 1:30 p.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

NONE

3.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS: NEW ITEMS: 1:30 p.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

3.1 PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Rubicon Design Group c/o Michele Rambo – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD-CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 acres – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) – REQUEST: Plot Plan No. 26246 proposes a 7,225 sq. ft. retail store (Dollar General) on 2.60 acres. No alcohol sales. The project will include 41 parking spaces (including 2 ADA and 4 bike racks), signage (including a 20 foot high stucco pylon sign), and bio-retention/infiltration basins. Project Planner: Tim Wheeler at (951) 955-6060 or email at twheeler@rivco.org.

4.0 PUBLIC COMMENTS:
Director's Hearing: May 21, 2018

PROPOSED PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Number(s):</th>
<th>PM32311</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area Plan:</td>
<td>Lake Mathews/Woodcrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Area/District:</td>
<td>Mead Valley District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisorial District:</td>
<td>First District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Planner:</td>
<td>Gabriel Villalobos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicant(s):

- Lansing Companies
c/o Greg Lansing

Charissa Leach, P.E.
Assistant TLMA Director

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to realign the parcel lines within the planning area boundary lines of Planning Areas No. 7, 8, 9 and 12 Boulder Springs of Specific Plan No. 229, Amended No. 1 for the sole purposes of financing. The project is located southerly of Cajalco Road, and westerly of Barton Street.

PROJECT RECOMMENDATION

APPROVAL of the THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 32311, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to May 15, 2021, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant’s consent.

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

Figure 1: Project Location Map
PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Background
Tentative Parcel Map No. 32311 was originally approved at Director’s Hearing on May 15, 2006. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on June 6, 2006.

The First Extension of Time was received March 23, 2016, ahead of the expiration date of May 15, 2016.

The Second Extension of Time was received March 13, 2017. The second extension of time will appear on the same agenda as this extension of time, and be acted upon subsequent to this action.

The Third Extension of Time was received April 19, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of May 15, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on May 2, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (May 2, 2018) indicating the acceptance of no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Director for approval.

State Bills
EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185): On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333): On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208): On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116): On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between January 1, 2000 and July 11, 2013.

Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions
Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be
granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1st and 2nd extensions of time each granted 1 year for a total of 2 years. This, 3rd extension will grant another 3 years. The remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval will be 1 year and will expire on May 15, 2021.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Director, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map’s expiration date will become. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

FINDINGS

In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:

Extension of Time Findings

1. This Tentative Parcel Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.

2. This Tentative Parcel Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.

3. No changes to the approved Tentative Parcel Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.
Extension of Time
Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: PM32311
Original E.A. Number: 40247
Extension of Time No.: 3rd EOT
Original Approval Date: May 15, 2006
Project Location: South of Cajalco Road, and West of Barton Street
Project Description: Schedule I - to realign the parcel lines within the planning area boundary lines of Planning Areas No. 7, 8, 9 and 12 Boulder Springs of Specific Plan No. 229, Amended No. 1 for the sole purposes of financing.

On May 15, 2006, this Tentative Parcel Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval.

☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project’s original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent.

☐ I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project’s original conditions of approval may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL.

☐ I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME.

Signature: Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner
Date: For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director
Mr. Villalobos,

Thank you for pointing out the mistake, we agree our application should be for the 3rd EoT, not the 4th.

Please let this email act as our approval of the fact that no new conditions of approval are needed in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

Thank you for your assistance.

Jim Hoxie
For Gregory Lansing
From: Villalobos, Gabriel [mailto:GVillalo@rivco.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2018 4:59 PM
To: Gregory Lansing
Subject: Recommended Conditions for PM32311 3rd EOT

* NOTE: Application submitted should be for 3rd EOT, not 4th as there is currently no record of 3rd EOT request being processed/approved prior to the submittal of this application.

Attn: Lansing Companies
c/o Greg Lansing
12671 High Bluff Drive, Suite 150
San Diego, CA 92130

RE: THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP No. 32311.

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of no new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

If these conditions are acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, the acceptance of each condition by name and number, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept these conditions. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package.

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for the Planning Director’s Heraring as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Gabriel Villalobos
Riverside County Planning
4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
951-955-0184
How are we doing? Click the link and tell us

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure.

If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

County of Riverside California
Director’s Hearing: May 21, 2018

PROPOSED PROJECT

Case Number(s): PM32379
Area Plan: Southwest
Zoning Area/District: Rancho California Area
Supervisory District: Third District
Project Planner: Gabriel Villalobos

Applicant(s):
Matthew Fagan Consulting Services
c/o Matthew Fagan

Charissa Leach, P.E.
Assistant TLMA Director

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 21.01 acres into 3 commercial lots, and the realignment to Butterfield Stage Road and Auld Road. The proposed parcels range in size from a minimum of 3.72 gross acres to a maximum of 10.97 gross acres. The project is located north of Mazoe St, east of Pourroy Rd, south of Auld Rd, and west of Dickson Path.

PROJECT RECOMMENDATION

APPROVAL of the THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 32379, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to June 14, 2021, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant’s consent.

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

Figure 1: Project Location Map
PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Background
Tentative Parcel Map No. 32379 was originally approved at Planning Commission on June 14, 2006. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on August 15, 2006.

The First Extension of Time was approved at the Planning Commission on September 7, 2016.

The Second Extension of Time was received April 20, 2017, ahead of the expiration date of June 14, 2017. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on May 10, 2017.

The Third Extension of Time was received March 26, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of June 14, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on April 16, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package is the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (April 16, 2018) indicating the acceptance of no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Director for approval.

State Bills
EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185): On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333): On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208): On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116): On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between January 1, 2000 and July 11, 2013.
Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions
Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1st and 2nd extensions of time each granted 1 year for a total of 2 years. This, 3rd extension will grant another 3 years. The remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval will be 1 year and will expire on June 14, 2021.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Director, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map’s expiration date will become June 14, 2021. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

FINDINGS

In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:

Extension of Time Findings
1. This Tentative Parcel Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.

2. This Tentative Parcel Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.

3. No changes to the approved Tentative Parcel Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.
Extension of Time
Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: PM32379
Original E.A. Number: 39564
Extension of Time No.: 3rd EOT
Original Approval Date: June 14, 2006
Project Location: North of Maze St, East of Pourroy Rd, South of Auld Rd, and West of Dickson Path
Project Description: Schedule E - subdivision of 21.01 acres into 3 commercial lots, and the realignment to Butterfield Stage Road and Auld Road. The proposed parcels range in size from a minimum of 3.72 gross acres to a maximum of 10.97 gross acres.

On June 14, 2006, this Tentative Parcel Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:

| ☐ | I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project’s original conditions of approval. |
| ☑ | I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project’s original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent. |
| ☐ | I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project’s original conditions of approval may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL. |
| ☐ | I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME. |

Signature: ____________________________________________________________________
   Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner

Date: _______________________________________________________________________
   For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director
Gabriel:

Good morning.

I hope all is well.

We, as applicant are in concurrence with your e-mail regarding the conditions of approval.

Seeing that there are no new conditions of approval, we accept that fact.

We look toward to the approval process continuing at this time.

Could you please let me know what the next steps are?

Please contact me if you have any questions or comments.

Thank you.

Matthew Fagan
Matthew Fagan Consulting Services, Inc.
42011 Avenida Vista Ladera
Temecula, CA 92591
951-265-5428
matthewfagan@roadrunner.com

Work hard, Play hard, Laugh hard!!
c/o Matthew Fagan
42011 Avenida Vista Ladera
Temecula, CA 92591

RE: THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP No. 32379.

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of no new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

Please review the proposed conditions of approval attached in this correspondence. If these conditions are acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, the acceptance of each condition by name and number, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept these conditions. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package. The attached document is a copy of the recommended conditions which are identified as follows:

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for the Planning Director's Hearing as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Gabriel Villalobos
Riverside County Planning
4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
951-855-6134

How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us
Confidentiality Disclaimer
PROPOSED PROJECT

Case Number(s): Plot Plan No. 26246
Select Environ. Type: Negative Declaration
Area Plan: Elsinore
Zoning Area/District: Meadowbrook Area
Supervisorial District: First District
Project Planner: Tim Wheeler
Project APN(s): 345-210-027 and 031

Applicant(s): Cross Development
Representative(s): Rubicon Design Group, LLC c/o Michele Rambo

Charissa Leach, P.E.
Assistant TLMA Director

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

Plot Plan No. 26246 proposes a 7,225 square foot retail store (Dollar General) on 2.60 acres ('the Project'). No alcohol sales. The project will include 41 parking spaces (including 2 ADA and 4 bike racks), signage (including a 20 foot high stucco pylon sign) and bio-retention/infiltration basins.

The project is located south of Richard Street, west of Robert Street, and east of Highway 74.

PROJECT RECOMMENDATION

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

ADOPT a NEGATIVE DECLARATION for ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 43020, based on the findings and conclusions provided in the initial study, attached hereto, and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

APPROVE Plot Plan No. 26246, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions provided in this staff report.

PROJECT DATA

Land Use and Zoning:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Plan</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific Plan Land Use</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Existing General Plan Foundation Component | Community Development |
| Proposed General Plan Foundation Component | N/A |
| Existing General Plan Land Use Designation | Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) |
### Project Site Details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Min./Max. Development Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site (Acres)</td>
<td>2.60 acres</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Building Area (SQFT)</td>
<td>7,225 sqft.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Height (FT)</td>
<td>24 feet top of parapet</td>
<td>35 feet with setbacks/50 feet max.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parking:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Use</th>
<th>Building Area (in SF)</th>
<th>Parking Ratio</th>
<th>Spaces Required</th>
<th>Spaces Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Retail Store</td>
<td>7,225 sqft</td>
<td>1 space/200 sqft. of gross floor area</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike rack</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>7,225 sqft</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Located Within:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City’s Sphere of Influence</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Service Area (“CSA”)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Flood Hazard Zone</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Preserve</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefaction Area</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidence Area</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault Zone</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Zone</td>
<td>Yes – Very High Fire Hazard Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Palomar Observatory Lighting Zone</td>
<td>Yes – Zone B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRCMSHCP Criteria Cell</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephens Kangaroo Rat (“SKR”) Fee Area</td>
<td>Yes – Mitigated $500.00 per gross acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Influence Area (“AIA”)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT LOCATION MAP**

![Project Location Map](image)

Figure 1: Project Location Map

**PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS**

**Background:**

The application for the Project was submitted on April 20, 2017; including information provided to the applicant from a preliminary application review (PAR01502).

The Project (a retail store) is 7,225 square foot building with roof shielding of equipment. Included is are 37 parking stalls (2 for ADA), 4 bike racks, a cart-corrals, and 2 bio-retention/infiltration basins. The project also includes signage for the building and a 20 foot maximum tall stucco pylon sign next to Highway 74.
on the project site. Perimeter fencing matching the approved subdivision (Tentative Tract Map No. 36450),
that is caddy-corner across from the project, was incorporated to further provide a cohesive design look
in the surrounding area. Hours of operations generally are from 8am to 9pm seven (7) days a week, per
the applicant.

Adjustments to the Project have been made relating Transportation concerns along Highway 74 and
Richard Street and how those concerns will be addressed, including landscaping and signage for the
Project. These adjustments provide an opportunity to establish a unique development of a retail store in
a growing area of the County.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW / ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS

An Initial Study (IS) and a Negative Declaration (ND) have been prepared for this project in accordance
with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The IS and ND represent the independent
judgment of Riverside County. The documents have been circulated for public review per the State
CEQA Guidelines Section 15105.

As of the writing of this staff report, no comment letters in response to the circulated IS and ND have been
received, and no revisions to the project have been made. As demonstrated in the IS and ND, the
proposed project will not result in any significant impacts to the environment, with no mitigation necessary.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

In order for the County to approve the proposed project, the following findings are required to be
made:

Land Use Findings:

1. The Project site has a General Plan Land Use Designation of Community Development: Commercial
Retail (CD: CR). The Commercial Retail designation allows for local and regional retail and service
uses. The proposed project is a retail store that would service both local and regional consumers in
the surrounding area.

2. The Project site has a Zoning Classification of Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) and is consistent
with the Riverside County General Plan as it is a commercial zone within a (CD: CR) land use
designation.

3. The Project site is located within the Rural Village Land Use Overlay. The Rural Village Overlay allows
a concentration of residential and local-serving commercial uses within areas of rural character. The
Rural Village Overlay allows uses and maximum densities/intensities of the Medium Density
Residential, and Medium-High Density Residential, and Commercial Retail land use designations. The
Project is consistent with the Rural Village Land Use Overlay as it is a commercial retail project
proposed on a commercially zoned parcel (C-P-S). It establishes a commercial use and surrounds
rural density properties and projects, providing needed access to goods and services.

4. The proposed use, a retail store, is consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and is allowed
within the C-P-S Zoning Classification, subject to a Plot Plan approval.
Plot Plan Findings:

1. The proposed use conforms to all the requirements of the General Plan and with all applicable requirements of State law and the ordinances of Riverside County. The Project, a retail store, conforms to the General Plan Land Use Designation of CD: CR; to the Zoning Classification of C-P-S; and can be approved with a Plot Plan pursuant to Ordinance 348.

2. The overall development of the land shall be designed for the protection of the public health, safety and general welfare. The project has been designed to meet guidelines by the Rural Village Land Use Overlay Zone. The designs consist of architectural features, lighting, fencing, and signage features to reflect a desired look for the Rural Village Land Use Overlay along Highway 74. Landscaping, parking and infrastructure design also protect the health, safety and general welfare of the surrounding area.

3. The project conforms to the logical development of the land and is compatible with the present and future logical development of the surrounding property. Currently surrounding the Project site is a residential parcel to the east. To the north and south are vacant lands. To the west across Highway 74, is vacant land and a few residential parcels. This Project is one of the first commercial developments within the Rural Village Land Use Overlay with an enhanced development design. Other parcels along Highway 74 will also be configured to represent, either commercial or industrial developments, a design reflective of this Projects enhanced design features beyond that which is presently developed.

4. That plan for the proposed use shall consider the location and need for dedication and improvement of necessary streets and sidewalks, including the avoidance of traffic congestion; and shall take into account topographical and drainage conditions, including the need for dedication and improvements of necessary structures as a part thereof. The project will also not impact any mass transit means. The site will have a less than significant impact on an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system. The project site would not result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads or congestion at intersections. No additional or special road right of way improvements then the normal needed for a commercial development are being imposed on the project to accommodate existing conditions.

Development Standards Findings:

The following standards of development are required in the C-P-S Zone:

1. No minimum lot area requirements are required. The Project site is a 2.60 acre parcel (per approved Certificate of Parcel Merger No. 2008) and able to accommodate a retail store.

2. There are no yard requirements for buildings which do not exceed 35 feet in height. The project’s building height is 24 feet high at the top of the parapet. Therefore there are no yard requirements. The Project’s setbacks are 152 feet from Highway 74 and approximately 90 feet from Richard Street. The closest setback is 50 to the rear property line.

3. No building or structure shall exceed 50 feet in height. The height of the project building is 24 feet high from the top of the parapet.

4. Automobile storage space shall be provided as required by Section 18.12 of Ordinance No. 348. The project provides 41 onsite parking spaces, including ADA parking spaces (1 space per 200 sqft. of
gross floor area). Since the retail store is 7,225 sqft. (7225 divided by 200 equals 36 parking spaces) and meets or exceeds the off-street parking requirements for Ordinance No. 348.

5. All roof mounted mechanical equipment shall be screened from the ground elevation view. The Project’s roof mounted equipment is screened from all sides of the project site by design from ground view elevations.

Other Findings:

1. The project site is not located within a Criteria Cell of the Western Riverside Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRMSHCP).

2. The project site is not located within a City Sphere of Influence.

3. The project site is not located within an Airport Influence Area (“AIA”) boundary and is therefore not subject to the Airport Land Use Commission (“ALUC”) review.

4. In compliance with Assembly Bill 52 (AB52), notices regarding this project were mailed to eight requesting tribes on May 05, 2017. Consultations were requested by the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Mission Indians, the Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians.

Consultation with Soboba took place on August 1, 2017. During this meeting Planning agreed to condition the project for archaeological and tribal monitoring during ground disturbing activities and consultation was concluded the same day. No Tribal Cultural Resources were identified by the tribe.

Consultation with Pechanga took place on June 14, 2017 and August 15, 2017. No Tribal Cultural Resources were identified by the tribe. The conditions of approval for the project were provided to Pechanga on August 16, 2017 and again on September 20, 2017. A consultation closure letter was sent to Pechanga on October 13, 2017.

5. The project site is located within Zone B of the Mount Palomar Observatory Lighting Zone boundary, as identified by Ordinance No. 655 (Mt. Palomar). The project is required to comply with all lighting standards specified within Ordinance No. 655, pursuant to Zone B.

6. The project site is located within the Fee Assessment Area of the Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan (“SKRHCP”). Per County Ordinance No. 663 and the SKRHCP, all applicants who submit for development permits, including maps, within the boundaries of the Fee Assessment Area who cannot satisfy mitigation requirements through on-site mitigation, as determined through the environmental review process, shall pay a Mitigation Fee of $500.00 per gross acre of the parcels proposed for development. Payment of the SKRHCP Mitigation Fee for this Project, instead of onsite mitigation, will not jeopardize the implementation of the SKRHCP as all core reserves required for permanent Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat habitat have been acquired and no new land or habitat is required to be conserved under the SKRHCP.

Fire Findings:

1. The project site is located within a Cal Fire State Responsibility Area (“SRA”) and is also within a very high fire hazard severity zone. As a part of being within an SRA, the Director of the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection or his/her designee must be notified of applications for building permits,
tentative tract/parcel maps, and use permits for construction or development within an SRA. Riverside County Code Section 8.32.050 (C) (2) states that the Fire Chief is authorized and directed to enforce all applicable State fire laws and provisions of this ordinance and to perform such duties as directed by the Board of Supervisors. As designated, the Riverside County Assistant Fire Marshall shall have the authority to enforce all applicable State fire laws that the notification requirement of Title 14 has been met. The following additional findings are required to be met:

a. This land division has been designed so that each lot, and the subdivision as a whole, is in compliance sections 4290 and 4291 of the Public Resources Code by providing a defensible space within each lot of 100 feet from each side, front and rear of a pad site, requiring that the site have fuel modification standards acceptable to the Riverside County Fire Department, requiring a minimum 10-foot clearance of all chimneys or stovetop exhaust pipes, no buildings shall covered or have dead brush overhang the roof line and requiring that the roof structure shall be maintained free of leaves, needles, or other vegetation. This project includes a Plot Plan for a retail store. No subdivision is proposed. However, this project has been reviewed by the Riverside County Fire Department, who found the design to be in compliance with standard defensible space and fire code regulations.

b. The Riverside County Fire Department provides fire protection services to the Project area. The proposed Project is closest to the Goodmeadow Fire Station No. 9 located approximately 1.87 miles north of the Project site at 21565 Steel Peak Road. Additionally, there is also the Canyon Lake Fire Station No. 60 located approximately 2.71 miles southeast of the Project site at 28730 Vacation Drive in Canyon Lake, CA. Thus, the Project site is adequately served by fire protection services under existing conditions.

c. The project meets the regulations regarding road standards for fire equipment access adopted pursuant to Section 4290 of the Public Resources Code and Riverside County Ordinance No. 787 by the installation of blue dot reflectors, a super fire hydrant, knox box on the outside of the building, standards for signs identifying streets, roads and buildings, fire sprinklers, water supply reserves for emergency fire use, and other.

Conclusion:

1. For the reasons discussed above, as well as the information provided in the Initial Study, the proposed project conforms to all the requirements of the General Plan and with all applicable requirements of State law and the ordinances of Riverside County. Moreover, the proposed project would not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of the community.

PUBLIC HEARING NOTIFICATION AND COMMUNITY OUTREACH

This project was advertised in the Press Enterprise Newspaper. Additionally, public hearing notices were mailed to property owners within 1,000 feet of the project site. As of the writing of this report, Planning Staff has not received written communication/phone calls from the public who indicated support or opposition to the proposed project. This project was presented before the Good Hope Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) on November 2, 2017.
The Director's Hearing decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission. Such appeals shall be submitted in writing to the Clerk of the Board, with the required fee as set forth in Ordinance No. 671 (Consolidated Fees for Land Use and Related Functions), within 10 days after the date of the mailing of the Planning Director's decision.
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NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project/Case Number: PP26246 / EA43020

Based on the Initial Study, it has been determined that the proposed project will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION (see Environmental Assessment).

COMPLETED/REVIEWED BY:

By: Tim Wheeler Title: Project Planner Date: April 16, 2018

Applicant/Project Sponsor: Cross Development Date Submitted: April 20, 2017

ADOPTED BY: Planning Director

Person Verifying Adoption: Tim Wheeler Date: May 21, 2018

The Negative Declaration may be examined, along with documents referenced in the initial study, if any, at:

Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501

For additional information, please contact Tim Wheeler at 951-955-6060.
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number: 43020
Project Case Type (s) and Number(s): Plot Plan 26246
Lead Agency Name: County of Riverside Planning Department
Address: P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409
Contact Person: Tim Wheeler
Telephone Number: 951-955-6060
Applicant’s Name: Cross Development/CD DG Lake Elsinore North, LLC
Applicant’s Address: 4336 Marsh Ridge Rd, Carrolton TX, 75010
Engineer's Name: Jon Browning, Tectonics Design Group
Engineer's Address: 730 Sandhill Road Suite 250, Reno NV 89521

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Project Description: Plot Plan No. 26246 proposes a 7,225 square foot retail store (Dollar General) on 2.60 acres. No alcohol sales. The project will include 41 parking spaces (including 2 ADA), signage (including a stucco pylon sign) and bio-retention/infiltration basins.

B. Type of Project: Site Specific ☑; Countywide ☐; Community ☐; Policy ☐.

C. Total Project Area: 2.60 Acres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential Acres</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Lots: N/A</th>
<th>Units: N/A</th>
<th>Projected No. of Residents: N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Acres</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>Lots: 2</td>
<td>Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: 7,225</td>
<td>Est. No. of Employees: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Acres</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Lots: N/A</td>
<td>Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: N/A</td>
<td>Est. No. of Employees: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Assessor’s Parcel No(s): 345-210-027 and 345-210-031 (Parcel Merger CPMC02008 was recorded on January 10, 2018, but no new APN has yet been assigned)

E. Street References: The project site is located in the Meadowbrook community at the southeast corner of Highway 74 and Richard Street.

F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description: Section 15, Township 5 South, Range 4 West

G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings: The project site is a relatively flat field that shows disturbance of the vacant lot, as well as different invasive species. There are many man-made disturbances on all boundaries, includes fences, paved roads and power lines.

II. APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS

A. General Plan Elements/Policies:

1. Land Use: The proposed project is currently designated Commercial Retail. The proposal will meet all applicable land use policies of the General Plan and zoning regulations.

