AGENDA
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
DIRECTOR’S HEARING
4080 LEMON STREET
12TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