2. Circulation: The proposed project has been reviewed for conformance with County Ordinance 461 by the Riverside County Transportation Department. Adequate circulation
facilities exist and are proposed to serve the proposed project. The proposed project meets all applicable circulation policies of the General Plan.

3. **Multipurpose Open Space**: No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of this project. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Multipurpose Open Space Element policies.

4. **Safety**: The proposed project is not within a liquefaction area and has soil subsidence potential. The project site is not located within a County Fault Hazard Zone. The proposed project is located within a very high fire hazard area, but is not located within a dam inundation area. The proposed project has allowed for sufficient provision of emergency response services to the future users of this project through the project design and payment of development impact fees. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Safety Element policies.

5. **Noise**: The proposed project meets with all applicable Noise Element policies.

6. **Housing**: The project proposes a 7,225 square foot Dollar General retail store. There are no impacts to housing as a direct result of this project at this time.

7. **Air Quality**: The proposed project has been conditioned to control any fugitive dust during grading and construction activities. The proposed project meets all other applicable Air Quality Element policies.

8. **Healthy Communities**: There are no impacts or adverse effects to healthy communities as a direct result of this project at this time.

B. **General Plan Area Plan(s)**: Elsinore Area Plan

C. **Foundation Component(s)**: Community Development

D. **Land Use Designation(s)**: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR)

E. **Overlay(s), if any**: N/A

F. **Policy Area(s), if any**: Rural Village Land Use Overlay

G. **Adjacent and Surrounding Area Plan(s), Foundation Component(s), Land Use Designation(s), and Overlay(s) and Policy Area(s), if any:**

   1. **Area Plan(s)**: Elsinore Area Plan

   2. **Foundation Component(s)**: Community Development

   3. **Land Use Designation(s)**: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) to the north and east; Community Development: Very Low Density Residential (CD: VLD) to the south and west

   4. **Overlay(s)**: N/A

   5. **Policy Area(s)**: Rural Village Land Use Overlay
H. Adopted Specific Plan Information

1. Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any: N/A

2. Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any: N/A

I. Existing Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

J. Proposed Zoning, if any: N/A

K. Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) to the north and east, Rural Residential (R-R) to the south and west

III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below (x) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a “Potentially Significant Impact” or “Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated” as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- Aesthetics
- Agriculture & Forest Resources
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology / Soils
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Hazards & Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology / Water Quality
- Land Use / Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population / Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation / Traffic
- Utilities / Service Systems
- Other:
- Other:
- Mandatory Findings of Significance

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS NOT PREPARED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project, described in this document, have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED because (a) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the proposed project will not result in any new significant environmental effects not identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantially increase the severity of the environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (e) no considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation measures found infeasible have become feasible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
☐ I find that although all potentially significant effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative Declaration has been prepared and will be considered by the approving body or bodies.

☐ I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; therefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only contain the information necessary to make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.

☐ I find that at least one of the following conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162, exist and a SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required: (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following: (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration; (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration; (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.

[Signature]

April 5, 2018

Date

Tim Wheeler

Printed Name

For Charissa Leach, Asst. TLMA Director
V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

AESTHETICS Would the project
1. Scenic Resources
   a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located?  
      ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐
   b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?  
      ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-8 “Scenic Highways”

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is to permit a 7,225 square foot retail store. The Riverside County General Plan indicates that the project site is located within a state eligible scenic highway. The project site sits approximately 10-15 feet below the grade of Highway 74, limiting the visibility of the building by motorists traveling the highway. In addition, the architecture of the building has been designed to blend in with other commercial uses in the area with fencing to match the already-approved subdivision on the northwest corner of the same intersection. Therefore, development impact of the project site is considered less than significant to any scenic resources.

b) The proposed project is for a small retail store. The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features, or obstruct a prominent scenic vista. As mentioned above, the topography of the site and the design of the building will minimize any impacts to scenic resources. The impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.
2. Mt. Palomar Observatory
   a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County Ordinance No. 655?

   Source: RCLIS, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)

   Findings of Fact:

   a) According to the RCLIS, the project site is located approximately 35 miles away from the Mt. Palomar Observatory, which is within the designated Zone B Special Lighting Area that surrounds the Mt. Palomar Observatory. Ordinance No. 655 contains approved materials and methods of installation, definition, general requirements, requirements for lamp source and shielding, prohibition and exceptions. This project is required to comply with Ordinance No. 655, as a result, impacts will be less than significant.

   Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

   Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

3. Other Lighting Issues
   a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

   b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels?

   Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Description

   Findings of Fact:

   a) The proposed project may result in a new source of light and glare from the addition of security lighting and facility lighting, as well as vehicular lighting from cars traveling on adjacent roadways.

   Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 is applicable to the project site. Pursuant of this Ordinance, the project’s onsite lighting will be directed downward or shielded and hooded to avoid shining onto adjacent properties and streets. Furthermore, the amount of lighting will be similar to other planned residential and commercial areas surrounding the site. Standard conditions of approval are not considered unique mitigation measures pursuant to CEQA. No additional mitigation is identified or required. Therefore, these impacts would be less than significant.

   b) The proposed project is not expected to create unacceptable light levels as it has been conditioned for conformance with Ordinance No. 655. Therefore, the proposed project would not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area or expose residential property to unacceptable light levels. Impacts would be less than significant.

   Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

   Monitoring: No monitoring is required.
AGRICULTURE & FOREST RESOURCES Would the project

4. Agriculture
   a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☠

   b) Conflict with existing agricultural zoning, agricultural use or with land subject to a Williamson Act contract or land within a Riverside County Agricultural Preserve?
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☠

   c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 “Right-to-Farm”)?
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☠

   d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use?
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☠

Source: California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program; Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-2 “Agricultural Resources,” RCLIS, and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not designated as farmland by either the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Department of Conservation or the Riverside County General Plan. Therefore, there is no significant impact from this project to Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance.

b) The project site is not located within an agricultural preserve and will not conflict with existing agricultural use or the Williamson Act contract.

c) There are no agriculturally zoned properties within 300’ of the project site. Therefore, the project will not cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 “Right-to-Farm”).

d) The project site is not currently being farmed. The proposed project will not involve conversion of farmland or involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.
5. **Forest**
   a) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g))?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   b) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-3 “Parks, Forests and Recreation Areas,” and Project Application Materials.

**Findings of Fact:**

a-c) The project is not located within an area designated for, or zoned for, forestry. The project will not result in the loss of any forest land or result in conversion of forest land. The proposed project is a small retail store and will not result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impacts will occur as a result of this project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

**AIR QUALITY** Would the project

6. **Air Quality Impacts**
   a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
   b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within 1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source emissions?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?  
      ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook Table 6-2
Findings of Fact:

a) The Project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB), which is under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The SCAQMD is principally responsible for air pollution control, and has adopted a series of Air Quality Management Plans (AQMP's) to meet the state and federal ambient air quality standards. Most recently, the SCAQMD Governing Board adopted the Final 2016 AQMP in March 2017. The 2016 AQMP was based on assumptions provided by both the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) in the latest available EMFAC model for the most recent motor vehicle and demographics information, respectively. The air quality levels projected in the 2016 AQMP are based on several assumptions. For example, the 2016 AQMP has assumed that development associated with general plans, specific plans, residential projects, and wastewater facilities will be constructed in accordance with population growth projections identified by SCAG in its 2016 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The 2016 AQMP also has assumed that such development projects will implement strategies to reduce emissions generated during the construction and operational phases of development. Therefore, these impacts would be less than significant.

b-c) The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is in a non-attainment status for federal ozone standards, federal carbon monoxide standards, and state and federal particulate matter standards. Any development in the SCAB, including the proposed Project, would cumulatively contribute to these pollutant violations.

The project is consistent with the General Plan. The General Plan is a policy document that reflects the County's vision for the future of Riverside County. The General Plan is organized into eight separate elements, including an Air Quality Element. The purpose of the Air Quality Element is to protect County residents from the harmful effects of poor air quality. The Air Quality Element identifies goals, policies, and programs that are meant to balance actions regarding land use, circulation, and other issues with their potential effects on air quality. The Air Quality Element, in conjunction with local and regional air quality planning efforts, addresses ambient air quality standards set forth by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed project would not exceed emissions projected by the Air Quality Element. The County is charged with implementing the policies in the General Plan Air Quality Element, which are focused on reducing concentrations of criteria pollutants, reducing negative impacts to sensitive receptors, reducing mobile and stationary pollutant sources, increasing energy conservation and efficiency, improving the jobs to housing balance, and facilitating multi-jurisdictional coordination for the improvement of air quality.

Implementation of the project would not impact air quality beyond the levels documented in EIR No. 441 prepared for the General Plan. The project would impact air quality in the short-term during construction and in the long-term through operation. In accordance with standard county requirements, dust control measures and maintenance of construction equipment shall be utilized on the property to limit the amount of particulate matter generated. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

The proposed project would primarily impact air quality through increased automotive emissions. However, projects of this type do not generate enough traffic and associated air pollutants to violate clean air standards or contribute enough air pollutants to be considered a cumulatively considerable significant impact. Therefore, the impacts to air quality are considered less than significant.
d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is particularly susceptible to health effects due to exposure to an air contaminant than is the population at large. Sensitive receptors (and the facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors are of particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with major traffic sources, such as freeways and major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normally associated with manufacturing and commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive receptors include long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. The nearest sensitive receptor is the Good Hope Elementary School located at 24050 Theda Street Perris, CA 92570 at approximately 2.52 miles north of the Project site.

Based on the analysis presented above, the proposed Project would not expose sensitive receptors which are located within one mile of the Project site to substantial point source emissions, and impacts would be less than significant.

e) There would be no substantial sources of point source emissions within one mile of the Project site. Land uses within one mile of the site comprise residential, commercial, and undeveloped lands, none of which are considered sources of point source emissions. Surrounding land uses do not include significant localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants, or odors. The proposed small retail building is not considered a substantial point source emitter or a sensitive receptor. Accordingly, no impact would occur.

f) The potential for the Project to generate objectionable odors has also been considered. Land uses generally associated with odor complaints include: agricultural uses (livestock and farming); wastewater treatment plants; food processing plants; chemical plants; composting operations; refineries; landfills; dairies; and fiberglass molding facilities.

The Project does not contain land uses typically associated with emitting objectionable odors. Potential odor sources associated with the proposed Project may result from construction equipment exhaust and the application of a concrete pad for the small retail building. Standard construction requirements would minimize odor impacts from construction. The construction odor emissions would be temporary, short-term, and intermittent in nature and would cease upon completion of the respective phase of construction. Therefore, odors associated with the proposed Project construction and operations would have no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

**BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES** Would the project

7. **Wildlife & Vegetation**
   a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan?

   b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** GIS database, RCLIS, WRC-MSHCP and/or CV-MSHCP, On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site is not located within a Cell Criteria Area of the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Area. A Biological Study has been prepared by the applicant and approved by the Riverside County Planning Department. By complying with the recommendations in the Biological Study and Conditions of Approval, the project will have a less than significant impact on the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan.

b) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12). No impact will occur.

c) The project site is not located within a Cell Criteria Area of the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Area. A Biological Study has been prepared by the applicant and approved by the Riverside County Planning Department. By complying with the recommendations in the Biological Study and Conditions of Approval, the project will have a less than significant impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on the Burrowing Owl, listed as a Species of Special Concern by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.
d) The proposed project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. No impact to occur.

e) The proposed project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. No impact to occur.

f) The proposed project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. No impact to occur.

g) The proposed project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance. No impact to occur.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

---

**CULTURAL RESOURCES** Would the project

8. Historic Resources
   a) Alter or destroy an historic site? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
   b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-7, On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) Based upon analysis of records and a survey of the property by County approved Archaeologist Brian F. Smith on June 5, 2017, it has been determined that there will be no impacts to historical resources as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5 because they do not occur on the project site. Therefore, there will be no impacts to historic resources. Results of this survey can be found in PDA05054; A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Lake Elsinore North Dollar General Store Project, dated June 08, 2017.

b) Based upon analysis of records and a survey of the property by Brian F. Smith, it has been determined that there will be no impacts to significant historical resources as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5 because they do not occur on the project site. As such, no change in the significance of historical resources would occur with the implementation of the proposed project because there are no significant historical resources. Therefore, there will be no impacts in this regard.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
## 9. Archaeological Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Alter or destroy an archaeological site.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-7, Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) Based upon analysis of records and a survey of the property by County approved Archaeologist Brian F. Smith on June 5, 2017 it has been determined that there will be no impacts to archaeological resources as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5 because there were no archaeological resources identified during the survey of the project site. Results of this survey can be found in PDA05054; A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Lake Elsinore North Dollar General Store Project, dated June 08, 2017. Therefore, there will be no impacts in this regard.

b) Based upon analysis of records and a survey of the property by County approved Archaeologist Brian F. Smith on June 5, 2017, it has been determined that there will be no impacts to significant archaeological resources as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5 because they do not occur on the project site. Therefore no change in the significance of archaeological resources would occur with the implementation of the proposed project because there are no significant archaeological resources. Therefore, there will be no impacts in this regard.

c) Based on an analysis of records and archaeological survey of the property, it has been determined that the project site does not include a formal cemetery or any archaeological resources that might contain interred human remains. Nonetheless, the project will be required to adhere to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 if in the event that human remains are encountered and by ensuring that no further disturbance occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin of the remains. Furthermore, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 (b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and their disposition has been made. This is State Law, is also considered a standard Condition of Approval and as pursuant to CEQA, is not considered mitigation. Therefore, there will be no impacts in this regard.

d) Based on an analysis of records and Native American consultation, it has been determined the project property is currently not used for religious or sacred purposes. Therefore, the project will not restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area because there were none identified. Therefore, there will be no impacts in this regard.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
### GEOLOGY AND SOILS

**Would the project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**10. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone or County Fault Hazard Zones**

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 “Earthquake Fault Study Zones,” RCLIS, Geologist Comments; Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a-b) The project site is not located within a county fault zone. The project has been reviewed and accepted by the County Geologist for the intended use of a small retail store (GEO02549). The proposed project is not likely to expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death. The project is required to be inspected for compliance with the current California Building Code (CBC). These conditions are standard and not considered mitigation per CEQA. The impact will be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

**11. Liquefaction Potential Zone**

a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 “Generalized Liquefaction”

**Findings of Fact:**

a) Seismically-induced liquefaction occurs when dynamic loading of a saturated sand or silt causes pore-water pressures to increase to levels where grain-to-gran contact is lost and material temporarily behaves as a viscous fluid. Liquefaction can cause settlement of the ground surface, settlement and tilting of engineered structures, flotation of buoyant structures, and fissuring of the ground surface. Typically, liquefaction occurs in areas where groundwater lies within the upper 50 +/- feet of the ground surface. According to “Map My County,” the Project site is not located within a liquefaction area. Adherence to the California Building Code (CBC) requirements are applicable to all commercial developments and they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes. The impact will be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
12. **Ground-shaking Zone**  
Be subject to strong seismic ground shaking?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-4 “Earthquake-Induced Slope Instability Map,” and Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk)

**Findings of Fact:**

a) According to “Map My County,” the Project site is not located in a fault zone or near an identified fault-line. As is common throughout Southern California, the potential exists for strong seismic ground shaking. However, with mandatory compliance with Section 1613 of the 2016 California Building Code (CBC), structures within the site would be designed and constructed to resist the effects of seismic ground motions. Accordingly, ground shaking impacts would be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

13. **Landslide Risk**  
a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Source:** On-site Inspection, Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 “Regions Underlain by Steep Slope”

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site is relatively flat and according to Figure S-5, the project site is located in an area with slopes less than 15%; therefore, there is no potential for landslides. The project site and surrounding area does not consist of rocky terrain therefore the project is not subject to rock fall hazards. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

14. **Ground Subsidence**  
a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-7 “Documented Subsidence Areas”

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site is not located in an area susceptible to subsidence. GEO No. 2549 concludes that based on the existence of very silty sand starting at least at a depth of five (5) feet at the site, subsidence...
potential is considered minimal. Furthermore, the project is required to be inspected for compliance with all California Building Codes (CBC). These conditions are standard and not considered mitigation per CEQA. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

15. Other Geologic Hazards
   a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) There are no active volcanoes in Southern California. The project site does not contain steep slopes, and it is unlikely to be subject to other geologic hazards such as mudflow. The project is not located near any lakes, so there is a limited chance of seiche and the impacts are considered less than significant per the Geologists review of the project. Therefore, the impact is considered to be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

16. Slopes
   a) Change topography or ground surface relief features?

   b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet?

   c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 “Regions Underlain by Steep Slopes”, Building and Safety Grading Review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The project consists of a 7,225 square foot retail store with associated parking and landscaping. Grading on the site will be the minimum needed to create a buildable area. The site is generally flat with no major topographic or ground surface relief features. Therefore, the project will not significantly change topography or ground surface relief features.

b) No cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet will be created. There will be no impact.

c) A subsurface sewage disposal system is proposed as part of this project and grading will be done to accommodate this system. There are no septic systems currently located on the site. Therefore, the
project will not result in grading that affects or negates existing subsurface sewage disposal systems. There will be no impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. Soils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan figure S-6 “Engineering Geologic Materials Map”, Flood Control Review, Building and Safety Grading Review, Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The development of the site could result in the loss of topsoil from grading activities, but not in a manner that would result in significant amounts of soil erosion. Implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) would reduce the impact to below a level of significance. Impacts will be less than significant.

b) The project site may be located on expansive soil. The project has been reviewed by the County Geologist who has determined that the expansion potential of the site soils to be very low and as an alternative to the use of non-expansive soils, the upper twelve (12) inches of soil supporting the slab areas may consist of lime-treated clayey soils. Furthermore, the California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to commercial development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes. Impacts will be less than significant.

c) The project will be supported by the use of a septic tank, leach-lines, and alternative waste water disposal systems. A preliminary soils percolation investigation was conducted by Salem Engineering Group, Inc. on June 30, 2016 for this Project. A review of this report shows that at least one of the percolation tests yielded a failed rate (greater than 60mpi). The other three (3) tests yielded acceptable rates. Since there is sufficient room on the project site, the Environmental Health Department will accept the report and has conditioned that additional soils percolation testing will be conducted prior to building permit issuance to establish an area with no failed rates. These conditions are standard and not considered mitigation per CEQA. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. Erosion</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in any increase in water erosion either on or off site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Flood Control District Review, Building and Safety Grading Review, Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site is not located near the channel of a river, or stream, and is several miles from the bed of a lake. Thus the proposed project does not change deposition, siltation or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake. The project will have a less than significant impact.

b) The project may result in an increase in water erosion either on or off site. The project has standard conditions of approval to ensure erosion impacts are mitigated to less than significant levels upon final engineering and are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19. Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 “Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map,” Ord. 460, Sec. 14.2 & Ord. 484

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site is located within a moderate wind erosion area. The General Plan, Safety Element Policy for Wind Erosion requires buildings and structures to be designed to resist wind loads which are covered by the California Building Code. Standard conditions of approval have been added to ensure that wind erosion and/or blowsand is not significant during construction. Once the site is developed with the building, parking lot, drive aisles, and landscaping, there will be less chance for wind erosion and/or blowsand than currently exists. There is not anticipated to be any impact in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on- or off- site. The project will have less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
20. **Paleontological Resources**
   a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource, or site, or unique geologic feature?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 “Paleontological Sensitivity”, Project Application Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) According to “Map My County,” the project site has been mapped as having an undetermined potential for paleontological resources. The project has been conditioned (Planning.-Paleo Primp/Monitor) for prior to grading permit issuance that a qualified paleontologist be retained. Thus, the proposed Project would have a less than significant impact due to the conditions imposed on the project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

---

**GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS** Would the project

   a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Project application materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) Possible greenhouse gas producing elements of the proposed use, a retail store, will include on-site vehicle idling for deliveries and customer vehicular traffic. Also the construction activities will involve heavy duty equipment and labor. The greenhouse gas emissions generated during the construction phase will be minimal. Both of these elements will produce less than significant amounts of additional greenhouse gasses. Therefore, project is not anticipated to generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment. The project will have a less than significant impact.

b) The project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The project will have a less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</th>
<th>Would the project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potentially Significant Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Hazards and Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Project Application Materials, Department of Environmental Health Review and Fire Department Review

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The proposed 7,225 square foot store will not be transporting, using, or disposing of any hazardous material and, therefore, is not anticipated to create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. The project will have less than significant impact.

c) The project has been reviewed by the Riverside County Fire Department for emergency access, and will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan. There will be no impact from the project.

d) The project site is not located within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. The nearest school is the Good Hope Elementary School located at 24050 Theda Street Perris, CA 92570 at approximately 2.52 miles north of the Project site. There will be no impact from the project.

e) The project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. There will be no impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.
### 23. **Airports**
- a) Result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan? [X]
- b) Require review by the Airport Land Use Commission? [X]
- c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? [X]
- d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? [X]

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," RCLIS

**Findings of Fact:**
- a) The project site is not located within an Airport Influence Area or an Airport Compatibility Zone, and therefore, will not result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan. There will be no impact from the project.
- b) The project site is not located within an Airport Influence Area or an Airport Compatibility Zone, and does not require review by the Airport Land Use Commission. There will be no impact from the project.
- c) The project site is located 4.14 from the Perris Valley Airport, and is not within the Perris Valley Airport Influence Area. Perris Valley Airstrip is a privately owned airstrip located in the southern portion of the City of Perris. The project will not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. There will be no impact from the project.
- d) The project site is located 4.14 from the Perris Valley Airport, and is not within the Perris Valley Airport Influence Area. Perris Valley Airstrip is a privately owned airstrip located in the southern portion of the City of Perris. The project will not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. There will be no impact from the project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

### 24. **Hazardous Fire Area**
- a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? [X]

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 "Wildfire Susceptibility," RCLIS
Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is located in a Very High Fire Area and has been reviewed by the Riverside County Fire Department. Any building constructed within this project shall comply with the special construction provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance 787, CFC, and CBC. This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation under CEQA. The project will not significantly expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands. This impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

25. Water Quality Impacts

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

d) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

e) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors)? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

Source: Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition, Figure 8 “Flood Hazards,” Project Drainage Report, Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan
Findings of Fact:

a) There are no streams or rivers within the project site. The project is not anticipated to substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. This impact is considered less than significant.

b) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, and has been conditioned to comply with standard water quality conditions of approval. This impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge. This impact is considered less than significant.

d) The project will not create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff. This impact is considered less than significant.

e-f) The project site is not within a flood area as shown on the Elsinore Area Plan Flood Hazards Map. There will be no impact from the project.

g-h) The project will not substantially degrade water quality, but will include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs). Two (2) bio-retention/infiltration basin will be installed for the project with water treated on site either by absorption into the ground or drained to the street (Richard Street). The operation of this BMP will not result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors and odors). Any impact would be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

---

### Floodplains

Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated below, the appropriate Degree of Suitability has been checked.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?**
- **b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff?**
- **c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area)?**
- **d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?**
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 “100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones,” Figure S-10 “Dam Failure Inundation Zone,” Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition, RCLIS

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is not anticipated to substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

b) The project will not substantially change absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

c) The project is not located in a Dam inundation area. Due to the nature of the use (a retail store), there is no significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

d) The project will not cause changes in the amount of surface water in any water body. There will be no impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND USE/PLANNING</th>
<th>Would the project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27. Land Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan, RCLIS, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project site is currently zoned C-P-S with land use designation of CR. This is consistent with the present and planned land use in the area. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

b) The project site is not within the Sphere of Influence for a city. The project will not adjust or affect city or county boundaries. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.
28. Planning
   a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐
   b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐
   c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐
   d) Be consistent with the land use designations and policies of the Comprehensive General Plan (including those of any applicable Specific Plan)? ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐
   e) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: Riverside County General Plan Land Use Element, Staff Review, RCLIS

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is consistent with the site's zoning of Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S). The project is for a general retail store, which is allowed in this zone. Impacts will be less than significant.

b) The surrounding zoning is C-P-S to the north and east and Rural Residential (R-R) to the south and west. Based on this designation, the project site is compatible with surrounding zoning and shows no conflicts. Impact will be less than significant.

c) The project site has a land use designation of Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR), while surrounding properties are designated CR to the north and east and VLDR (Very Low Density Residential) to the south and west. Additionally, caddy-corner across Highway 74 is a combination of Mixed Use Area and Open Space Recreation in front of a housing development. Based on these surrounding designations, the proposed project is compatible with surrounding land uses. Impact will be less than significant.

d) The proposed project will be consistent with current land use designation of the Riverside County General Plan and the Rural Village Land Use Overlay. Impact will be less than significant.

e) The proposed project will not disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community). There will be no impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project
29. Mineral Resources
   a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-6 “Mineral Resources Area”

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is within MRZ-3, which is defined as areas where the available geologic information indicates that mineral deposits are likely to exist; however, the significance of the deposit is undetermined.

The Riverside County General Plan identifies policies that encourage protection for existing mining operations and for appropriate management of mineral extraction. A significant impact that would constitute a loss of availability of a known mineral resource would include unmanaged extraction or encroach on existing extraction. No existing or abandoned quarries or mines exist in the area surrounding the project site. The project does not propose any mineral extraction on the project site. Any mineral resources on the project site will be unavailable for the life of the project; however, the project will not result in the permanent loss of significant mineral resources. There will be no impact from the project.

b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State. The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan. There will be no impact from the project.

c) The proposed project will not be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine. There will be no impact from the project.

d) The proposed project will not expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or known abandoned quarries or mines. There will be no impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

NOISE Would the project result in

Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings
Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability Rating(s) has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable  A - Generally Acceptable  B - Conditionally Acceptable
C - Generally Unacceptable  D - Land Use Discouraged

30. Airport Noise
a) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 “Airport Locations,” County of Riverside Airport Facilities Map

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels. No impact from the project.

b) The project site is located 4.14 from the Perris Valley Airport, and is not within the Perris Valley Airport Influence Area. Perris Valley Airstrip is a privately owned airstrip located in the southern portion of the City of Perris. The project will not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels. No impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

31. Railroad Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 “Circulation Plan”, RCLIS, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located adjacent to or near an active railroad line. The nearest railroad line is 4.36 miles away. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

32. Highway Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is located approximately 4.15 miles north of Interstate 15, and adjacent to Highway 74, a 4-lane road connecting the City of Lake Elsinore to the City of Perris. While traffic on this road is
fairly moderate to heavy, it in no way compares to Interstate 15. In addition, the lower speed limit keeps highway noise down to a reasonable level for a commercial use. Therefore, the impact from highway noise is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

33. Other Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: Project Application Materials, RCLIS

Findings of Fact:

a) No other noise sources have been identified near the project site that would contribute a significant amount of noise to the project. There will be no impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

34. Noise Effects by the Project

a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Deliveries will be made by semi-truck once a week and by smaller independent suppliers once or twice a week. The mechanical equipment located on the roof will be screened as required in the Riverside County Zoning Code and recommended in the project’s Noise Study. Therefore the project will not cause a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. Impact will be less than significant.

b) All noise generated during project construction and the operation of the site must comply with the County’s noise standards, which restricts construction (short-term) and operational (long-term) noise levels. These may include but are not limited to hours of construction, hours of operation, hours of
delivery, use of noise reducing equipment (e.g.: mufflers and engine shrouds), setbacks, and berms. The operation of the retail building will occur within the retail building, with limited noise (truck engine noise) beyond that of a few weekly deliveries of goods. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

c-d) The project would not expose persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies or expose persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. There will be no impact from the project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

**POPULATION AND HOUSING** Would the project

35. Housing

a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

\[\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square\]

b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income?

\[\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square\]

c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

\[\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square\]

d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area?

\[\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square\]

e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?

\[\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square\]

f) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

\[\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square\]

**Source:** Project Application Materials, RCLIS, Riverside County General Plan Housing Element

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project is not displacing any housing and will not necessitate the construction or replacement of housing elsewhere. There will be no impact from the project.

b) The proposed project will not create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income. It is anticipated that employees would already have housing in the area. There will be no impact from the project.

c) The project is not demolishing any housing and, therefore, will not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. There will be no impact from the project.
d) The project site is located within the Rural Village Land Use Overlay or Redevelopment Area. The project was transmitted to the redevelopment agency for their review. No response was received. There will be no impact from the project.

e) Due to the small size of the store and operation, the project will not cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections. There will be no impact from the project.

f) Development of the project site will have a less than significant impact on inducing substantial population growth in an area either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (e.g., through extension of roads or other infrastructure). Therefore, a less than significant impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

PUBLIC SERVICES  Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

36. Fire Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan Safety Element, Map My County

Findings of Fact:

a) The Riverside County Fire Department provides fire protection services to the Project area. The proposed Project is closest to the Goodmeadow Fire Station No. 9 located approximately 1.87 miles north of the Project site at 21565 Steel Peak Road. Additionally, there is also the Canyon Lake Fire Station No. 60 located approximately 2.71 miles southeast of the Project site at 28730 Vacation Drive in Canyon Lake, CA. Thus, the Project site is adequately served by fire protection services under existing conditions. The implementation of the proposed Project would not result in the need for new or physically altered fire protection facilities, and would not exceed applicable service ratios or response times for fire protection services. Furthermore, the project must comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to prevent any potential effects to fire services from rising to a level of significance. County Ordinance No. 659 establishes the utilities and public services mitigation fee applicable to all projects to reduce incremental impacts to these services. This is a standard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA, is not considered mitigation. Impacts will be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

37. Sheriff Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Map My County
### Findings of Fact:

a) The Riverside County Sheriff’s Department provides community policing to the Project area via the Riverside County Sheriff’s Department – Perris Station located approximately 4.90 miles northeast of the Project site at 137 North Perris Boulevard Perris, CA. Additionally another sheriff station is also close by, the Riverside County Sheriff’s - Lake Elsinore Station, located approximately 5.34 miles southeast of the Project site at 333 W Limited Street Lake Elsinore, CA. Thus, the Project site is adequately served by sheriff protection services under existing conditions. The implementation of the proposed Project would not result in the need for new or physically altered sheriff station facilities, and would not exceed applicable service ratios or response times for sheriff protection services. Furthermore, the project must comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to prevent any potential effects to sheriff services from rising to a level of significance. County Ordinance No. 659 establishes the utilities and public services mitigation fee applicable to all projects to reduce incremental impacts to these services. This is a standard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA, is not considered mitigation. Impacts will be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>38. Schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ] ![ ] ![ x ] ![ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Map My County

### Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is located within the Perris Elementary and Perris Union High School Districts. The closest school is the Good Hope Elementary School located at 24050 Theda Street Perris, CA at approximately 2.52 miles north of the Project site. No new housing, which could potentially increase the demand for school services, is being proposed. Therefore, there would be no impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>39. Libraries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ] ![ ] ![ x ] ![ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan, Map My County

### Findings of Fact:

a) The closest library to the proposed project is the Perris Public Library located at 163 East San Jacinto Avenue Perris, CA, approximately 5.07 miles away. No housing, which could potentially increase the demand for library services, is being proposed. Therefore, there would be no impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
40. Health Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a) The closest health services facility to the proposed project is the Lake Elsinore Urgent Care approximately 5.74 miles away southeast of the Project site. No housing, which could increase the demand for health services, is being proposed. There would be no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

RECREATION

41. Parks and Recreation
   a) Would the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

   b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

   c) Is the project located within a Community Service Area (CSA) or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?

Source: RCLIS, Ord. No. 460, Section 10.35 (Regulating the Division of Land – Park and Recreation Fees and Dedications), Ord. No. 659 (Establishing Development Impact Fees), Parks & Open Space Department Review

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The proposed project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, and does not include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities. This project has been reviewed by the Riverside County Parks Department and has not been conditioned for recreational facilities. There will be no impact from the project.

c) The project site is not located within a C.S.A. or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees) and commercial projects are not required to pay Quimby fees. There will be no impact from the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.
42. Recreational Trails

Source: Open Space and Conservation Map for Western County trail alignments

Findings of Fact:

a) As required by the Riverside County Transportation Department, land adjacent to and across from the Project site will be dedicated to provide for a future trail along Richard Street and Highway 74 resulting from a separate residential subdivision project. With the dedication of this right-of-way, a less then significant impact will be made by this project on recreational trails.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC</th>
<th>Would the project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43. Circulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project’s construction?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☑ ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Source: Riverside County General Plan, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project will not conflict with any policy, ordinance, or plan that establishes any effectiveness measures. The project will also not impact any mass transit means. The site will have a less than significant impact on an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system. The project site would not result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads or congestion at intersections. Any impact would be less than significant.

b) The project will have a less than significant impact on the level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated road or highways.

c) The project will have a less than significant impact on circulation that would result in a change in traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks.

d) The proposed project will not result in alteration of waterborne, rail, or air traffic. No impact will occur.

e) The proposed project is not anticipated to have any impact on circulation substantially increasing hazards to a design feature or incompatible uses. Any impact would be less than significant.

f) The proposed project will have less than significant impact on circulation causing an effect upon, or need for new or altered maintenance of roads.

g) The proposed project will have less than significant impact on traffic circulation during construction. Any needed lane closures will be approved by the Riverside County Transportation Department in advance to ensure as little impact as possible. Any impact would be less than significant.

h) The proposed project will have no impact on circulation resulting in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses. The project is designed to allow access to surrounding properties by means of the existing access that is currently in place. No impact will occur.

i) The proposed project site would have no impact on circulation conflicting with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit or decrease supporting alternative transportation. No impact will occur.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

44. Bike Trails

Source: Riverside County General Plan
Findings of Fact:

a) No trails (bike or otherwise) are required on or adjacent to the project location. Currently the approved housing development caddy-corner across from the project site has a regional trail through the subdivision but ends at its southern corner. No traffic signals are proposed and no determination has been made by the Riverside County Transportation Department for a pedestrian crossing along Highway 74 at this time. Therefore, there will be no impact on bike trails due to the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project

45. Water
   a) Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects? □ □ □ □
   b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? □ □ □ □

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District (EVMWD) has provided a will-serve letter for water. The Riverside County Department of Environmental Health has reviewed this project. The project does not require or will not result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

b) There is a sufficient water supply available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources. This project has been conditioned to comply with the requirements of the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health. Water shall be installed in accordance with the requirements of the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health and/or the Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District (EVMWD). Impacts will be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

46. Sewer
   a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects? □ □ □ □
   b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may service the project that it □ □ □ □
has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?

**Source:** Department of Environmental Health Review

**Findings of Fact:**

a) There is currently no sewer service in the project area, so a septic system will be required to be installed as part of the proposed project. The Riverside County Department of Environmental Health has reviewed this project and the septic system proposed for installation. The project will not require and will not result in the construction of any new wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities. Impacts will be less than significant.

b) This project has been conditioned to comply with the requirements of the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health. The septic system shall be installed in accordance with the requirements of the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health and/or the Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District (EVMWD). Impacts will be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>47. Solid Waste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project’s solid waste disposal needs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Does the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project will not substantially alter existing or future solid waste generation patterns and disposal services. The landfill that will serve the project has sufficient capacity to accommodate the project’s anticipated solid waste disposal needs. Impacts will be less than significant.

b) The project will comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan). Impacts will be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project

48. Tribal Cultural Resources
   a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American Tribe, and that is:

   Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1 (k); or,

   b) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1? In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1 for the purpose of this paragraph, the lead agency shall consider the significance to a California Native tribe.

Source: Native American Consultation

Findings of Fact:

a-b) In compliance with Assembly Bill 52 (AB52), notices regarding this project were mailed to eight requesting tribes on May 05, 2017. Consultations were requested by the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Mission Indians, the Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians

Consultation with Soboba took place on August 1, 2017. During this meeting Soboba told Planning that the area was sensitive to the tribe and expressed concern for subsurface resources to be present. Planning agreed to condition the project for archaeological and tribal monitoring during ground disturbing activities and consultation was concluded the same day. No Tribal Cultural Resources were identified by the tribe.

Consultation with Pechanga took place on June 14, 2017 and August 15, 2017. During this meeting Pechanga told Planning that the project was located within an area sensitive to the tribe. No Tribal Cultural Resources were identified by the tribe. The conditions of approval for the project were provided to Pechanga on August 16, 2017 and again on September 20, 2017. A consultation closure letter was sent to Pechanga on October 13, 2017.

With consultations concluded with the California Native American Tribes, the Project will not impact tribal cultural resources because there are none present.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.
### 49. Utilities

Would the project impact the following facilities requiring or resulting in the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

| a) Electricity?                  |   |   | x |   |
| b) Natural gas?                 |   |   |   |   |
| c) Communications systems?      |   |   |   |   |
| d) Storm water drainage?        |   |   |   |   |
| e) Street lighting?             |   |   |   |   |
| f) Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? |   |   | x |   |
| g) Other governmental services? |   |   |   |   |

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan

**Findings of Fact:**

a-c) The project will require utility services in the form of Electricity, Natural gas, and Telecommunications. Utility service infrastructure is available to the project site and the project is not anticipated to create a need for new facilities. Impacts will be less than significant.

d) Storm water drainage will be handled on-site. Impacts will be less than significant.

e-f) Street lighting will be provided as needed for the access to the project site. Overall, the project will have an incremental impact on the maintenance of public facilities, including roads. Impacts will be less than significant.

g) The project will not require additional government services. Impacts will be less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

### 50. Energy Conservation

| a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans? |   |   |   | x |

**Source:** Project Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The proposed project does not conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans. No impact will occur.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.
MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

51. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

Implementation of the proposed project would not substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife populations to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. There will be no impact.

52. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects and probable future projects)?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

The project does not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. The proposed project of a retail store is considerable when viewed in connection with other projects (past, current, or future) as most properties in this surrounding area along Highway 74 are or will be commercial or industrial in nature. Impacts will be less than significant.

53. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

Source: Staff review, project application

Findings of Fact:

The proposed project would not result in environmental effects which would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. There will be no impact.
VI. EARLIER ANALYSES

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration as per California Code of Regulations, Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

Earlier Analyses Used, if any: Riverside County General Plan 2015

Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are available for review:

Location: County of Riverside Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor
Riverside, CA 92505

VII. AUTHORITIES CITED

ADVISORY NOTIFICATION DOCUMENT

The following notifications are included as part of the recommendation of approval for PP26246. They are intended to advise the applicant of various Federal, State and County regulations applicable to this entitlement and the subsequent development of the subject property.

Advisory Notification

Advisory Notification. 1 AND - Preamble

This Advisory Notification Document is included as part of the justification for the recommendation of approval of this Plan (Click here to enter text.) and is intended to advise the applicant of various Federal, State and County regulations applicable to this entitlement and the subsequent development of the subject property in accordance with approval of that entitlement and are in addition to the applied conditions of approval.

Advisory Notification. 2 AND - Project Description & Operational Limits

Plot Plan No. 26246 proposes a 7,225 square foot retail store (Dollar General) on 2.60 acres ('the Project'). No alcohol sales. The project will include 41 parking spaces (including 2 ADA and 4 bike racks), signage (including a 20 foot high stucco pylon sign) and bio-retention/infiltration basins.

The project is located south of Richard Street, west of Robert Street, and east of Highway 74.

Advisory Notification. 3 AND - Causes for Revocation

In the event the use hereby permitted under this permit, a) is found to be in violation of the terms and conditions of this permit, b) is found to have been obtained by fraud or perjured testimony, or c) is found to be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare, or is a public nuisance, this permit shall be subject to revocation procedures.

Advisory Notification. 4 AND - Exhibits

The development of the premises shall conform substantially with that as shown on APPROVED EXHIBITS:

Exhibit A (Site Plan), dated May 21, 2018.
Exhibit B (Elevations), dated May 21, 2018.
Exhibit C (Floor Plans), dated May 21, 2018.
Exhibit G (Conceptual Grading Plan), dated May 21, 2018.
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Advisory Notification

Advisory Notification. 4 AND - Exhibits (cont.)
Exhibit L (Conceptual Landscaping and Irrigation Plans), dated May 21, 2018.
Exhibit S (Sign Plan), dated May 21, 2018.

Advisory Notification. 5 AND - Federal, State & Local Regulation Compliance

1. Compliance with applicable Federal Regulations, including, but not limited to:
   • National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)
   • Clean Water Act
   • Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA)

2. Compliance with applicable State Regulations, including, but not limited to:
   • The current Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Permit issued by the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB.)
   • Government Code Section 66020 (90 Days to Protest)
   • Government Code Section 66499.37 (HOLD HARMLESS)
   • Native American Cultural Resources, and Human Remains (Inadvertent Find)
   • School District Impact Compliance
   • Public Resources Code Section 5097.94 & Sections 21073 et al - AB 52 (Native Americans: CEQA)]

3. Compliance with applicable County Regulations, including, but not limited to:
   • Ord. No. 348 (Land Use Planning and Zoning Regulations)
   • Ord. No. 413 (Regulating Vehicle Parking)
   • Ord. No. 457 (Building Requirements)
   • Ord. No. 461 (Road Improvement Standards)
   • Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)
   • Ord. No. 671 (Consolidated Fees)
   • Ord. No. 787 (Fire Code)
   • Ord. No. 847 (Regulating Noise)
   • Ord. No. 857 (Business Licensing)
   • Ord. No. 859 (Water Efficient Landscape Requirements)
   • Ord. No. 915 (Regulating Outdoor Lighting)
   • County Wide Design Guidelines and Standards

4. Mitigation Fee Ordinances
   • Ord. No. 659 Development Impact Fees (DIF)
   • Ord. No. 663 Stephens Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan (SKR)
   • Ord. No. 810 Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRCMSHCP)
   • Ord. No. 824 Western Riverside County Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (WRTUMF)

Advisory Notification. 6 AND - Hold Harmless

The applicant/permittee or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside or its agents, officers, and employees (COUNTY)
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Advisory Notification

Advisory Notification. 6 AND - Hold Harmless (cont.)
from the following:

(a) any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void, or annul
an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body
concerning PP26246 or its associated environmental documentation; and,

(b) any claim, action or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void or annul
any other decision made by the COUNTY concerning PP26246, including, but
not limited to, decisions made in response to California Public Records Act requests; and

(a) and (b) above are hereinafter collectively referred to as "LITIGATION."

The COUNTY shall promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any LITIGATION
and shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the
applicant/permittee of any such LITIGATION or fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the
applicant/permittee shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify
or hold harmless the COUNTY.

The obligations imposed by this condition include, but are not limited to, the following: the
applicant/permittee shall pay all legal services expenses the COUNTY incurs in connection
with any such LITIGATION, whether it incurs such expenses directly, whether it is ordered by
a court to pay such expenses, or whether it incurs such expenses by providing legal services
through its Office of County Counsel.

Payment for COUNTY's costs related to the LITIGATION shall be made on a deposit basis.
Within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from COUNTY that LITIGATION has been initiated
against the Project, applicant/permittee shall initially deposit with the COUNTY's Planning
Department the total amount of Twenty Thousand Dollars ($20,000). Applicant/permittee
shall deposit with COUNTY such additional amounts as COUNTY reasonably and in good
faith determines, from time to time, are necessary to cover costs and expenses incurred by
the COUNTY, including but not limited to, the Office of County Counsel, Riverside County
Planning Department and the Riverside County Clerk of the Board associated with the
LITIGATION. To the extent such costs are not recoverable under the California Public
Records Act from the records requestor, applicant/permittee agrees that deposits under this
section may also be used to cover staff time incurred by the COUNTY to compile, review,
and redact records in response to a Public Records Act request made by a petitioner in any
legal challenge to the Project when the petitioner is using the Public Records Act request as
a means of obtaining the administrative record for LITIGATION purposes. Within ten (10)
days of written notice from COUNTY, applicant/permittee shall make such additional
deposits.
BS-Grade

BS-Grade.  1  0015-BS-Grade-USE - DUST CONTROL (cont.)
All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented
by the developer during grading. A PM10 plan may be
required at the time a grading permit is issued.

BS-Grade.  2  0015-BS-Grade-USE - EROSION CNTRL PROTECT
Graded but undeveloped land shall provide, in addition to
erosion control planting, any drainage facility deemed
necessary to control or prevent erosion. Additional
erosion protection may be required during the rainy season
from October 1, to May 31.

BS-Grade.  3  0015-BS-Grade-USE - MINIMUM DRNAGE GRADE
Site drainage shall be in accordance with the current
California Building Code. Swales located within 10' of the
building foundation shall have 2% minimum slope.

Minimum drainage grade shall be 1% except on portland
cement concrete where .35% shall be the minimum.

E Health

E Health.  1  0010-E Health-USE - NOISE STUDY

Noise Consultant: Rincon Consultants, Inc
180 N. Ashwood Ave
Ventura CA 93003
Noise Study: "Noise Study, Perris Dollar General," April
2017

Based on the County of Riverside, Industrial Hygiene
Program's review of the aforementioned Noise Study,
PP26246 shall comply with the recommendations set forth
under the Industrial Hygiene Program's response letter
dated June 5, 2017 c/o Steven Uhlman.

For further information, please contact the Industrial
Hygiene Program at (951) 955-8980.

E Health.  2  0010-E Health-USE - POTABLE WATER SERVICE

PP26246 is proposing potable water service from
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District (EVMWD). It is the
responsibility of the developer to ensure that all
requirements to obtain potable water service are met with
EVMWD as well as all other applicable agencies.
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E Health

E Health. 3 0010-E Health-USE - WASTEWATER DISPOSAL (cont.)
E Health. 3 0010-E Health-USE - WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

A preliminary soils percolation investigation was conducted by Salem Engineering Group, Inc. on June 30, 2016 for PP26246.

A review of this report shows that at least one of the percolation tests yielded a failed rate (greater than 60 mpi). The other 3 tests yielded acceptable rates. Since there is sufficient room on the property the project, this Department will accept this report under the condition that additional soils percolation testing will be required prior to building permit issuance to establish an area with no failed rates.

Fire

Fire. 1 0010-Fire-USE-#04-HIGH PILE/RACK STORAGE

A separate permit may be required for high-pile storage and/or racks. Sprinkler plans and/or sprinkler review must be submitted by a licensed sprinkler contractor with storage and/or rack plans to Riverside County Fire Department for review and approval of CFC requirements. All commodities stored, rack dimensions, placement in building, sprinkler densities, etc. must be provided with suppression system for racks and/or high-pile storage review. A complete listing of commodities, classified using the CFC by a licensed Fire Protection Engineer (or other consultant approved by this jurisdiction).

Fire. 2 0010-Fire-USE-#20-SUPER FIRE HYDRANT

A Super fire hydrant, (6”x4”x 2-2 1/2”) shall be located no less than 400 feet from any portion of the building as measured along approved vehicular travel ways.

Fire. 3 0010-Fire-USE-#21-HAZARDOUS FIRE AREA

This project is located in the “Hazardous Fire Area” of Riverside County as shown on a map on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Any building constructed within this project shall comply with the special construction provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance 787, CFC and CBC.
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Fire

Fire. 4 0010-Fire-USE-#50-BLUE DOT REFLECTOR (cont.)

Fire. 4 0010-Fire-USE-#50-BLUE DOT REFLECTOR

Blue retroreflective pavement markers shall be mounted on private street, public streets and driveways to indicate location of fire hydrants. Prior to installation, placement of markers must be approved by the Riverside County Fire Department.

Fire. 5 0010-Fire-USE-#89-KNOX BOX

Rapid entry key storage (KNOX )box shall be installed on the outside of the building. Plans shall be submitted to the Riverside County Fire Department for approval prior to installation.

Fire. 6 0010-Fire-USE*-#23-MIN REQ FIRE FLOW

Minimum required fire flow shall be available before any combustible material is placed on the job site. Fire flow is based on type of construction per the CFC and CBC and Building(s) having a fire sprinkler system.

Planning

Planning. 1 0010-Planning-USE - EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS

Exterior noise levels produced by any use allowed under this permit, including, but not limited to, any outdoor public address system, shall not exceed 45 db(A), 10-minute LEQ, between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., and 65 db(A), 10-minute LEQ, at all other times as measured at any residential, hospital, school, library, nursing home or other similar noise sensitive land use. In the event noise exceeds this standard, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall take the necessary steps to remedy the situation, which may include discontinued operation of the facilities. The permit holder shall comply with the applicable standards of Ordinance No. 847.

Planning. 2 0010-Planning-USE - GEO02549 ACCEPTED

County Geologic Report (GEO) No. 2549, submitted for this project (PP26246) was prepared by Salem Engineering Group, Inc. and is entitled: "Geotechnical Engineering Investigation Proposed Dollar General, SEC Highway 74 & Richard Street, Lake Elsinore (Perris), County of
Planning

Riverside, California, dated June 30, 2016.

GEO02549 concluded:
1. The site is not within a currently established State of California Earthquake Fault Zone for surface fault rupture hazards. No active faults with the potential for surface rupture are known to pass directly beneath the site.
2. Site reconnaissance and review of aerial/satellite imagery did not reveal evidence of active faulting at the subject site.
3. The potential for liquefaction at the site is low.
4. Subject site is not within an inundation zone.
5. The seismic densification of dry to damp alluvial sandy soils due to onsite seismic activity is calculated to have a total settlement of approximately 0.07 inch.
6. Due to relatively flat site topography, we judge the likelihood of lateral spreading to be low.
7. Based on the existence of very dense silty sand starting at least at a depth of 5 feet at the site, subsidence potential is considered minimal.
8. Tsunamis and seiches are considered unlikely.

GEO02549 Recommended:
1. Surface vegetation should be stripped to a sufficient depth to remove organic-rich soils and roots.
2. The stripped vegetation will not be suitable for use as engineered fill or within 5 feet of building pads or within paving areas.
3. Any buried utilities or structures, as well as surficial debris, should be properly removed and the resulting excavations backfilled with engineered fill.
4. Overexcavation and recompaction within the proposed building areas should be performed to a minimum depth of two feet below existing grade or one foot below proposed footing bottom, whichever is deeper. The overexcavation should extend a minimum of 5 feet beyond the outer edges of the proposed footings.
5. To minimize the potential soil movement due to expansive soil condition, it is recommended that the upper 12 inches of soils beneath the required granular aggregate subbase within slab on grade and exterior flatwork areas to be removed and replaced with Non-Expansive Engineered Fill.
6. As an alternative to the use of non-expansive soils, the upper 12 inches of soil supporting the slab areas may consist of lime-treated clayey soils.
GEO No. 2549 satisfies the requirement for a geologic/geotechnical study for Planning/CEQA purposes. GEO No. 2549 is hereby accepted for Planning purposes. Engineering and other Building Code parameters were not included as a part of this review or approval. This approval is not intended and should not be misconstrued as approval for grading permit. Engineering and other building code parameters should be reviewed and additional comments and/or conditions may be imposed by the County upon application for grading and/or building permits.

Any outside lighting shall be hooded and directed so as not to shine directly upon adjoining property or public rights-of-way.

According to the County's General Plan, this site has been mapped as having a "Low Potential" for paleontological resources. This category encompasses lands for which previous field surveys and documentation demonstrates a low potential for containing significant paleontological resources subject to adverse impacts. As such, this project is not anticipated to require any direct mitigation for paleontological resources. However, should fossil remains be encountered during site development:

1. All site earthmoving shall be ceased in the area of where the fossil remains are encountered. Earthmoving activities may be diverted to other areas of the site.

2. The owner of the property shall be immediately notified of the fossil discovery who will in turn immediately notify the County Geologist of the discovery.

3. The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside.

4. The paleontologist shall determine the significance of the encountered fossil remains.

5. Paleontological monitoring of earthmoving activities will continue thereafter on an as-needed basis by the
paleontologist during all earthmoving activities that may expose sensitive strata. Earthmoving activities in areas of the project area where previously undisturbed strata will be buried but not otherwise disturbed will not be monitored. The supervising paleontologist will have the authority to reduce monitoring once he/she determines the probability of encountering any additional fossils has dropped below an acceptable level.

6. If fossil remains are encountered by earthmoving activities when the paleontologist is not onsite, these activities will be diverted around the fossil site and the paleontologist called to the site immediately to recover the remains.

7. Any recovered fossil remains will be prepared to the point of identification and identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible by knowledgeable paleontologists. The remains then will be curated (assigned and labeled with museum* repository fossil specimen numbers and corresponding fossil site numbers, as appropriate; places in specimen trays and, if necessary, vials with completed specimen data cards) and catalogued, an associated specimen data and corresponding geologic and geographic site data will be archived (specimen and site numbers and corresponding data entered into appropriate museum repository catalogs and computerized data bases) at the museum repository by a laboratory technician. The remains will then be accessioned into the museum repository fossil collection, where they will be permanently stored, maintained, and, along with associated specimen and site data, made available for future study by qualified scientific investigators. * Per the County of Riverside "SABER Policy", paleontological fossils found in the County of Riverside should, by preference, be directed to the Western Science Center in the City of Hemet.

8. The property owner and/or applicant on whose land the paleontological fossils are discovered shall provide appropriate funding for monitoring, reporting, delivery and curating the fossils at the institution where the fossils will be placed, and will provide confirmation to the County that such funding has been paid to the institution.
ADVISORY NOTIFICATION DOCUMENT

Planning

Planning.  5  0010-Planning-USE - MT PALOMAR LIGHTING AREA
(cont.)

Within the Mt. Palomar Special Lighting Area, as defined in
Ordinance No. 655, low pressure sodium vapor lighting or
overhead high pressure sodium vapor lighting with shields
or cutoff luminaires, shall be utilized.

Planning.  6  0010-Planning-USE - NO OUTDOOR ADVERTISING

No outdoor advertising display, sign or billboard (not
including on-site advertising or directional signs) shall
be constructed or maintained within the property subject
to this approval.

Planning.  7  0010-Planning-USE - NO SECOND FLOOR

No tenant improvement permit, or any other building permit,
shall be granted for any second story, second floor,
mezzanine, or interior balcony unless a plot plan,
conditional use permit, public use permit, substantial
conformance or a revised permit is approved by the
Planning Department pursuant to Section 18.12 of Ordinance
No. 348 in order to assure adequate parking remains within
the property. Only a one story building was approved as
part of this permit and reviewed for parking standards.

Planning.  8  0010-Planning-USE - NOISE MONITORING REPORTS

The permit holder may be required to submit periodic noise
monitoring reports as determined by the Department of
Building and Safety as part of a code enforcement action.
Upon written notice from the Department of Building and
Safety requiring such a report, the permittee or the
permittee's successor-in-interest shall prepare and submit
an approved report within thirty (30) calendar days to the
Department of Building and Safety, unless more time is
allowed through written agreement by the Department of
Building and Safety. The noise monitoring report shall be
approved by the Office of Industrial Hygiene of the Health
Service Agency (the permittee or the permittee's
successor-in-interest shall be required to place on deposit
sufficient funds to cover the costs of this approval prior
to commencing the required report).

Planning.  9  0010-Planning-USE - PDA05054 ACCEPTED

County Archaeological Report (PDA) No. 5054 submitted for
this project (PP26246) was prepared by Brian F. Smith and
PDA05054 concludes: The cultural resources study for the Lake Elsinore North Dollar General Store Project was negative for the presence of archaeological sites. None of the three previous studies that covered portions of the current APE (Romani 1993; LSA Associates, Inc. 1990; Lerch and Gray 2006) identified any cultural resources within the current APE. Although the EIC indicated that RIV-10,360 (15-meter segment of Richard Street) and RIV-10,886 (concrete foundation and historic tree line) are located within the APE, an assessment of their mapped locations showed RIV-10,360 to be located outside the current APE and the portion of the RIV-10,886 tree line within the APE to have been predominantly destroyed.

PDA05054 recommends: Given that no archaeological sites, features, or artifacts were identified during the field reconnaissance, no potential impacts to cultural resources are associated with the proposed development of the project. The archaeological study was completed in accordance with County of Riverside report guidelines and CEQA significance evaluation criteria.

Due to the potential for subsurface resources to be present and the presence of archaeological sites in the vicinity, monitoring will be required.

These documents are herein incorporated as a part of the record for project.
ADVISORY NOTIFICATION DOCUMENT

Planning
Planning. 12 0010-Planning-USE*- NO RESIDENT OCCUPANCY (cont.)

No permanent occupancy shall be permitted within the property approved under this plot plan as a principal place of residence.

Planning. 13 0020-Planning-USE - EXPIRATION DATE-USE CASE

This approved permit shall be used within NINE (9) years from the approval date; otherwise, the permit shall be null and void.

The term used shall mean the beginning of construction pursuant to a validly issued building permit for the use authorized by this approval. Prior to the expiration of the 9 years, the permittee/applicant may request an extension of time to use the permit. The extension of time may be approved by the Assistant TLMA Director upon a determination that a valid reason exists for the permittee not using the permit within the required period. If an extension is approved, the total time allowed for use of the permit shall not exceed ten (10) years.

Planning-CUL
Planning-CUL. 1 IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND

If human remains are found on this site, the developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5.

Planning-CUL. 2 UNANTICIPATED RESOURCES

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following for the life of this permit.
If during ground disturbance activities, unanticipated cultural resources* are discovered, the following procedures shall be followed:
All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resource shall be halted and the applicant shall call the County Archaeologist immediately upon discovery of the cultural resource. A meeting shall be convened between the developer, the project archaeologist**, the Native American tribal representative (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative), and the County Archaeologist to discuss the significance of the find. At the meeting with the aforementioned parties, a decision is to be made, with the concurrence of the County Archaeologist, as to the appropriate treatment (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc) for the cultural resource. Resource evaluations shall be limited to nondestructive analysis.
Further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until the appropriate treatment has been accomplished.
* A cultural resource site is defined, for this condition, as being a feature and/or three or
Planning-CUL

UNANTICIPATED RESOURCES (cont.)
more artifacts in close association with each other.
** If not already employed by the project developer, a County approved archaeologist shall be employed by the project developer to assess the significance of the cultural resource, attend the meeting described above, and continue monitoring of all future site grading activities as necessary.

Transportation

Transportation. 1 0010-Transportation-USE - LC LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENT

The developer/ permit holder shall:

1) Ensure all landscape and irrigation plans are in conformance with the APPROVED EXHIBITS;

2) Ensure all landscaping is provided with California Friendly landscaping and a weather based irrigation controller(s) as defined by County Ordinance No. 859;

3) Ensure that irrigation plans which may use reclaimed water conform with the requirements of the local water purveyor; and,

4) Be responsible for maintenance, viability and upkeep of all slopes, landscaped areas, and irrigation systems until the successful completion of the twelve (12) month inspection or those operations become the responsibility of the individual property owner(s), a property owner's association, or any other successor-in-interest, whichever occurs later.

To ensure ongoing maintenance, the developer/ permit holder or any successor in interest shall:

1) Connect to a reclaimed water supply for landscape irrigation purposes when reclaimed water is made available.

2) Ensure that landscaping, irrigation and maintenance systems comply with the Riverside County Guide to California Friendly Landscaping, and Ordinance No. 859.

3) Ensure that all landscaping is healthy, free of weeds, disease and pests.

Transportation. 2 0010-Transportation-USE - STD INTRO (ORD 461)

With respect to the conditions of approval for the referenced tentative exhibit, the landowner shall provide all street improvements, street improvement plans and/or road dedications set forth herein in accordance with Riverside County Road Improvement Standards (Ordinance 461).
ADVISORY NOTIFICATION DOCUMENT

Transportation

Transportation. 2 0010-Transportation-USE - STD INTRO (ORD 461) (cont.)

It is understood that the exhibit correctly shows acceptable centerline elevations, all existing easements, traveled ways, and drainage courses with appropriate Q's, and that their omission or unacceptability may require the exhibit to be resubmitted for further consideration. This ordinance and all conditions of approval are essential parts and a requirement occurring in ONE is as binding as though occurring in all. All questions regarding the true meaning of the conditions shall be referred to the Transportation Department.

Transportation. 3 0010-Transportation-USE - TUMF CREDIT AGREEMENT

If the applicant/developer is constructing a "TUMF" facility as a condition of approval for this project and will be seeking "TUMF" credits and/or reimbursements for the "TUMF" improvements built with this project, the applicant shall enter into a "TUMF Improvement and Credit Agreement" with the Transportation Department prior to the first building permit issuance as directed by the Director of Transportation. Please contact (951) 955-6800 for additional information.

Transportation. 4 0010-Transportation-USE-CREDIT/REIMBURSEMENT

IMP

In order to receive any fee credit or reimbursement for improvements, the project proponent shall contact the Transportation Department and enter into an agreement for fee credit or reimbursement prior to advertising. All work shall be pre-approved by and shall comply with the requirements of the Transportation Department and the public contracts code in order to be eligible for fee credit or reimbursement.

To enter into an agreement, please contact our Funding Programs group at (951) 955-1667.

For more information regarding the public work bidding requirements please visit the following link:


Waste Resources

Waste Resources. 1 0010-Waste Resources-USE - AB 1826

AB 1826 (effective April 1, 2016) requires businesses that generate 8 cubic yards or more of organic waste per week to arrange for organic waste recycling services. The threshold amount of organic waste generated requiring compliance by businesses is reduced in subsequent years. Businesses subject to AB 1826 shall take at least one of the following actions in order to divert organic waste from
ADVISORY NOTIFICATION DOCUMENT

Waste Resources

Waste Resources.  1  0010-Waste Resources-USE - AB 1826 (cont.)
disposal:

-Source separate organic material from all other recyclables and donate or self-haul to a permitted organic waste processing facility.

-Enter into a contract or work agreement with gardening or landscaping service provider or refuse hauler to ensure the waste generated from those services meet the requirements of AB 1826.

Waste Resources.  2  0010-Waste Resources-USE - AB341

AB 341 focuses on increased commercial waste recycling as a method to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The regulation requires businesses and organizations that generate four or more cubic yards of waste per week and multifamily units of 5 or more, to recycle. A business shall take at least one of the following actions in order to reuse, recycle, compost, or otherwise divert commercial solid waste from disposal:

-Source separate recyclable and/or compostable material from solid waste and donate or self-haul the material to recycling facilities.

-Subscribe to a recycling service with waste hauler.

-Provide recycling service to tenants (if commercial or multi-family complex).

-Demonstrate compliance with the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 14.

For more information, please visit:
www.rivcowm.org/opencms/recycling/recycling_and_compost_business.html#mandatory

Waste Resources.  3  0010-Waste Resources-USE - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Hazardous materials are not accepted at Riverside County landfills. In compliance with federal, state, and local regulations and ordinances, any hazardous waste generated in association with the project shall be disposed of at a permitted Hazardous Waste disposal facility. Hazardous
Waste Resources

waste materials include, but are not limited to, paint, batteries, oil, asbestos, and solvents. For further information regarding the determination, transport, and disposal of hazardous waste, please contact the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health, Environmental Protection and Oversight Division.

Use mulch and/or compost in the development and maintenance of landscaped areas within the project boundaries.

Reduce the amount of green waste generated in common landscaped areas through grass recycling (where lawn clippings from a mulching type mower are left on lawn), or through on-site composting of green waste, or through the separation of green waste from other waste types to send to a composting facility.

Xeriscape and/or use drought tolerant/low maintenance vegetation in all landscaped areas of the project.
60. Prior To Grading Permit Issuance

BS-Grade

060 - BS-Grade. 1 0060-BS GRADE-USE - EASEMENTS/PERMISSION Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, it shall be the sole responsibility of the owner/applicant to obtain any and all proposed or required easements and/or permissions necessary to perform the grading herein proposed. A notarized letter of permission and/or recorded easement from the affected property owners or easement holders shall be provided in instances where off-site grading is proposed as part of the grading plan. In instances where the grading plan proposes drainage facilities on adjacent off-site property, the owner/applicant shall provide a copy of the recorded drainage easement or copy of Final Map.

060 - BS-Grade. 2 0060-BS GRADE-USE - IF WQMP IS REQUIRED Not Satisfied

If a Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) is required, the owner/applicant shall submit to the Building & Safety Department, the Final Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) site plan for comparison to the grading plan.

060 - BS-Grade. 3 0060-BS GRADE-USE - IMPROVEMENT SECURITIES Not Satisfied

Prior to issuance of a Grading Permit, the applicant may be required to post a Grading and/or Erosion Control Security. Please contact the Riverside County Transportation Department at (951) 955-6888 for additional information and requirements.

Planning

060 - Planning. 1 0060-Planning-USE - FEE STATUS Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of grading permits for Plot Plan No. 24246, the Planning Department shall determine the status of the deposit based fees. If the fees are in a negative status, the permit holder shall pay the outstanding balance.

060 - Planning. 2 0060-Planning-USE - PLNTLOGST RETAINED (1) Not Satisfied

Prior to issuance of grading permits, a qualified paleontologist shall be retained by the developer for consultation and comment on the proposed grading with respect to potential paleontological impacts. Should the paleontologist find the potential is high for impact to significant resources, a pre-grade meeting between the paleontologist and the excavation and grading contractor shall be arranged. When necessary, the paleontologist or representative shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect, or halt grading activity to allow recovery of fossils. The developer shall submit the name, telephone number and address of the retained paleontologist to the Planning Department.

The paleontologist shall submit in writing to the Planning Department the results of the initial consultation and the details of the fossil recovery plan if recovery was deemed necessary. The written results shall be submitted prior to issuance of grading permit.

060 - Planning. 3 0060-Planning-USE - SKR FEE CONDITION Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. The amount of the fee required to be paid may vary depending upon a variety of factors, including the type of development application submitted and the applicability of any fee.
60. Prior To Grading Permit Issuance

Planning

060 - Planning. 3  0060-Planning-USE - SKR FEE CONDITION (cont.) Not Satisfied
reduction or exemption provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be
calculated on the approved development project which is anticipated to be 3.38 acres (gross) in
accordance with APPROVED EXHIBIT NO. A. If the development is subsequently revised, this acreage
amount may be modified in order to reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In the event
Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However,
should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee
ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

Planning-CUL

060 - Planning-CUL. 1  NATIVE AMERICAN MONITOR REQUIRED Not Satisfied
Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the developer/permit applicant shall enter into an agreement with
the consulting tribe(s) for a Native American Monitor. The Native American Monitor(s) shall be on-site
during all initial ground disturbing activities and excavation of each portion of the project site including
clearing, grubbing, tree removals, grading and trenching. In conjunction with the Archaeological Monitor(s),
the Native American Monitor(s) shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect or halt the ground
disturbance activities to allow identification, evaluation, and potential recovery of cultural resources. The
developer/permit applicant shall submit a fully executed copy of the agreement to the County Archaeologist
to ensure compliance with this condition of approval. Upon verification, the Archaeologist shall clear this
condition. This agreement shall not modify any condition of approval or mitigation measure.

060 - Planning-CUL. 2  PROJECT ARCHAEOLOGIST Not Satisfied
Prior to issuance of grading permits: The applicant/developer shall provide evidence to the County of
Riverside Planning Department that a County certified professional archaeologist (Project Archaeologist)
has been contracted to implement a Cultural Resource Monitoring Program. A Cultural Resource
Monitoring Plan shall be developed that addresses the details of all activities and provides procedures that
must be followed in order to reduce the impacts to cultural and historic resources to a level that is less than
significant as well as address potential impacts to undiscovered buried archaeological resources
associated with this project. A fully executed copy of the contract and a wet-signed copy of the Monitoring
Plan shall be provided to the County Archaeologist to ensure compliance with this condition of approval.
Working directly under the Project Archaeologist, an adequate number of qualified Archaeological Monitors
shall be present to ensure that all earth moving activities are observed and shall be on-site during all
grading activities for areas to be monitored including off-site improvements. Inspections will vary based on
the rate of excavation, the materials excavated, and the presence and abundance of artifacts and features.
The frequency and location of inspections will be determined by the Project Archaeologist.

Planning-EPD

060 - Planning-EPD. 1  0060-Planning-EPD-EPD - 30-DAY BURROWING OWL Not Satisfied
Pursuant to Objectives 6 & 7 of the Species Account for the Burrowing Owl included in the Western
Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), within 30 days prior to the
issuance of a grading permit, a pre-construction presence/absence survey for the burrowing owl shall be
conducted by a qualified biologist who holds a Memorandum of Understanding with the County. The survey
60. Prior To Grading Permit Issuance

Planning-EPD

060 - Planning-EPD. 1 0060-Planning-EPD-EPD - 30-DAY BURROWING OWL (cont.) Not Satisfied

results shall be provided in writing to the Environmental Programs Department. If the grading permit is not obtained within 30 days of the survey, a new survey shall be required. If it is determined that the project site is occupied by the Burrowing Owl, take of "active" nests shall be avoided pursuant to the MSHCP and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Burrowing Owl relocation shall only be allowed to take place outside of the burrowing owl nesting season (nesting season is March 1 through August 31) and is required to be performed by a qualified biologist familiar with relocation methods. The County Environmental Programs Department shall be consulted to determine appropriate type of relocation (active or passive) and potential translocation sites. Burrowing Owl Protection and Relocation Plans and Biological Monitoring Plans are required to be reviewed and approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.

060 - Planning-EPD. 2 0060-Planning-EPD-EPD - NESTING BIRD SURVEY Not Satisfied

Birds and their nests are protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Codes. Since the project supports suitable nesting bird habitat, removal of vegetation or any other potential nesting bird habitat disturbances shall be conducted outside of the avian nesting season. Nesting bird season is February 1st through August 31st. If habitat or structures that support nesting birds must be cleared during the nesting season, a preconstruction nesting bird survey shall be conducted.

The preconstruction nesting bird survey must be conducted by a biologist who holds a current MOU with the County of Riverside. If nesting activity is observed, appropriate avoidance measures shall be adopted to avoid any potential impacts to nesting birds. The nesting bird survey must be completed no more than 3 days prior to any ground disturbance. If ground disturbance does not begin within 3 days of the survey date a second survey must be conducted. Prior to the issuance of a grading permit the project proponent must provide written proof to the Riverside County Planning Department, Environmental Programs Division (EPD) that a biologist who holds an MOU with the County of Riverside has been retained to carry out the required survey. Documentation submitted to prove compliance prior to grading permit issuance must at a minimum include the name and contact information for the Consulting Biologist and a signed statement from the Consulting Biologist confirming that they have been contracted by the applicant to conduct a Preconstruction Nesting Bird Survey. In some cases EPD may also require a Monitoring and Avoidance Plan prior to the issuance of a grading permit.
60. Prior To Grading Permit Issuance

Planning-EPD

060 - Planning-EPD. 2 0060-Planning-EPD-EPD - NESTING BIRD SURVEY (cont.) Not Satisfied

Prior to finalization of a grading permit or prior to issuance of any building permits the projects consulting biologist shall prepare and submit a report, documenting the results of the survey, to EPD for review.

Transportation

060 - Transportation. 1 0060-Transportation-USE - FILE L&LMD APPLICATION Not Satisfied

File an application with the Transportation Department, L&LMD Section, 8th Floor, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA, for required annexation per condition of approval 80.TRANS.6 and 90.TRANS.9.

If you have any questions or for the processing fee amount, please call the L&LMD Section at (951) 955-6748.

060 - Transportation. 2 0060-Transportation-USE - FINAL WQMP Not Satisfied

This project is located in the Santa Margarita watershed. Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the project-proponent shall submit a Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), as a single PDF on two CD copies, in accordance with the currently effective NPDES municipal storm water permit (California Regional Water Quality Board Order No. R9-2013-0001 (Santa Margarita) et seq.) to the Transportation Department for review and approval. The project-proponent may be required to comply with the latest version of the WQMP manual as determined by the California Regional Water Quality Board or Transportation Department. All water quality features shall be included on the grading plan. WQMP applicability checklist, templates, LID design requirements, and guidance can be found on-line at: www.rcflood.org/npdes. For any questions, please contact (951) 712-5494. The following comments shall be addressed in the final WQMP:

1. Please complete the owner's and preparer's certifications on page 3. 2. There appears to be no consideration for treatment of flows from the offsite road improvements to Richard Street. From what we can tell from the site grading plan, it appears that the road improvements are fairly limited in scope, and that the existing road is paved. Therefore, we anticipate minor, if any, issues related to hydromodification. However, runoff from the road must be treated to the MEP and thus, where possible, road runoff must be brought onsite for treatment in one of the bioretention basins (likely BMP2). 3. In Table D.2 on page 14 please check the boxes in column three (Bioretention) rather than column four (Bictreatment). 4. In Table G.1 on page 22, bioretention basins are not considered structural source control BMPs. Please review the WQMP Guidance Document and revise accordingly. 5. Please review the photo captions in Appendix 4. In some cases they do not appear to match the photos. Revise if necessary. 6. When performing routing through the basins, the outflow orifice must be located above the ponded DCV (six inches over the filter media). Note that only the 2-year 24-hour routing calculations are to be included in the WQMP. 7. In Table A.1 on page 7, please add "Reach 3" to the San Jacinto River upstream of Canyon Lake and "Reach 1" downstream of Canyon Lake. 8. Please include a table on the WQMP site plan showing for each DMA the tributary area, land use, and percent impervious. See Section A.1 on page 6 for a complete listing of WQMP site plan requirements. 9. Please plot the site location on the 85% map in Appendix 1.

060 - Transportation. 3 0060-Transportation-USE - PRIOR TO ROAD CONSTRUCT Not Satisfied

Prior to road construction, survey monuments including centerline monuments, tie points, property corners and benchmarks shall be located and tied out and corner records filed with the County Surveyor pursuant to Section 8771 of the Business & Professions Code. Survey points destroyed during construction shall be reset, and a second corner record filed for those points prior to completion and acceptance of the improvements.

060 - Transportation. 4 0060-Transportation-USE - SUBMIT GRADING PLAN Not Satisfied
Plan: PP26246

60. Prior To Grading Permit Issuance

Transportation

060 - Transportation. 4 0060-Transportation-USE - SUBMIT GRADING PLAN (cont.) Not Satisfied

When you submit a grading plan to the Department of Building and Safety, two sets of the grading plan (24" X 36") shall be submitted to the Transportation Department for review and subsequently for the required clearance of the condition of approval prior to the issuance of a grading permit.

Please note, if improvements within the road right-of-way are required per the conditions of approval, the grading clearance may be dependent on the submittal of street improvement plans, the opening of an IP account, and payment of the processing fee.

Otherwise, please submit required grading plan to the Transportation Department, Plan Check Section, 8th Floor, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA Standard plan check turnaround time is 10 working days.

060 - Transportation. 5 0060-Transportation-USE - WQMP ACCESS AND MAINT Not Satisfied

Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the project proponent shall ensure that BMP facilities are placed in dedicated easements and that sufficient legal access to the BMPs is provided. This requirement applies to both onsite and offsite property.

060 - Transportation. 6 0060-Transportation-USE-CREDIT/REIMBURSEMENT 4 IMP Not Satisfied

In order to receive any fee credit or reimbursement for improvements, the project proponent shall contact the Transportation Department and enter into an agreement for fee credit or reimbursement prior to advertising. All work shall be pre-approved by and shall comply with the requirements of the Transportation Department and the public contracts code in order to be eligible for fee credit or reimbursement.

To enter into an agreement, please contact our Funding Programs group at (951) 955-1997.

For more information regarding the public work bidding requirements please visit the following link:

70. Prior To Grading Final Inspection

Planning

070 - Planning. 1 0070-Planning-EPD-EPD - NESTING BIRD REPORT Not Satisfied

Prior to finalization of a grading permit or prior to issuance of any building permits the project’s consulting biologist shall prepare and submit a report, documenting the results of the survey, to EPD for review.

070 - Planning. 2 0070-Planning-USE - PLNTLGST CERTIFIED (2) Not Satisfied

A qualified paleontologist shall be retained by the developer for consultation and comment on the proposed grading with respect to potential paleontological impacts. Should the paleontologist find the potential is high for impacts to significant resources, a post-grade report by the paleontologist shall be submitted to the Planning Department. When necessary, in the professional opinion of the retained paleontologist (and/or as determined by the Planning Director), the paleontologist or representative shall have the authority to monitor actively all project related grading and construction and shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect, or halt grading.
70. Prior To Grading Final Inspection

Planning

070 - Planning. 2  0070-Planning-USE - PLNTLGST CERTIFIED (2) (cont.)  Not Satisfied
activity to allow recovery of fossils. The developer shall submit the name, telephone number and address of
the retained paleontologist to the Planning Department and the Department of Building and Safety. The
paleontologist shall submit in writing to the Planning Department the results of the initial consultation and
the final results of the fossil recovery plan if recovery was deemed necessary. The written results shall be
submitted prior to final inspection approval of the project grading.

Planning-CUL

070 - Planning-CUL. 1  ARTIFACT DISPOSITION  Not Satisfied
Artifact Disposition- the landowner(s) shall relinquish ownership of all cultural resources that are unearthed
on the Project property during any ground-disturbing activities, including previous investigations and/or
Phase III data recovery.

Historic Resources- all historic archaeological materials recovered during the archaeological investigations
(this includes collections made during an earlier project, such as testing of archaeological sites that took
place years ago), shall be curated at the Western Science Center, a Riverside County curation facility that
meets State Resources Department Office of Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of
Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use pursuant to the Guidelines

Prehistoric Resources- One of the following treatments shall be applied.

a. Reburial of the resources on the Project property. The measures for reburial shall include, at least, the
following: Measures to protect the reburial area from any future impacts. Reburial shall not occur until all
required cataloguing, analysis and studies have been completed on the cultural resources. There shall be
no destructive or invasive testing on sacred items, burial goods and Native American human remains. Any
reburial processes shall be culturally appropriate. Listing of contents and location of the reburial shall be
included in the confidential Phase IV Report. The Phase IV Report shall be filed with the County under a
confidential cover and not subject to a Public Records Request. b. If reburial is not agreed upon by the
Consulting Tribes then the resources shall be curated at a culturally appropriate manner at the Western
Science Center, a Riverside County curation facility that meets State Resources Department Office of
Historic Preservation Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Resources ensuring access and use
pursuant to the Guidelines. The collection and associated records shall be transferred, including title, and
are to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary for permanent curation. Evidence of curation in
the form of a letter from the curation facility stating that subject archaeological materials have been
received and that all fees have been paid, shall be provided by the landowner to the County.

070 - Planning-CUL. 2  PHASE IV CULTURAL REPORT REQUIRED  Not Satisfied
Prior to Grading Permit Final Inspection, a Phase IV Cultural Resources Monitoring Report shall be
submitted that complies with the Riverside County Planning Department’s requirements for such reports for
all ground disturbing activities associated with this grading permit. The report shall follow the County of
Riverside Planning Department Cultural Resources (Archaeological) Investigations Standard Scopes of
Work posted on the TLMA website. The report shall include results of any feature relocation or residue
analysis required as well as evidence of the required cultural sensitivity training for the construction staff
held during the required pre-grade meeting and evidence that any artifacts have been treated in
accordance to procedures stipulated in the Cultural Resources Management Plan.

80. Prior To Building Permit Issuance

BS-Grade
80. Prior To Building Permit Issuance

BS-Grade

080 - BS-Grade. 1 0080-BS GRADE-USE - ROUGH GRADE APPROVAL Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the applicant shall obtain rough grade approval and/or approval to construct from the Building and Safety Department. The Building and Safety Department must approve the completed grading of your project before a building permit can be issued. Rough Grade approval can be accomplished by complying with the following: 1. Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Grading Report containing substantiating data from the Soils Engineer (registered geologist or certified geologist, civil engineer or geotechnical engineer as appropriate) for his/her certification of the project. 2. Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Rough Grade certification from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the grading was completed in conformance with the approved grading plan. 3. Requesting a Rough Grade Inspection and obtaining rough grade approval from a Riverside County inspector. 4. Rough Grade Only Permits: In addition to obtaining all required inspections and approval of all final reports, all sites permitted for rough grade only shall provide 100 percent vegetative coverage to stabilize the site prior to receiving a rough grade permit final.

Prior to release for building permit, the applicant shall have met all rough grade requirements to obtain Building and Safety Department clearance.

080 - BS-Grade. 2 0080-BS GRADE-USE - NO BUILDING PERMIT WITHOUT GRADING Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the property owner shall obtain a grading permit and/or approval to construct from the Building and Safety Department.

E Health

080 - E Health. 1 0080-E Health-USE - E.HEALTH CLEARANCE REQ. Not Satisfied

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CLEARANCE IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THIS BUILDING PERMIT.

080 - E Health. 2 0080-E Health-USE - FOOD PLANS REQD Not Satisfied

A total of 3 complete set of plans for each food establishment are needed including a fixture schedule, a finish schedule, and a plumbing schedule in order to ensure compliance with current State and Local regulations.

080 - E Health. 3 0080-E Health-USE - PERC TEST REQD Not Satisfied

A satisfactory detailed soils percolation report performed in accordance with the procedures outlined in the County of Riverside, Department of Environmental Health Local Agency Management Program shall be required. This report shall include a plot plan that shows location and design of the onsite wastewater treatment system (OWTS).

A satisfactory report cannot include any failed rates for the design and location of the OWTS.

080 - E Health. 4 0080-E Health-USE - WATER WILL SERVE Not Satisfied

Provide current documentation of establishment of water service for the site at the time of building permit submittal.

Fire
80. Prior To Building Permit Issuance

**Fire**

080 - Fire. 1 0080-Fire-USE-#4-WATER PLANS Not Satisfied

The applicant or developer shall separately submit two copies of the water system plans to the Fire Department for review and approval. Plans shall indicate the fire hydrant types, location and spacing, and the system shall meet the minimum fire flow requirements.

Plans shall be signed and approved by a registered civil engineer and the local water company with the following certification: "I certify that the design of the water system is in accordance with the requirements prescribed by the Riverside County Fire Department."

080 - Fire. 2 0080-Fire-USE*-#51-WATER CERTIFICATION Not Satisfied

The applicant or developer shall be responsible to submit written certification from the water company noting the location of the existing fire hydrant and that the existing water system is capable of delivering required fire flow. If a water system currently does not exist, the applicant or developer shall be responsible to provide written certification that financial arrangements have been made to provide them.

**Planning**

080 - Planning. 1 0080-Planning-USE - ROOF EQUIPMENT SHIELDING Not Satisfied

Roof mounted equipment shall be shielded from ground view. Screening material shall be subject to Planning Department approval.

080 - Planning. 2 0080-Planning-USE*- CONFORM TO ELEVATIONS Not Satisfied

Elevations of all buildings and structures submitted for building plan check approval shall be in substantial conformance with the elevations shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT B.

080 - Planning. 3 0080-Planning-USE*- CONFORM TO FLOOR PLANS Not Satisfied

Floor plans shall be in substantial conformance with that shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT C.

080 - Planning. 4 0080-Planning-USE*- FEE STATUS Not Satisfied

Prior to issuance of building permits for Plot Plan No. 24246, the Planning Department shall determine the status of the deposit based fees for project. If the case fees are in a negative state, the permit holder shall pay the outstanding balance.

080 - Planning. 5 0080-Planning-USE*- SCHOOL MITIGATION Not Satisfied

Impacts to the ___ School District shall be mitigated in accordance with California State law.

**Survey**

080 - Survey. 1 0080-Survey-USE - ACCESS RESTRICTION Not Satisfied

By the project’s design, access on SH-74 shall be restricted. The project proponent shall apply under a separate application with the County Surveyor to restrict...
80. Prior To Building Permit Issuance
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080 - Survey. 1 0080-Survey-USE - ACCESS RESTRICTION (cont.)  Not Satisfied
access on SH-74.

Transportation

080 - Transportation. 1 0080-Transportation-ESTABLISH WQMP MAINT ENTITY  Not Satisfied
A maintenance plan and signed WQMP maintenance agreement shall be submitted to the Transportation
Department for review and approval prior to issuance of occupancy permits. A maintenance organization
will be established with a funding source for the permanent maintenance. The maintenance plan shall
require that all BMP facilities are inspected no later than October 15 each year and rendered fully
functional.

080 - Transportation. 2 0080-Transportation-IMPLEMENT WQMP  Not Satisfied
The project proponent shall begin constructing and installing the BMP facilities described in the approved
Final WQMP prior to the issuance of a building permit. The project proponent is responsible for
performing all activities described in the WQMP and that copies of the approved Final WQMP are provided
to future owners/occupants.

080 - Transportation. 3 0080-Transportation-USE - ANNEX L&LMD/OTHER DIST  Not Satisfied
Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the project proponent shall comply with County requirements
within public road right-of-way, in accordance with Ordinance 461. Assurance of maintenance is required
by filing an application for annexation to Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No.
89-1-Consolidated by contacting the Transportation Department at (951)955-6767, and/or any other
maintenance district approved by the Transportation Department or by processing and filing a 'Landscape
Maintenance Agreement' through the Transportation Department Plan Check Division. Said annexation
should include the following:

(1) Landscaping along Richard Street and SH-74.

(2) Street lights on Richard Street and SH-74.

(3) Street sweeping.

For street lighting, the project proponent shall contact the Transportation Department L&LMD 89-1-C
Administrator and submit the following:

(1) Completed Transportation Department application.

(2) Appropriate fees for annexation.

(3) Two (2) sets of street lighting plans approved by Transportation Department.

(4) "Streetlight Authorization" form from SCE or other electric provider.

080 - Transportation. 4 0080-Transportation-USE - LANDSCAPING  Not Satisfied
Landscaping within public road right-of-way shall comply with Transportation Department standards,
Ordinance 461, Comprehensive Landscaping Guidelines & Standards, and Ordinance 859 and shall
require approval by the Transportation Department.

Landscaping plans shall be designed within Richard Street and SH-74 and submitted to the Transportation
Department. Landscaping plans shall be submitted on standard County plan sheet format (24" x 36").
Landscaping plans shall be submitted with the street improvement plans.

080 - Transportation. 5 0080-Transportation-USE - LC LANDSCAPE PLOT PLAN  Not Satisfied
Prior to issuance of building permits, the developer/permit holder shall file a Landscaping Minor Plot Plan Application to the Riverside County Transportation Department for review and approval along with the current fee. The landscaping plans shall be in conformance with the APPROVED EXHIBITS; in compliance with Ordinance No. 348, Section 18.12; Ordinance No. 859; and, be prepared consistent with the County of Riverside Guide to California Friendly Landscaping. At minimum, plans shall include the following components:

1) Landscape and irrigation working drawings "stamped" by a California certified landscape architect;
2) Weather based controllers and necessary components to eliminate water waste;
3) A copy of the "stamped" approved grading plans; and, 4) Emphasis on native and drought tolerant species.

When applicable, plans shall include the following components:

1) Identification of all common/open space areas; 2) Natural open space areas and those regulated/conserved by the prevailing MSHCP; 3) Shading plans for projects that include parking lots/areas;
4) The use of canopy trees (24" box or greater) within the parking areas; 5) Landscaping plans for slopes exceeding 3 feet in height; 6) Landscaping and irrigation plans associated with entry monuments. All monument locations and dimensions shall be provided on the plan; and/or, 7) If this is a phased development, then a copy of the approved phasing plan shall be submitted for reference.

NOTE: 1) Landscaping plans for areas within the road right-of-way shall be submitted for review and approval by the Transportation Department only. 2) When the Landscaping Plot Plan is located within a special district such as Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District, Jurupa Community Services District, Coachella Valley Water District, a County Service Area (CSA) or other maintenance district, the developer/permit holder shall submit plans for review to the appropriate special district for simultaneous review. The permit holder shall show evidence to the Transportation Department that the subject District has approved said plans.

As part of the plan check review process and request for condition clearance, the developer/permit holder shall show
80. Prior To Building Permit Issuance

Transportation

080 - Transportation. 5  0080-Transportation-USE - LC LANDSCAPE PLOT PLAN (cont.)  Not Satisfied
proof of the approved landscaping plot plan by providing the Plot Plan number. The Transportation
department shall verify the landscape route is approved and the Plot Plan is in TENTAPPR status. Upon
verification of compliance with this condition and the APPROVED EXHIBITS, the Transportation
Department shall clear this condition.

080 - Transportation. 6  0080-Transportation-USE - LC LANDSCAPE SECURITIES  Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of building permits, the developer/permit holder shall submit an estimate to replace
plantings, irrigation systems, ornamental landscape elements, walls and/or fences, in amounts to be
approved by the Riverside County Transportation Department, Landscape Division. Once the
Transportation Department has approved the estimate, the developer/permit holder shall submit the
estimate to the Riverside County Department of Building and Safety who will then provide the
developer/permit holder with the requisite forms. The required forms shall be completed and submitted to
Building and Safety for processing and review in conjunction with County Counsel. Upon determination of
compliance, the Department of Building and Safety shall clear this condition.

NOTE: A cash security shall be required when the estimated cost is $2,500.00 or less. It is highly
encouraged to allow adequate time to ensure that securities are in place. The performance security shall
be released following a successful completion of the One Year Post-Establishment Inspection, and the
inspection report confirms that the planting and irrigation components are thriving and in good working order consistent with the approved landscaping plans.

080 - Transportation. 7  0080-Transportation-USE - LIGHTING PLAN  Not Satisfied

A separate streetlight plan is required for this project. Street lighting shall be designed in accordance with
County Ordinance 460 and Streetlight Specification Chart found in Specification Section 22 of Ordinance
461. For projects within SCE boundaries use County of Riverside Ordinance 461, Standard No. 1000 or
No. 1001.

080 - Transportation. 8  0080-Transportation-USE - R-O-W DEDICATION 1  Not Satisfied

Sufficient public street right-of-way along SH-74 shall be conveyed for public use to provide for a 92'-98
foot half-width right-of-way per modified Standard No. 83, Ordinance 461. (Modified for increased
right-of-way from 92' to (92'-98')).

Sufficient public street right-of-way along Richard Street shall be conveyed for public use to provide for a 39
foot half-width right-of-way per Standard No. 111, Ordinance 461.

080 - Transportation. 9  0080-Transportation-USE - TUMF CREDIT AGREEMENT  Not Satisfied

If the applicant/developer is constructing a "TUMF" facility as a condition of approval for this project and will
be seeking "TUMF" credits and/or reimbursements for the "TUMF" improvements built with this project, the
applicant shall enter into a "TUMF Improvement and Credit Agreement" with the Transportation Department
prior to the first
80. Prior To Building Permit Issuance
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080 - Transportation. 9  0080-Transportation-USE - TUMF CREDIT AGREEMENT (cont.)  Not Satisfied
building permit issuance as directed by the Director of Transportation. Please contact (951) 955-6800 for
additional information.

080 - Transportation. 10  0080-Transportation-USE - UTILITY PLAN  Not Satisfied

Electrical power, telephone, communication, street lighting, and cable television lines shall be designed to
be placed underground in accordance with Ordinance 460 and 461, or as approved by the Transportation
Department. The applicant is responsible for coordinating the work with the serving utility company. This
also applies to existing overhead lines which are 33.6 kilovolts or below along the project frontage and
between the nearest poles off-site in each direction of the project site. A disposition note describing the
above shall be reflected on design improvement plans whenever those plans are required. A written proof
for initiating the design and/or application of the relocation issued by the utility company shall be submitted
to the Transportation Department for verification purposes.

Waste Resources

080 - Waste Resources. 1  0080-Waste Resources-USE - RECYCLING COLLECTION PLAN  Not Satisfied
Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit three (3) copies of a Recyclables Collection
and Loading Area plot plan to the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources for review and
approval. The plot plan shall conform to Design Guidelines for Recyclables Collection and Loading Areas,
provided by the Department of Waste Resources, and shall show the location of and access to the
collection area for recyclable materials, along with its dimensions and construction detail, including
elevation/ façade, construction materials and signage. The plot plan shall clearly indicate how the trash
and recycling enclosures shall be accessed by the hauler.

080 - Waste Resources. 2  0080-Waste Resources-USE - WASTE RECYCLE PLAN (WRP)  Not Satisfied
Prior to building permit issuance, a Waste Recycling Plan (WRP) shall be submitted to the Riverside
County Department of Waste Resources for approval. At a minimum, the WRP must identify the materials
(i.e., concrete, asphalt, wood, etc.) that will be generated by construction and development, the projected
amounts, the measures/methods that will be taken to recycle, reuse, and/or reduce the amount of
materials, the facilities and/or haulers that will be utilized, and the targeted recycling or reduction rate.
During project construction, the project site shall have, at a minimum, two (2) bins: one for waste disposal
and the other for the recycling of Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials. Additional bins are
couraged to be used for further source separation of C&D recyclable materials. Accurate record
keeping (receipts) for recycling of C&D recyclable materials and solid waste disposal must be kept.
Arrangements can be made through the franchise hauler.

90. Prior to Building Final Inspection
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection

BS-Grade

090 - BS-Grade. 1 0090-BS GRADE-USE - PRECISE GRADE APPROVAL  Not Satisfied

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant shall obtain precise grade approval and/or clearance from the Building and Safety Department. The Building and Safety Department must approve the precise grading of your project before a building final can be obtained. Precise Grade approval can be accomplished by complying with the following: 1. Requesting and obtaining approval of all required grading inspections. 2. Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Soils Compaction Report from the Soils Engineer (registered geologist or certified geologist, civil engineer or geotechnical engineer as appropriate) for the sub-grade and base of all paved areas. 3. Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Sub-grade (rough) Certification from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the sub-grade was completed in conformance with the approved grading plan. 4. Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Precise (Final) Grade Certification for the entire site from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the precise grading was completed in conformance with the approved grading plan. Prior to release for building final, the applicant shall have met all precise grade requirements to obtain Building and Safety Department clearance.

E Health

090 - E Health. 1 0090-E Health-USE - HAZMAT CONTACT/REVIEW  Not Satisfied

Contact a Hazardous Materials Specialist, Hazardous Materials Management Division, at (951) 358-5055 for any additional requirements.

Fire

090 - Fire. 1 0090-Fire-USE-#12A-SPRINKLER SYSTEM  Not Satisfied

Install a complete fire sprinkler system per the CFC and NFPA 13. All fire sprinkler risers shall be protected from any physical damage. The post indicator valve and fire department connection shall be located to the front, within 200 feet of a hydrant, and a minimum of 40 feet from the building(s). A statement that the building(s) will be automatically fire sprinkled must be included on the title page of the building plans.

Applicant or developer shall be responsible to install a U.L. Central Station Monitored Fire Alarm System. Monitoring system shall monitor the fire sprinkler system(s) water flow, P.I.V.'s and all control valves. Plans must be submitted to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation. Contact fire department for guideline handout

090 - Fire. 2 0090-Fire-USE-#27-EXTINGUISHERS  Not Satisfied

Install portable fire extinguishers with a minimum rating of 2A-10BC and signage. Fire Extinguishers located in public areas shall be in recessed cabinets mounted 48" (inches) to center above floor level with maximum 4" projection from the wall. Contact Fire Department for proper placement of equipment prior to installation.

090 - Fire. 3 0090-Fire-USE-#45-FIRE LANES  Not Satisfied

The applicant shall prepare and submit to the Fire
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection

Fire

090 - Fire. 3 0090-Fire-USE-45-FIRE LANES (cont.) Not Satisfied
Department for approval, a site plan designating required fire lanes with appropriate lane painting and/or signs.

Planning

090 - Planning. 1 0090-Planning-USE - CURBS ALONG PLANTERS Not Satisfied
A six inch high curb with a twelve (12) inch wide walkway shall be constructed along planters on end stalls adjacent to automobile parking areas. Public parking areas shall be designed with permanent curb, bumper, or wheel stop or similar device so that a parked vehicle does not overhang required sidewalks, planters, or landscaped areas.

090 - Planning. 2 0090-Planning-USE - REMOVE OUTDOOR ADVERTISE Not Satisfied
All existing outdoor advertising displays, signs or billboards shall be removed.

090 - Planning. 3 0090-Planning-USE - ROOF EQUIPMENT SHIELDING Not Satisfied
Roof-mounted equipment shall be shielded from ground view. Screening material shall be subject to Planning Department approval.

090 - Planning. 4 0090-Planning-USE* - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) Not Satisfied
Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and installation of facilities and the acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development project described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the fees collected.

The amount of the fee for commercial or industrial development shall be calculated on the basis of the "Project Area," as defined in the Ordinance, which shall mean the net area, measured in acres, from the adjacent road right-of-way to the limits of the project development. The Project Area for Plot Plan No. 26246 has been calculated to be 2.60 net acres.

In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

090 - Planning. 5 0090-Planning-USE* - ACCESSIBLE PARKING Not Satisfied
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection
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090 - Planning. 5

0090-Planning-USE*- ACCESSIBLE PARKING (cont.)

Not Satisfied

A minimum of two (2) accessible parking spaces for persons with disabilities shall be provided as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A. Each parking space reserved for persons with disabilities shall be identified by a permanently affixed reflectorized sign constructed of porcelain on steel, beaded text or equal, displaying the International Symbol of Accessibility. The sign shall not be smaller than 70 square inches in area and shall be centered at the interior end of the parking space at a minimum height of 80 inches from the bottom of the sign to the parking space finished grade, or centered at a minimum height of 36 inches from the parking space finished grade, ground, or sidewalk. A sign shall also be posted in a conspicuous place, at each entrance to the off-street parking facility, not less than 17 inches by 22 inches, clearly and conspicuously stating the following:

"Unauthorized vehicles not displaying distinguishing placards or license plates issued for physically handicapped persons may be towed away at owner’s expense. Towed vehicles may be reclaimed at ___ or by telephoning ___.”

In addition to the above requirements, the surface of each parking space shall have a surface identification sign duplicating the symbol of accessibility in blue paint of at least 3 square feet in size.

090 - Planning. 6

0090-Planning-USE*- INSTALL BIKE RACKS

Not Satisfied

A bicycle rack with a minimum of four (4) spaces shall be provided in convenient locations to facilitate bicycle access to the project area as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A. The bicycle racks shall be shown on project landscaping and improvement plans submitted for Planning Department approval, and shall be installed in accordance with those plans.

090 - Planning. 7

0090-Planning-USE*- LOADING SPACES

Not Satisfied

A minimum of one (1) loading space[s] shall be provided in accordance with Section 18.12.a.(2)f(3).b. of Ordinance 348, and as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A. The loading spaces shall be surfaced with six (6) inches of concrete or asphalt over a suitable base and shall not be less than 10 feet wide by 35 feet long, with 14 feet vertical clearance.

090 - Planning. 8

0090-Planning-USE*- ORD 810 O S FEE (2)

Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon building permit final inspection prior to use or occupancy for cases without final inspection or certificate of occupancy (such as an SMP), whichever comes first, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside
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090 - Planning. 8  0090-Planning-USE*- ORD 810 O S FEE (2) (cont.)  Not Satisfied

County Ordinance No. 810, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. The amount of the fee will be based on the "Project Area" as defined in the Ordinance and the aforementioned Condition of Approval. The Project Area for Plot Plan No. 24246 is calculated to be 2.60 net acres. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

090 - Planning. 9  0090-Planning-USE*- PARKING PAVING MATERIAL  Not Satisfied

A minimum of thirty-seven (37) parking spaces shall be provided as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A, unless otherwise approved by the Planning Department. The parking area shall be surfaced with asphaltic concrete or concrete to current standards as approved by the Department of Building and Safety.

090 - Planning. 10  0090-Planning-USE*- TRASH ENCLOSURES  Not Satisfied

One (1) trash enclosure which is adequate to enclose a minimum of two (2) bins shall be located as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A, and shall be constructed prior to the issuance of occupancy permits. The enclosure(s) shall be a minimum of six (6') feet in height and shall be made with decorative masonry block and a solid gate which screens the bins from external view. Additional enclosed area for collection of recyclable materials shall be located within, near or adjacent to each trash and rubbish disposal area. The recycling collection area shall be a minimum of fifty percent (50%) of the area provided for the trash/rubbish enclosure(s) or as approved by the Riverside County Waste Management Department. All recycling bins shall be labeled with the universal recycling symbol and with signage indicating to the users the type of material to be deposited in each bin.

090 - Planning. 11  0090-Planning-USE*- WALL & FENCE LOCATIONS  Not Satisfied

Wall and/or fence locations shall be in conformance with the APPROVED EXHIBIT A.

Transportation

090 - Transportation. 1  0090-Transportation-USE - ANNEX L&LMD/OTHER DIST  Not Satisfied

Prior to issuance of an occupancy permit, the project proponent shall complete annexation to Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated, and/or any other maintenance district approved by the Transportation Department or by processing and filing a 'Landscape Maintenance Agreement' through the Transportation Department Plan Check Division for continuous maintenance within public road rights-of-way, in accordance with Ordinance 461, Comprehensive Landscaping Guidelines & Standards, and Ordinance 859. Said annexation should include the following:
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection
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090 - Transportation. 1 0090-Transportation-USE - Annex L&LMD/OTHER DIST (cont.) Not Satisfied
(1) Landscaping along Richard Street and SH-74.
(2) Street lights on Richard Street and SH-74.
(3) Street sweeping.

090 - Transportation. 2 0090-Transportation-USE - CONSTRUCT RAMP Not Satisfied
Ramps shall be constructed at 4-way intersections and "T" intersections per Standard No. 403, sheets 1 through 7 of Ordinance 461.

090 - Transportation. 3 0090-Transportation-USE - IMP PLANS Not Satisfied
Improvement plans for the required improvements must be prepared and shall be based upon a design profile extending a minimum of 300 feet beyond the limit of construction at a grade and alignment as approved by the Riverside County Transportation Department. Completion of road improvements does not imply acceptance for maintenance by County.

NOTE: Before you prepare the street improvement plan(s), please review the Street Improvement Plan Policies and Guidelines from the Transportation Department Web site: http://rctlma.org/trans/General-Information/Pamphlets-Brochures

090 - Transportation. 4 0090-Transportation-USE - LANDSCAPING Not Satisfied
The project proponent shall comply in accordance with landscaping requirements within public road rights-of-way, (or within easements adjacent to the public rights-of-way), in accordance with Ordinance 461, Comprehensive Landscaping Guidelines & Standards, and Ordinance 859. Landscaping will be improved within Richard Street and SH-74.

090 - Transportation. 5 0090-Transportation-USE - LANDSCAPING Not Satisfied
Landscaping within public road right-of-way shall comply with Transportation Department standards and Ordinance 461 and shall require approval by the Transportation Department. Landscaping shall be improved within Richard Street and SH-74.

Assurance of continuous maintenance is required by processing and filing a 'Landscape Maintenance Agreement through the Transportation Department Plan Check Division; or if desired the developer may file an application for annexation into Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated by contacting the Transportation Department at (951) 855-6767.

090 - Transportation. 6 0090-Transportation-USE - LC COMPLY W/ LNDSCP/ IRR Not Satisfied
The developer/permit holder shall coordinate with their designated landscape representative and the Riverside County Transportation Department's landscape inspector to ensure all landscape planting and irrigation systems have been installed in accordance with APPROVED EXHIBITS, landscaping, irrigation, and shading plans. The Transportation Department will ensure that all landscaping is healthy, free of weeds, disease and pests; and, irrigation systems are properly constructed and determined to be in good working order. The developer/permit holder's designated landscape representative and the Riverside County Transportation Department's landscape inspector shall determine compliance with this condition and execute a Landscape Certificate of Completion. Upon determination of compliance, the Transportation Department shall clear this condition.

090 - Transportation. 7 0090-Transportation-USE - LC LNDSCP INSPECT DEPOSIT Not Satisfied
Prior to building permit final inspection, the developer/permit holder shall file an Inspection Request Form and deposit
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection
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090 - Transportation. 7 0090-Transportation-USE - LC LNDSCP INSPECT DEPOSIT (cont.) Not Satisfied

sufficient funds to cover the costs of the Pre-Installation, the Installation, and One Year Post-Establishment
landscape inspections. In the event that an open landscape case is not available, then the applicant shall
open a FEE ONLY case to conduct inspections. The deposit required for landscape inspections shall be
determined by the Riverside County Landscape Division. The Transportation Department shall clear this
condition upon determination of compliance.

090 - Transportation. 8 0090-Transportation-USE - PART-WIDTH Not Satisfied

Richard Street along project boundary is a paved County maintained road and shall be improved with 49'
part-width pavement (28' on the project side and 21' on the opposite side of the centerline), 6" concrete
curb and gutter (project side), and must match up asphalt concrete paving; reconstruction; or resurfacing of
existing paving as determined by the Director of Transportation within the 69' part-width (39' project side
and 30' on the other side of the centerline) dedicated right-of-way in accordance with County Standard No.
111, Ordinance 461.

NOTE:

1. A 6' sidewalk (project side) shall be constructed adjacent to the curb line within the 11' parkway.

2. The driveway shall be constructed per County Standard No. 207A, Ordinance 461.

3. Richard Street realignment shall be coordinated with approved TR36450, centerline intersections to
SH-74 shall be at 90 degrees plus or minus 5 degrees with a minimum 50' tangent, measured from the
flow-line or as approved by the Transportation Development Review Manager.

4. Construct a transition AC pavement tapering lane along the east project boundary on Richard Street
per 35 m.p.h design speed limit.

5. Improvements plan along Richard Street shall be submitted to County Transportation Department
for review and approval.

6. Existing fences shall be relocated outside the ultimate road right-of-way.

090 - Transportation. 9 0090-Transportation-USE - SIGNING & STRIPING Not Satisfied

A signing and striping plan is required for this project. The project proponent shall be responsible for any
additional paving and/or striping removal caused by the striping plan or as approved by the Director of
Transportation.

090 - Transportation. 10 0090-Transportation-USE - ST DESIGN/IMP CONCEPT Not Satisfied

The street design of Richard Street centerline alignment and improvement concept of this project shall be
coordinated with approved TR36450.

090 - Transportation. 11 0090-Transportation-USE - STREET LIGHTS INSTALL Not Satisfied

Install street lights along the streets associated with development in accordance with the approved street
lighting plan and standards of County Ordinances 460 and 461.

Streetlight annexation into L&LMD or similar mechanism as approved by the Transportation Department
shall be completed.

It shall be the responsibility of the developer to ensure that street lights are energized along the streets
associated with this development where the developer is seeking Building Final Inspection (Occupancy).
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection

Transportation

090 - Transportation. 11 0090-Transportation-USE - STREETLIGHTS INSTALL (cont.) Not Satisfied

090 - Transportation. 12 0090-Transportation-USE - UTILITY INSTALL Not Satisfied

Electrical power, telephone, communication, street lighting, and cable television lines shall be placed underground in accordance with Ordinance 460 and 461, or as approved by the Transportation Department. This also applies to existing overhead lines which are 33.6 kilovolts or below along the project frontage and between the nearest poles off-site in each direction of the project site.

A certificate should be obtained from the pertinent utility company and submitted to the Department of Transportation as proof of completion.

090 - Transportation. 13 0090-Transportation-USE - WRCOG TUMF Not Satisfied

Prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit, the project proponent shall pay the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time of issuance, pursuant to Ordinance No. 824.

090 - Transportation. 14 0090-Transportation-USE LNDSCPE INSPCTN RQRMNTS Not Satisfied

The permit holder's (or on-site representative) landscape architect is responsible for preparing the landscaping and irrigation plans and shall arrange for an installation inspection with the Transportation Department at least five (5) working days prior to the installation of any landscape or irrigation components.

Upon successful completion of the installation inspection, the applicant will arrange for a 6th-month installation inspection at least five (5) working days prior to the final building inspection or issuance of the occupancy permit, whichever occurs first, and comply with the Transportation Department's (90.TRANS) condition entitled "USE-LANDSCAPING SECURITY" and (90.TRANS) condition entitled "LANDSCAPE INSPECTION DEPOSIT." Upon successful completion of the installation inspection, the County Transportation Department's landscape inspector and the permit holder's landscape architect (or on-site representative) shall execute a Landscape Certificate of Completion that shall be submitted to the Transportation Department and the Department of Building and Safety. The Transportation Department shall clear this condition upon determination of compliance.

090 - Transportation. 15 0090-Transportation-USE STREETLIGHT AUTHORIZATION Not Satisfied

Prior to OCCUPANCY, the project proponent shall submit to Transportation Department Permits the following:


2. Letter establishing interim energy account from SCE or other electric provider.

090 - Transportation. 16 0090-Transportation-USE-EXISTING MAINT. Not Satisfied

SH-74 is a paved maintained road designated EXPRESSWAY and shall be improved with 55' half-width AC pavement, concrete curb and gutter (project side), 8" concrete curbed raised median, and must much up asphalt concrete paving; reconstruction or resurfacing of existing paving as determined by the Director of Transportation within the 92'- 98' half-width dedicated right-of-way in accordance with County Standard No. 83, Ordinance 461.

NOTE:

1. An 8' sidewalk (project side) shall be constructed within the 37'-43' parkway or as approved by Director of Transportation.

2. A half-width raised curb median shall be constructed at the centerline as directed by Director of Transportation.

3. Construct a transition AC pavement tapering lane along the south project boundary of SH-74 per 65 m.p.h design speed limit.
90. Prior to Building Final Inspection

Transportation

090 - Transportation. 16 0090-Transportation-USE-EXISTING MAINT. (cont.) Not Satisfied

4. Street improvement plans along SH-74 and Richard Street shall be submitted to County Transportation Department review and approval.

5. Existing fences shall be relocated outside the ultimate road right-of-way or as approved by the Director of Transportation.

090 - Transportation. 17 0090-Transportation-WQMP COMPLETION Not Satisfied

Prior to Building Final Inspection, the project proponent is required to furnish educational materials regarding water quality to future owners/occupants, provide an engineered WQMP certification, inspection of BMPs, GPS location of BMPs, registering BMPs with the Transportation Department’s Business Registration Division, and ensure that the requirements for inspection and cleaning the BMPs are established.

090 - Transportation. 18 0090-Transportation-WQMP REGISTRATION Not Satisfied

Prior to Building Final Inspection, the project proponent is required to register the project with the Transportation Department’s Business Registration Division.

Waste Resources

090 - Waste Resources. 1 0090-Waste Resources-USE - RECYCLNG COLLECTION AREA Not Satisfied

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant shall construct the recyclables collection and loading area in compliance with the Recyclables Collection and Loading Area plan, as approved and stamped by the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources, and as verified by the Riverside County Building and Safety Department through site inspection.

090 - Waste Resources. 2 0090-Waste Resources-USE - WASTE REPORTING FORM Not Satisfied

Prior to building final inspection, evidence (i.e., receipts or other types of verification) to demonstrate project compliance with the approved Waste Reporting Plan (WRP) shall be presented by the project proponent to the Planning Division of the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources. Receipts must clearly identify the amount of waste disposed and Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials recycled.
DATE: January 22, 2018

TO:         Riv. Co. Transportation Dept.        Board of Supervisors - Supervisor: 1st District-
           Riv. Co. Trans. Dept. – Landscape Section        Jeffries
                                                   Planning Commissioner: 1st District- Shaffer

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246, 3rd Submittal – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell –
Engineer/Representative: Rubicon Design Group c/o Michele Rambo – First Supervisorial District –
Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR)
(0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of
Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) – REQUEST: To construct a 7,225
square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 41 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, a trash
enclosure, and a stucco pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03. Concurrent Processing:
CPO02008 – Related Project: PAR01502. BBID: 459-537-570

DAC staff members and other listed Riverside County Agencies, Departments and Districts staff:
A Bluebeam invitation has been emailed to appropriate staff members so they can view and markup the
map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for the above-described project. Please have your markups completed and draft
conditions in the Public Land Use System (PLUS) on or before the indicated DAC date. If it is determined
that the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) are not acceptable, please have corrections in the system and
DENY the PLUS routing on or before the above date. This case is scheduled for a DAC internal review
on February 15, 2018. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without
corrections, the project can be scheduled for a public hearing.

Any questions regarding this project, should be directed to Tim Wheeler, Project Planner at
(951) 955-6060, or e-mail at twheeler@rivco.org / MAILSTOP #: 1070

Public Hearing Path:        Administrative Action:□       DH:□      PC:□      BOS:□

DATE: _______________________________    SIGNATURE: _______________________________

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: ______________________________________________________

TELEPHONE: _______________________________

If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project
planner's name. Thank you.
DATE: September 22, 2017

TO:
Riv. Co. Transportation Dept.
Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Grading
Riv. Co. Regional Parks & Open Space

Riv. Co. Trans. Dept. – Landscape Section
P.D. Archaeology Section
Board of Supervisors - Supervisor: 1st District-
Jeffries
Planning Commissioner: 1st District- Shaffer


LDC staff members and other listed Riverside County Agencies, Departments and Districts staff: A Bluebeam invitation has been emailed to appropriate staff members so they can view and markup the map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for the above-described project. Please have your markups completed and draft conditions in the Land Management System (LMS) on or before the indicated LDC date. If it is determined that the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) are not acceptable, please have corrections in the system and DENY the LMS routing on or before the above date. This case is scheduled for a LDC Internal Review on October 12, 2017. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the project can be scheduled for a public hearing.

Other listed entities/individuals:
Please note that the Planning Department has gone paperless and is no longer providing physical copies of the submitted map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for review. However, we still want your comments. You can view the project maps and exhibits by going to the following webpage and selecting the LDC date listed above. http://planning.rclma.org/DevelopmentProcess/LDCAgendas/2016LDCAgendas.aspx by clicking the appropriate LDC date, you will download a copy of the LDC agenda with the exhibits attached. Please provide any comments, questions and recommendations to the Planning Department on or before the above referenced date.

Any questions or comments regarding this project should be directed to Tim Wheeler, Project Planner at (951) 955-6060, or e-mail at twheeler@rivco.org / MAILSTOP #: 1070

Public Hearing Path: Administrative Action: ☐ DH: ☒ PC: ☐ BOS: ☐

DATE: ______________________________ SIGNATURE: __________________________

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: ________________________________________________

TELEPHONE: _______________________________

If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner’s name. Thank you.
LAND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (LDC)
INITIAL CASE TRANSMITTAL
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT – RIVERSIDE
PO Box 1409
Riverside, 92502-1409

DATE: May 8, 2017

TO:
Riv. Co. Transportation Dept.
P.D. Environmental Programs Division

P.D. Geology Section

Riv. Co. Fire Department (Riv. Office)
P.D. Archaeology Section

Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Grading
Riv. Co. Trans. Dept. – Landscape Section

Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Plan Check

Riv. Co. Regional Parks & Open Space


LDC staff members and other listed Riverside County Agencies, Departments and Districts staff:
A Bluebeam invitation has been emailed to appropriate staff members so they can view and markup the map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for the above-described project. Please have your markups completed and draft conditions in the Land Management System (LMS) on or before the indicated LDC date. If it is determined that the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) are not acceptable, please have corrections in the system and DENY the LMS routing on or before the above date. This case is scheduled for a LDC meeting on June 1, 2017. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the project can be scheduled for a public hearing.

Other listed entities/individuals:
Please note that the Planning Department has gone paperless and is no longer providing physical copies of the submitted map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for review. However, we still want your comments. You can view the project maps and exhibits by going to the following webpage and selecting the LDC date listed above: http://planning.rclma.org/DevelopmentProcess/LDCAgendas/2016LDCAgendas.aspx by clicking the appropriate LDC date, you will download a copy of the LDC agenda with the exhibits attached. Please provide any comments, questions and recommendations to the Planning Department on or before the above referenced date.

DATE: ________________________ SIGNATURE: ________________________

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: ________________________________________

TELEPHONE: ________________________

If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner’s name. Thank you.
May 12, 2017

Salem Engineering Group, Inc.
Fax: (909) 980-6435
Attn: Clarence Jiang

RE: Conditions of Approval
   County Geologic Report No. 2549
   "Geotechnical Engineering Investigation Proposed Dollar General, SEC Highway
   74 & Richard Street, Lake Elsinore (Perris), County of Riverside, California",
   dated June 30, 2016.

Please see the attached conditions of approval pertaining to the subject report.

Please call me at (951) 955-6187 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

Daniel P. Walsh, CEG No. 2413
Associate Engineering Geologist, TLMA-Planning

Attachments: Conditions of Approval

cc: Planner: Tim Wheeler, Riverside Office (twheeler@rivco.org)
   Applicant: Cross Development; Attn: Joe Dell (joe@crossdevelopment.net)
   Eng/Rep: Rubicon Design Group; Attn: Michele Rambo
   (mrambo@rubicondesigngroup.com)

File: GEO02549, PP26246

B:\Geology\GEO02549-2589\geo2549_COA.doc
Date: June 5, 2017

To: Tim Wheeler
    County of Riverside, Planning

Reviewed by: Steven T. Uhlman, CIH
            Senior Industrial Hygienist

Riverside County, Department of Environmental Health
Office of Industrial Hygiene
3880 Lemon Street, Suite 200
Riverside, California 92502
Phone: (951) 955-8980

Project Reviewed: PP 26246, Dollar General Market

SR Number: 38743

Applicant: Salem Engineering Group, Inc.
11650 Mission Park Drive, Suite 108
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Noise Consultant: Rincon Consultants, Inc
180 N. Ashwood Ave.
Ventura, CA 93003

Noise Standards - Stationary Noise Sources:

Facility-related noise, as projected to any portion of any surrounding property containing a “habitable dwelling, hospital, school, library or nursing home”, must not exceed the following worst-case exterior noise levels:

a) 45 dB (A) – 10 minute noise equivalent level (“leq”), between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. (nighttime standard).

b) 65 dB (A) – 10 minute leq, between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. (daytime standard).

Findings:

The consultant’s report is adequate. The project will be in compliance with the above noise standard.

Recommendations:

1) Limit construction activities to between the hours of 6:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. from June to September, and 7:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. from October to May.

No further noise assessments are required for this project.
March 5, 2017

Pechanga Cultural Resources Department
Ebru Ozdil, Planning Specialist
P.O. Box 2183
Temecula, CA 92593

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
June 6, 2017

VI A E-Mail and USPS

Heather Thomson
Archaeologist
Riverside County
4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
P.O. Box 1409,
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

Re: PECHANGA TRIBE REQUEST FOR CONSULTATION FOR PP26246, EA43020

Dear Ms. Thomson;

This comment letter is submitted by the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians (hereinafter, “the Tribe”), a federally recognized Indian tribe and sovereign government, formally requests, pursuant to Public Resources Code §21092.2, to be notified and involved in the entire CEQA environmental review process for the duration of the above referenced project (the “Project”). Please add the Tribe to your distribution list(s) for public notices and circulation of all documents, including environmental review documents, archeological reports, and all documents pertaining to this Project. The Tribe further requests to be directly notified of all public hearings and scheduled approvals concerning this Project. Please also incorporate these comments into the record of approval for this Project.

We request that all the Tribe’s comments, including this letter, be incorporated into the official record of approval for this Project.

THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE MUST INCLUDE INVOLVEMENT OF AND CONSULTATION WITH THE PECHANGA TRIBE IN ITS ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

It has been the intent of the Federal Government\(^1\) and the State of California\(^2\) that Indian tribes be consulted with regard to issues which impact cultural and spiritual resources, as well as other governmental concerns. The responsibility to consult with Indian tribes stems from the unique government-to-government relationship between the United States and Indian tribes. This

\(^{1}\)See e.g., Executive Memorandum of April 29, 1994 on Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments, Executive Order of November 6, 2006 on Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, Executive Memorandum of September 23, 2004 on Government-to-Government Relationships with Tribal Governments, and Executive Memorandum of November 5, 2009 on Tribal Consultation.

\(^{2}\) See California Public Resource Code §5097.9 et seq.; California Government Code §§65351, 65352.3 and 65352.4

Sacred Is The Duty Trusted Unto Our Care And With Honor We Rise To The Need
arises when tribal interests are affected by the actions of governmental agencies and departments. In this case, it is undisputed that the project lies within the Pechanga Tribe’s traditional territory. Therefore, in order to comply with CEQA and other applicable Federal and California law, it is imperative that the County of Riverside consult with the Tribe in order to guarantee an adequate knowledge base for an appropriate evaluation of the Project effects, as well as generating adequate mitigation measures.

**LEAD AGENCY CONSULTATION WITH THE PECHANGA TRIBE REQUIRED**

**PURSUANT TO CAL. GOVT. C. §§ 65351, 65352, 65352.3, AND 65352.4**

**(SENATE BILL 18 – TRADITIONAL TRIBAL CULTURAL PLACES LAW)**

In the event that a General Plan, General Plan Amendment, Specific Plan or Specific Plan Amendment will be processed on this Project, the Lead Agency is required to consult with the Pechanga Tribe pursuant to a State law entitled Traditional Tribal Cultural Places (also known as SB 18; Cal. Govt. C. § 65352.3). The purpose of consultation is to identify any Native American sacred places and any geographical areas which could potentially yield sacred places, identify proper means of treatment and management of such places, and to ensure the protection and preservation of such places through agreed upon mitigation (Cal. Govt. C. 65352.3; SB18, Chapter 905, Section 1(4)(b)(3)). Consultation must be government-to-government, meaning directly between the Tribe and the Lead Agency, seeking agreement where feasible (Cal. Govt. C. § 65352.4; SB18, Chapter 905, Section 1(4)(b)(3)). Lastly, any information conveyed to the Lead Agency concerning Native American sacred places shall be confidential in terms of the specific identity, location, character and use of those places and associated features and objects. This information is not subject to public disclosure pursuant the California Public Records Act (Cal. Govt. C. 6254(f)).

The Pechanga Tribe asserts that the Project area is part of ‘Atáaxum (Luiseño), and therefore the ‘Tribe’s, aboriginal territory as evidenced by the existence of Luiseño place names, tódota yixáival (rock art, pictographs, petroglyphs), and an extensive Luiseño artifact record in the vicinity of the Project. This culturally sensitive area is affiliated with the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians because of the Tribe’s cultural ties to this area as well as extensive history with both this Project and other projects within the area. During our consultation we will provide more specific, confidential information on the resources located on and near this Project.

**REQUESTED TRIBAL INVOLVEMENT AND MITIGATION**

The proposed Project is on land that is within the traditional territory of the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians. The Pechanga Band is not opposed to this Project; however, we are opposed to any direct, indirect and cumulative impacts this Project may have to tribal cultural resources. The Tribe’s primary concerns stem from the Project’s proposed impacts on Native American cultural resources. The Tribe is concerned about both the protection of unique and irreplaceable

*Pechanga Cultural Resources • Temecula Band of Luiseño Mission Indians*
*Post Office Box 2183 • Temecula, CA 92592*

*Sacred Is The Duty Trusted Unto Our Care And With Honor We Rise To The Need*
cultural resources, such as Luiseño village sites, sacred sites and archaeological items which would be displaced by ground disturbing work on the Project, and on the proper and lawful treatment of cultural items, Native American human remains and sacred items likely to be discovered in the course of the work.

The Tribe requests to be involved and participate with the County on identifying potential tribal cultural resources (TCRs); determining whether potential substantial adverse effects will occur to them; and to develop appropriate preservation, avoidance and/or mitigation measures, as appropriate for the duration of the Project. Preferred TCR mitigation is always avoidance and the Tribe requests that all efforts to preserve sensitive TCRs be made as early in the development process as possible. In addition, given the sensitivity of the Project area, it is the position of the Pechanga Tribe that professional Pechanga tribal monitors be required to be present during all ground-disturbing activities conducted in connection with the Project, including any additional archeological excavations performed.

The CEQA Guidelines state that lead agencies should make provisions for inadvertent discoveries of cultural resources (CEQA Guidelines §15064.5). As such, it is the position of the Pechanga Tribe that an agreement specifying appropriate treatment of inadvertent discoveries of cultural resources be executed between the Project Applicant/Developer and the Pechanga Tribe.

The Tribe believes that adequate cultural resources assessments and management must always include a component which addresses inadvertent discoveries. Every major State and Federal law dealing with cultural resources includes provisions addressing inadvertent discoveries (See e.g.: CEQA (Cal. Pub. Resources Code §21083.2(o); 14 CCR §15064.5(f)); Section 106 (36 CFR §800.13); NAGPRA (43 CFR §10.4). Moreover, most state and federal agencies have guidelines or provisions for addressing inadvertent discoveries (See e.g.: FHWA, Section 4(f) Regulations - 771.135(g); CALTRANS, Standard Environmental Reference - 5-10.2 and 5-10.3). Because of the extensive presence of the Tribe's ancestors within the Project area, it is not unreasonable to expect to find vestiges of that presence. Such cultural resources and artifacts are significant to the Tribe as they are reminders of their ancestors. Moreover, the Tribe is expected to protect and assure that all cultural sites of its ancestors are appropriately treated in a respectful manner. Therefore, as noted previously, it is crucial to adequately address the potential for inadvertent discoveries.

Further, the Pechanga Tribe believes that if human remains are discovered, State law would apply and the mitigation measures for the permit must account for this. According to the California Public Resources Code, § 5097.98, if Native American human remains are discovered, the Native American Heritage Commission must name a “most likely descendant,” who shall be consulted as to the appropriate disposition of the remains. Given the Project's location in Pechanga territory, the Pechanga Tribe intends to assert its right pursuant to California law with regard to any remains or items discovered in the course of this Project.

_Pechanga Cultural Resources • Temecula Band of Luiseño Mission Indians_  
_Post Office Box 2183 • Temecula, CA 92592_

_Sacred Is The Duty Trusted Unto Our Care And With Honor We Rise To The Need_
The Pechanga Tribe officially requests to continue consultation with the County and to receive official notice of all actions concerning this Project pursuant to the Tribal Traditional Cultural Properties Law and CEQA. The Tribe requests copies of all documents pertaining to the cultural resource and archaeological impacts of this Project, including environmental documents, archaeological reports, geotechnical reports, development and grading plans, archaeological site records, and proposed mitigation measures and conditions of approval, when available. Without such documents the Tribe cannot commence or complete its consultation with the County that it is entitled to by law. The Pechanga Tribe may be requesting that the County adopt specific procedures and policies concerning the protection, preservation and mitigation of sacred places, and all cultural resources pertaining to this Project.

The Tribe reserves the right to fully participate in the environmental review process, as well as to provide further comment on the Project’s impacts to cultural resources and potential mitigation for such impact.

The Pechanga Tribe looks forward to working together with the County of Riverside in protecting the invaluable Luiseño cultural resources found in the Project area. Please contact me at 951.770.6313 or at eozdil@pechanga-nsn.gov once you have had a chance to review these comments. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Tuba Ebru Ozdil
Planning Specialist

cc: Pechanga Office of the General Counsel
October 13, 2017

RE: AB 52 Consultation Conclusion Letter for PP26246

Dear Ms. Ozdil,

An AB 52 notification for this project was sent to you via email on May 05, 2017. This email also included a project map. The Riverside County Planning Department ("Planning") received your request dated June 06, 2017 on behalf of Pechanga for AB 52 consultation on the Project. On June 07, 2017 the Planning Department responded with a letter expressing confusion regarding the use of Federal language and the citing of Federal Codes when discussing a CEQA project. The letter also made several requests of Pechanga but this letter has never been acknowledged, responded to or even discussed. It is included as an attachment for the record. The cultural report and project exhibits were provided to the Tribe on June 27, 2017.

Consultation was held on June 14, 2017 and August 15, 2017 for this project during which we were told by Pechanga Staff that their "other reservation" is ½ mile away and that the area is sensitive. Mr. Macarro stated that Pechanga had identified resources closer to the project area during a walkover with Edison which he didn’t think were recorded yet. No documentation was provided by Macarro during the meeting or to date and no tribal cultural resources were identified within the project.

The cultural report was negative for prehistoric resources within this project area and based on the information gathered by Planning and the information provided by you, Planning has concluded that there is no potential significant impact to Tribal Cultural Resources as defined in Section 21073 of the Public Resources Code because there are no Tribal Cultural Resources present.

Based on the above, and in accordance with Public Resource Code section 21080.3.2(b), Planning has acted in good faith and made reasonable efforts to consult with Pechanga on PP26246 and considers AB 52 consultation concluded as of this letter’s date. The final conditions of approval are attached to this email and Planning will notify Pechanga when the Project’s is released for the public review period as well as when the Project is scheduled for a public hearing.
Sincerely,

Heather Thomson  
County Archaeologist

Cc: Shellie Clack, Deputy County Counsel IV

Attachments:
March 5, 2017

Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians
Joseph Ontiveros, Cultural Resource Director
P.O. BOX 487
San Jacinto, CA 92581

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
July 26, 2017

Attn: Heather Thomson, Archaeologist
Riverside County Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

RE: AB 52 Consultation; PP26246, EA43020

The Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians has received your notification pursuant under Assembly Bill 52.

Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians is requesting to initiate formal consultation with the County of Riverside. A meeting can be scheduled by contacting me via email or phone. All contact information has been included in this letter.

I look forward to hearing from and meeting with you soon.

Sincerely,

Joseph Ontiveros, Director of Cultural Resources
Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians
P.O. Box 487
San Jacinto, CA 92581
Phone (951) 654-5544 ext. 4137
Cell (951) 663-5279
jontiveros@soboba-nsn.gov

Confidentiality: The entirety of the contents of this letter shall remain confidential between Soboba and the County of Riverside. No part of the contents of this letter may be shared, copied, or utilized in any way with any other individual, entity, municipality, or tribe, whatsoever, without the expressed written permission of the Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians.
March 5, 2017

Pala Band of Mission Indians
Shasta C. Gaughen, THPO
PMB 50, 35008 Pala Temecula Rd.
Pala, CA 92059

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PIANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
May 24, 2017

Heather Thomson
Riverside County Planning Dept.
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92502

Re: AB-52 Consultation: PP26246; EA43020

Dear Ms. Thomson:

The Pala Band of Mission Indians Tribal Historic Preservation Office has received your notification of the project referenced above. This letter constitutes our response on behalf of Robert Smith, Tribal Chairman.

We have consulted our maps and determined that the project as described is not within the boundaries of the recognized Pala Indian Reservation. It is, however, within the boundaries of the territory that the tribe considers its Traditional Use Area (TUA) or it is situated in close proximity to the Reservation and information generated would likely be useful in better understanding regional culture and history. Therefore, we would like to initiate AB-52 consultation at this time.

We appreciate involvement with your initiative and look forward to working with you. If you have questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me by telephone at 760-891-3515 or by e-mail at sgaughen@palatribe.com.

Sincerely,

Shasta C. Gaughen, PhD
Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
Pala Band of Mission Indians
March 5, 2017

Cahuilla Band of Indians
Anthony Madrigal
52701 Highway 371
Anza, CA 92539

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.

- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

[Signature]
Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
March 5, 2017

Ramona Band of Cahuilla
Joseph D. Hamilton, Chairman
56310 Highway 371, Suite B
Anza, California 92539

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
March 5, 2017

Morongo Cultural Heritage Program
Ray Huante
12700 Pumarra Rd.
Banning, CA 92220

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisory District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial

Riverside Office • 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
P.O. Box 1406, Riverside, California 92502-1409
(951) 955-3200 • Fax (951) 955-3157

Desert Office • 77555 El Duna Court, Suite H
Palm Desert, California 92211
(760) 863-8277 • Fax (760) 863-7040
March 5, 2017

Rincon Band of Luiseño Indians
Vincent Whipple
1 West Tribal Road
Valley Center, CA 92082

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Wheer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
March 5, 2017

Colorado River Indian Tribes (CRIT)
David Harper, Director
26600 Mohave Road, Parker, Arizona 85344

SUBJECT: ASSEMBLY BILL 52 (AB 52) FORMAL NOTIFICATION (PP26246, EA43020)

This serves to notify you of a proposed project located within Riverside County. A map depicting the location and a project description can be found below. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1(d), if you wish to initiate consultation on this proposed project, please send a consultation request by June 5, 2017 to hthomson@rivco.org. To ensure an effective and good faith consultation effort, the request for consultation shall also indicate the following:

- Whether there are TCR’s in project area. If so, what specifically is the TCR? The Tribe must provide County with substantial evidence to support this and if the TCR consists of a “landscape”, the Tribe must also geographically define the landscape in terms of size and scope of the project.
- Is the Project causing a substantial adverse impact to a TCR? If so, what is that impact?

Project Description:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 – EA43020 – Applicant: Cross Development c/o Joe Dell – Engineer/Representative: Tectonics Design Group – First Supervisorial District – Meadowbrook Zoning Area – Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of Highway 74 – 2.60 ac – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S)

REQUEST: To construct a 7,225 square-foot Retail building for Dollar General. Including 35 parking spaces, 2 ADA parking spaces, trash enclosure and a pylon sign. APN(s) 345-210-027 and 345-210-03.

Sincerely,

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Heather Thomson, Archaeologist

Email CC: Tim Weer, Twheeler@rivco.org
Attachment: Project Vicinity Map and Project Aerial
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

CHECK ONE AS APPROPRIATE:

☒ PLOT PLAN ☐ PUBLIC USE PERMIT ☐ VARIANCE
☐ CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT ☐ TEMPORARY USE PERMIT
☐ REVISED PERMIT Original Case No. ________________________________

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

APPLICATION INFORMATION

Applicant Name: Cross Development

Contact Person: Joe Dell E-Mail: joe@crossdevelopment.net

Mailing Address: 4336 Marsh Ridge Road
Carrolton Street
TX 75010

Daytime Phone No: (903) 771-9444 Fax No: (____) N/A

Engineer/Representative Name: Rubicon Design Group

Contact Person: Michele Rambo, AICP E-Mail: mrambo@rubicondesigngroup.com

Mailing Address: 100 California Avenue, Suite 202
Reno Street
NV 89509

Daytime Phone No: (775) 393-0035 Fax No: (____) N/A

Property Owner Name: Chang and Hae Yeong Lee

Contact Person: ____________________________ E-Mail: ____________________________

Mailing Address: 2501 Reata Place
Diamond Bar Street
CA 91765

Daytime Phone No: (____) ____________________________ Fax No: (____) ____________________________

Riverside Office · 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92502-1409
(951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-1811

Desert Office · 77-588 El Duna Court, Suite H
Palm Desert, California 92211
(760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7555

"Planning Our Future… Preserving Our Past"
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

☐ Check this box if additional persons or entities have an ownership interest in the subject property(ies) in addition to that indicated above; and attach a separate sheet that references the use permit type and number and list those names, mailing addresses, phone and fax numbers, and email addresses; and provide signatures of those persons or entities having an interest in the real property(ies) involved in this application.

AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent, and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and in accordance with Govt. Code Section 65105, acknowledge that in the performance of their functions, planning agency personnel may enter upon any land and make examinations and surveys, provided that the entries, examinations, and surveys do not interfere with the use of the land by those persons lawfully entitled to the possession thereof.

(If an authorized agent signs, the agent must submit a letter signed by the owner(s) indicating authority to sign on the owner(s)’s behalf, and if this application is submitted electronically, the “wet-signed” signatures must be submitted to the Planning Department after submittal but before the use permit is ready for public hearing.)

Chang Lee
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)  
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

Hae Yeong Lee
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)  
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.

AUTHORIZATION FOR CONCURRENT FEE TRANSFER

The applicant authorizes the Planning Department and TLMA to expedite the refund and billing process by transferring monies among concurrent applications to cover processing costs as necessary. Fees collected in excess of the actual cost of providing specific services will be refunded. If additional funds are needed to complete the processing of this application, the applicant will be billed, and processing of the application will cease until the outstanding balance is paid and sufficient funds are available to continue the processing of the application. The applicant understands the deposit fee process as described above, and that there will be NO refund of fees which have been expended as part of the application review or other related activities or services, even if the application is withdrawn or the application is ultimately denied.

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Assessor’s Parcel Number(s): 345-210-027 and 345-210-031

Approximate Gross Acreage: 2.6 acres

General location (nearby or cross streets): North of River Road, South of Richard Street, East of Highway 74, West of Robert Street.
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT PROPOSAL:

Describe the proposed project.

Construct a 9,100 square-foot general retail building with associated grading and landscaping

Identify the applicable Ordinance No. 348 Section and Subsection reference(s) describing the proposed land use(s): Section 9.50; Subsections 25, 27, 51

Number of existing lots: 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.*</th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Stories</th>
<th>Use/Function</th>
<th>To be Removed</th>
<th>Bldg. Permit No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Place check in the applicable row, if building or structure is proposed to be removed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.*</th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Stories</th>
<th>Use/Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,100</td>
<td>24 feet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.*</th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
<th>Use/Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

* Match to Buildings/Structures/Outdoor Uses/Areas identified on Exhibit “A”.

☐ Check this box if additional buildings/structures exist or are proposed, and attach additional page(s) to identify them.

Related cases filed in conjunction with this application:

PAR01502

Are there previous development applications filed on the subject property: Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, provide Application No(s): N/A

(e.g. Tentative Parcel Map, Zone Change, etc.)

Initial Study (EA) No. (if known) N/A EIR No. (if applicable): N/A

Have any special studies or reports, such as a traffic study, biological report, archaeological report, geological or geotechnical reports, been prepared for the subject property? Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, indicate the type of report(s) and provide a signed copy(ies): Traffic, Biological, Archaeo, Geotech

Is the project located within 1,000 feet of a military installation, beneath a low-level flight path or within special use airspace as defined in Section 21098 of the Public Resources Code, and within an urbanized area as defined by Government Code Section 65944? Yes ☐ No ☐

Is this an application for a development permit? Yes ☐ No ☐

If the project located within either the Santa Ana River/San Jacinto Valley watershed, the Santa Margarita River watershed, or the Whitewater River watershed, check the appropriate checkbox below.

If not known, please refer to Riverside County’s Map My County website to determine if the property is located within any of these watersheds (search for the subject property’s Assessor’s Parcel Number, then select the “Geographic” Map Layer – then select the “Watershed” sub-layer)

If any of the checkboxes are checked, click on the adjacent hyperlink to open the applicable Checklist Form. Complete the form and attach a copy as part of this application submittal package.

☒ Santa Ana River/San Jacinto Valley

☐ Santa Margarita River

☐ Whitewater River

Form 295-1010 (06/06/16)

Page 4 of 6
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

If the applicable Checklist has concluded that the application requires a preliminary project-specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), such a plan shall be prepared and included with the submittal of this application.

HAZARDOUS WASTE AND SUBSTANCES STATEMENT

The development project and any alternatives proposed in this application are contained on the lists compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Accordingly, the project applicant is required to submit a signed statement that contains the following information:

Name of Applicant: ________________________________
Address: _________________________________________
Phone number: ____________________________________
Address of site (street name and number if available, and ZIP Code): __________________________
Local Agency: County of Riverside
Assessor’s Book Page, and Parcel Number: ____________________________
Specify any list pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code: _______________________________
Regulatory Identification number: ________________________________
Date of list: _____________________________________________
Applicant: ________________________________ Date ________________

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Government Code Section 65850.2 requires the owner or authorized agent for any development project to disclose whether:

1. Compliance will be needed with the applicable requirements of Section 25505 and Article 2 (commencing with Section 25531) of Chapter 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code or the requirements for a permit for construction or modification from the air pollution control district or air quality management district exercising jurisdiction in the area governed by the County. Yes ☐ No ☑

2. The proposed project will have more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process or will contain a source or modified source of hazardous air emissions. Yes ☐ No ☑

I (we) certify that my (our) answers are true and correct.

Owner/Authorized Agent (1) __________________________ Date ___________

Owner/Authorized Agent (2) __________________________ Date ___________
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

This completed application form, together with all of the listed requirements provided on the Land Use and Development Application Filing Instructions Handout, are required in order to file an application with the County of Riverside Planning Department.
LAND USE and PERMIT APPLICATION PROCESSING AGREEMENT
Agreement for Payment of Costs of Application Processing

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT:

This agreement is by and between the County of Riverside, hereafter “County of Riverside”,
and Cross Development ___________ hereafter “Applicant” and Chang and Hae Yeong Lee = Property Owner.

Description of application/permit use:
Plot Plan/Parcel Merger application for the development of a 9,100 square foot retail store.

If your application is subject to Deposit-based Fee, the following applies

Section 1. Deposit-based Fees

Purpose: The Riverside County Board of Supervisors has adopted ordinances to collect “Deposit-based Fees” for the costs of reviewing certain applications for land use review and permits. The Applicant is required to deposit funds to initiate staff review of an application. The initial deposit may be supplemented by additional fees, based upon actual and projected labor costs for the permit. County departments draw against these deposited funds at the staff hourly rates adopted by the Board of Supervisors. The Applicant and Property Owner are responsible for any supplemental fees necessary to cover any costs which were not covered by the initial deposit.

Section 2. Applicant and Property Owner Responsibilities for Deposit-based Fee Applications

A. Applicant agrees to make an initial deposit in the amount as indicated by County ordinance, at the time this Agreement is signed and submitted with a complete application to the County of Riverside.

B. Within 15 days of the service by mail of the County of Riverside's written notice that the application permit deposit has been reduced to a balance of less than 20% of the initial deposit or that the deposit is otherwise insufficient to cover the expected costs to completion, the Applicant agrees to make an additional payment of an amount as determined by the County of Riverside to replenish the deposit. Please note that the processing of the application or permit may stop if the amount on deposit has been expended. The Applicant agrees to continue making such payments until the County of Riverside is reimbursed for all costs related to this application or permit. The County of Riverside is entitled to recover its costs, including attorney’s fees, in collecting unpaid accounts that would have been drawn on the deposit were it not depleted.

C. The Property Owner acknowledges that the Applicant is authorized to submit this agreement and related application(s) for land use review or permit on this property. The Property Owner also acknowledges that should the Applicant not reimburse the County of Riverside for all costs related to this application or permit, the Property Owner shall become immediately liable for these costs which shall be paid within 15 days of the service by mail of notice to said property Owner by the County.
D. This Agreement shall only be executed by an authorized representative of the Applicant and the Property Owner. The person(s) executing this Agreement represents that he/she has the express authority to enter into this agreement on behalf of the Applicant and/or Property Owner.

E. This Agreement is not assignable without written consent by the County of Riverside. The County of Riverside will not consent to assignment of this Agreement until all outstanding costs have been paid by Applicant.

F. Deposit statements, requests for deposits or refunds shall be directed to Applicant at the address identified in Section 4.

Section 3. To ensure quality service, Applicant is responsible to provide one-week written notice to the County of Riverside Transportation and Land Management Agency (TLMA) Permit Assistance Centers if any of the information below changes.

Section 4. Applicant and Owner Information

1. PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Assessors Parcel Number(s): 345-210-027 and 345-210-031

Property Location or Address:
Southeast corner of Highway 74 and Richard Street

2. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION:

Property Owner Name: Chang and Hae Yeong Lee

Firm Name: 

Address: 2501 Reata Place
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Phone No.: 909-260-4032

Email: changlee@gmail.com

3. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

Applicant Name: Joe Dell

Firm Name: Cross Development

Address (if different from property owner)
4336 Marsh Ridge Road
Carrolton, TX 75010

Phone No.: 903-771-9444

Email: joe@crossdevelopment.net

4. SIGNATURES:

Signature of Applicant: __________________________ Date: 1/23/17
Print Name and Title: Joe Dell, Development Manager

Signature of Property Owner: __________________________ Date: 1/19/2017
Print Name and Title: Chang Lee, Owner

Signature of the County of Riverside, by __________________________ Date: __________
Print Name and Title: __________________________

FOR COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE USE ONLY

Application or Permit #: __________________________
Set #: __________________________ Application Date: __________
INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

This INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT ("Agreement"), made by and between the COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a political subdivision of the State of California ("COUNTY"), and CD DG Lake Elsinore N, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company ("PROPERTY OWNER"), relating to the PROPERTY OWNER’S indemnification of the COUNTY under the terms set forth herein:

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the PROPERTY OWNER has a legal interest in the certain real property described as APN 345-210-027 and 345-210-031 ("PROPERTY"); and,

WHEREAS, PROPERTY is owned by Chang B. Lee and Hae-Yeong Lee, Trustees for the Chang B. Lee and Hae-Yeong Lee 1997 Trust and PROPERTY OWNER acknowledges the PROPERTY is currently in escrow and is willing to indemnify the COUNTY as set forth herein; and,

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2017, PROPERTY OWNER filed an application for Plot Plan No. 26246 ("PROJECT"); and,

WHEREAS, judicial challenges of projects requiring discretionary approvals, including, but not limited to, California Environmental Quality Act determinations, are costly and time consuming. Additionally, project opponents often seek an award of attorneys’ fees in such challenges; and,

WHEREAS, since property owners are the primary beneficiaries of such approvals, it is appropriate that such owners bear the expense of defending against any such judicial challenge, and bear the responsibility of any costs, attorneys’ fees and damages which may be awarded to a successful challenger; and,

WHEREAS, in the event a judicial challenge is commenced against the PROJECT, the COUNTY has requested and the PROPERTY OWNER has agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the COUNTY, its agents, officers, or employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the COUNTY, its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning the PROJECT or its associated environmental documentation ("LITIGATION"); and,

WHEREAS, this Agreement is entered into by the COUNTY and PROPERTY OWNER to establish specific terms concerning PROPERTY OWNER’S indemnification obligation for the PROJECT.
NOW, THEREFORE, it is mutually agreed between COUNTY and PROPERTY OWNER as follows:

1. **Indemnification.** PROPERTY OWNER, at its own expense, shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the COUNTY, its agents, officers, and employees from and against any claim, action or proceeding brought against the COUNTY, its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the PROJECT including any associated costs, damages, and expenses including, but not limited to, costs associated with Public Records Act requests submitted to the COUNTY related to the PROJECT and an award of attorneys’ fees and costs incurred or arising out of the above-referenced claim, action or proceeding brought against the COUNTY ("Indemnification Obligation.")

2. **Defense Cooperation.** PROPERTY OWNER and the COUNTY shall reasonably cooperate in all aspects of the LITIGATION. Nothing contained in this Agreement, however, shall be construed to limit the discretion of COUNTY, in the interest of the public welfare, to settle, defend, appeal or to decline to settle or to terminate or forego defense or appeal of the LITIGATION. It is also understood and agreed that all litigation pleadings are subject to review, revision and approval by COUNTY’s Office of County Counsel.

3. **Representation and Payment for Legal Services Rendered.** COUNTY shall have the absolute right to approve any and all counsel retained to defend COUNTY in the LITIGATION. PROPERTY OWNER shall pay the attorneys’ fees and costs of the legal firm retained by PROPERTY OWNER to represent the COUNTY in the LITIGATION. Failure by PROPERTY OWNER to pay such attorneys’ fees and costs may be treated as an abandonment of the PROJECT and as a default of PROPERTY OWNER’s obligations under this Agreement.

4. **Payment for COUNTY’s LITIGATION Costs.** Payment for COUNTY’s costs related to the LITIGATION shall be made on a deposit basis. LITIGATION costs include any associated costs, fees, damages, and expenses as further described in Section 1. herein as Indemnification Obligation. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from COUNTY that LITIGATION has been initiated against the PROJECT, PROPERTY OWNER shall initially deposit with the COUNTY’s Planning Department the total amount of Twenty Thousand Dollars ($20,000). PROPERTY OWNER shall deposit with COUNTY such additional amounts as COUNTY reasonably and in good faith determines, from time to time, are necessary to cover costs and expenses incurred by the COUNTY, including but not limited to, the Office of County Counsel, Riverside County Planning Department and the Riverside County Clerk of the Board associated with the LITIGATION. Within ten (10) days of written notice from COUNTY, PROPERTY OWNER shall make such additional deposits. Collectively, the initial deposit and additional deposits shall be referred to herein as the “Deposit.”
5. **Return of Deposit.** COUNTY shall return to PROPERTY OWNER any funds remaining on deposit after ninety (90) days have passed since final adjudication of the LITIGATION.

6. **Notices.** For all purposes herein, notices shall be effective when personally delivered, delivered by commercial overnight delivery service, or sent by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, to the appropriate address set forth below:

   COUNTY:
   
   Office of County Counsel
   Attn: Melissa Cushman
   3960 Orange Street, Suite 500
   Riverside, CA 92501

   PROPERTY OWNER:
   
   CD DG Lake Elsinore N, LLC
   Attn: Steve Rumsey
   4336 Marsh Ridge Road
   Carrolton, TX 75010

   With a copy to:
   Rubicon Design Group
   Attn: Michele Rambo
   1610 Montclair Ave., Ste. B
   Reno, NV 89509

7. **Default and Termination.** This Agreement is not subject to termination, except by mutual agreement or as otherwise provided herein. In the event of a default of PROPERTY OWNER’s obligations under this Agreement, COUNTY shall provide written notification to PROPERTY OWNER of such alleged default and PROPERTY OWNER shall have ten (10) days after receipt of written notification to cure any such alleged default. If PROPERTY OWNER fails to cure such alleged default within the specified time period or otherwise reach agreement with the COUNTY on a resolution of the alleged default, COUNTY may, in its sole discretion, do any of the following or combination thereof:
   
a. Deem PROPERTY OWNER’s default of PROPERTY OWNER’s obligations as abandonment of the PROJECT and as a breach of this Agreement;
   
b. Rescind any PROJECT approvals previously granted;
   
c. Settle the LITIGATION.

In the event of a default, PROPERTY OWNER shall remain responsible for any costs and attorney’s fees awarded by the Court or as a result of settlement and other expenses incurred by the COUNTY related to the LITIGATION or settlement.

8. **COUNTY Review of the PROJECT.** Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to limit, direct, impede or influence the COUNTY’s review and consideration of the PROJECT.
9. **Complete Agreement/Governing Law.** This Agreement represents the complete understanding between the parties with respect to matters set forth herein. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. **Successors and Assigns.** The obligations specific herein shall be made, and are binding on the successors in interest of the PROPERTY OWNER, whether the succession is by agreement, by operation of law or by any other means.

11. **Amendment and Waiver.** No modification, waiver, amendment or discharge of this Agreement shall be valid unless the same is in writing and signed by all parties.

12. **Severability.** If any term, provision, covenant or condition of this Agreement is held to be invalid, void or otherwise unenforceable, to any extent, by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby, and each term, provision, covenant or condition of this Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

13. **Survival of Indemnification.** The parties agree that this Agreement shall constitute a separate agreement from any PROJECT approval, and if the PROJECT, in part or in whole, is invalidated, rendered null or set aside by a court of competent jurisdiction, the parties agree to be bound by the terms of this Agreement, which shall survive such invalidation, nullification or setting aside.

14. **Interpretation.** The parties have been advised by their respective attorneys, or if not represented by an attorney, represent that they had an opportunity to be so represented in the review of this Agreement. Any rule of construction to the effect that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party shall not be applied in interpreting this Agreement.

15. **Captions and Headings.** The captions and section headings used in this Agreement are inserted for convenience of reference only and are not intended to define, limit or affect the construction or interpretation of any term or provision hereof.

16. **Jurisdiction and Venue.** Any action at law or in equity arising under this Agreement or brought by a party hereto for the purpose of enforcing, construing or determining the validity of any provision of this Agreement shall be filed in the Courts of Riverside County, State of California, and the parties hereto waive all provisions of law providing for the filing, removal or change of venue to any other court or jurisdiction.

17. **Counterparts; Facsimile & Electronic Execution.** This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an
original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same document. To facilitate execution of this Agreement, the parties may execute and exchange facsimile or electronic counterparts, and facsimile or electronic counterparts shall serve as originals.

18. **Joint and Several Liability.** In the event there is more than one PROPERTY OWNER, the liability of PROPERTY OWNER shall be joint and several, and PROPERTY OWNER each of them shall be jointly and severally liable for performance of all of the obligations of PROPERTY OWNER under this Agreement.

19. **Effective Date.** The effective date of this Agreement is the date the parties sign the Agreement. If the parties sign the Agreement on more than one date, then the last date the Agreement is signed by a party shall be the effective date.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF,** the parties hereto have duly caused this Agreement to be executed by their authorized representatives as of the date written.

COUNTY:
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
a political subdivision of the State of California

By: __________________________

Charissa Leach
Assistant TLMA Director – Community Development

Dated: 3/15/18

[Signatures continued on following page]
PROPERTY OWNER:
CD DG Lake Elsinore N, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company

By: Cross Development Single Box I, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company
   Its Member

By: Cross Development Management, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company
   Its Member

By: _________________
   Casey B. Shires
   Managing Member

By: _________________
   Steven J. Rusmey
   Managing Member
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
and  
INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

A PUBLIC HEARING has been scheduled, pursuant to Riverside County Land Use Ordinance No. 348, before the RIVERSIDE COUNTY DIRECTOR’S HEARING to consider the project shown below:

PLOT PLAN NO. 26246 — Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration — EA4320 — Applicant: Cross Development  
c/o Joe Dell — Engineer/Representative: Rubicon Design Group c/o Michele Rambo — First Supervisorial  
District — Meadowbrook Zoning Area — Elsinore Area Plan: Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD-  
CR) (0.20 – 0.35 FAR) – Location: Southerly of Richard Street, westerly of Robert Street, and easterly of  
Highway 74 – 2.60 acres – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) — REQUEST: Plot Plan No. 26246  
proposes a 7,225 sq. ft. retail store (Dollar General) on 2.60 acres. No alcohol sales. The project will include  
41 parking spaces (including 2 ADA), signage (including a stucco pylon sign), and bio-retention/infiltration  
basins.

TIME OF HEARING: 1:30 p.m. or as soon as possible thereafter  
DATE OF HEARING: MAY 21, 2018  
PLACE OF HEARING: RIVERSIDE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER  
1ST FLOOR, CONFERENCE ROOM 2A  
4080 LEMON STREET, RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

For further information regarding this project please contact Project Planner Tim Wheeler at (951) 955-0606  
or email at twheeler@rivco.org, or go to the County Planning Department’s Director’s Hearing agenda web  

The Riverside County Planning Department has determined that the above project will not have a significant  
effect on the environment and has recommended adoption of a negative declaration. The Planning Director  
will consider the proposed project and the proposed negative declaration, at the public hearing. The case file  
for the proposed project and the proposed negative declaration may be viewed Monday through Friday, 8:30  
a.m. to 5:00 p.m., at the County of Riverside Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor, Riverside,  
CA 92501. For further information or an appointment, contact the project planner.

Any person wishing to comment on a proposed project may do so, in writing, between the date of this notice  
and the public hearing or appear and be heard at the time and place noted above. All comments received  
prior to the public hearing will be submitted to the Planning Director, and the Planning Director will consider  
such comments, in addition to any oral testimony, before making a decision on the proposed project.

If you challenge this project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else  
raised at the public hearing, described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning  
Director at, or prior to, the public hearing. Be advised that, as a result of public hearings and comment, the  
Planning Director may amend, in whole or in part, the proposed project. Accordingly, the designations,  
development standards, design or improvements, or any properties or lands, within the boundaries of the  
proposed project, may be changed in a way other than specifically proposed.

Please send all written correspondence to:  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
Attn: Tim Wheeler  
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409
PROPERTY OWNERS CERTIFICATION FORM

I, ___________________ VINNIE NGUYEN ____________ certify that on ________ April 18, 2018 _________.

The attached property owners list was prepared by ___________________ Riverside County GIS ____________.

APN (s) or case numbers ___________________ PP26246 ___________________ for

Company or Individual’s Name ________________ RCIT - GIS ___________________.

Distance buffered ___________________ 1000’ ___________________

Pursuant to application requirements furnished by the Riverside County Planning Department. Said list is a complete and true compilation of the owners of the subject property and all other property owners within 600 feet of the property involved, or if that area yields less than 25 different owners, all property owners within a notification area expanded to yield a minimum of 25 different owners, to a maximum notification area of 2,400 feet from the project boundaries, based upon the latest equalized assessment rolls. If the project is a subdivision with identified off-site access/improvements, said list includes a complete and true compilation of the names and mailing addresses of the owners of all property that is adjacent to the proposed off-site improvement/alignment.

I further certify that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that incorrect or incomplete information may be grounds for rejection or denial of the application.

TITLE: ___________________ GIS Analyst ___________________

ADDRESS: ________________ 4080 Lemon Street 9TH Floor ___________________

__________________________ Riverside, Ca. 92502 ___________________

TELEPHONE NUMBER (8 a.m. – 5 p.m.): ___________ (951) 955-8158 ____________
TO: Office of Planning and Research (OPR)  
          P.O. Box 3044  
          Sacramento, CA 95812-3044  
          ❑ County of Riverside County Clerk

FROM: Riverside County Planning Department  
        4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor  
        P.O. Box 1409  
        Riverside, CA 92502-1409  
          ❑ 38688 El Cerrito Road  
          Palm Desert, California 92211

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the California Public Resources Code.

PP26246 / EA43020  
Project Title/Case Numbers

Tim Wheeler  
County Contact Person  
(951) 955-6060  
Phone Number

N/A  
State Clearinghouse Number (If submitted to the State Clearinghouse)

Cross Development, LLC  
4336 Marsh Ridge Rd., Carrollton TX, 75010  
Project Applicant  
Address

South of Richard Street, west of Robert Street, and east of Highway 74  
Project Location

Plot Plan No. 26246 proposes a 7,225 square feet retail store (Dollar General) on 2.80 acres. No alcohol sales. The project will include 41 parking spaces (including 2 ADA), signage (including a stucco pylon sign) and bio-retention/infiltration basins.

Project Description

This is to advise that the Riverside County Planning Director, as the lead agency, has approved the above-referenced project on May 21, 2018, and has made the following determinations regarding that project:

1. The project WILL NOT have a significant effect on the environment.
2. An Environmental Impact Report was not prepared for the project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act ($3,069.75+$50.00) and reflect the independent judgment of the Lead Agency.
3. Mitigation measures WERE NOT made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan/Program WAS NOT adopted.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations WAS NOT adopted
6. Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the earlier EA, with comments, responses, and record of project approval is available to the general public at: Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501.

________________________________________  
Signature  

________________________________________  
Project Planner  

________________________________________  
Date

Date Received for Filing and Posting at OPR: ____________________________

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA43020  ZCFG .6390

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE NUMBER</th>
<th>FEE NAME</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFG06390</td>
<td>0451 - CF&amp;G TRUST</td>
<td>$2,216.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0452 - CF&amp;G TRUST: RECORD FEES</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUB TOTAL</td>
<td>$2,266.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $2,266.25

For Questions Please Visit Us at the Following Locations:

Riverside Permit Assistance Center
4080 Lemon St., 9th Fl.
Riverside, CA 92501

Desert Permit Assistance Center
77588 El Duna Ct., Ste 14
Palm Desert, CA 92211
## INVOICE (INV-00044689)
FOR RIVERSIDE COUNTY

### BILLING CONTACT
Cross Development
4336 Marsh Ridge Rd
Carrollton, Tx 75010

### County of Riverside
Trans. & Land Management Agency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVOICE NUMBER</th>
<th>INVOICE DATE</th>
<th>INVOICE DUE DATE</th>
<th>INVOICE STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INV-00044689</td>
<td>04/24/2018</td>
<td>04/24/2018</td>
<td>Paid In Full</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE NUMBER</th>
<th>FEE NAME</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFG06390</td>
<td>0451 - CF&amp;W Trust ND/MND</td>
<td>$64.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SUB TOTAL $64.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please Remit Payment To:

County of Riverside
P.O. Box 1605
Riverside, CA 92502

Credit Card Payments By Phone:
760-863-8271

For Questions Please Visit Us at the Following Locations:

- Riverside Permit Assistance Center
  4080 Lemon St., 9th FL
  Riverside, CA 92501

- Desert Permit Assistance Center
  77588 El Duna Ct., Ste 14
  Palm Desert, CA 92211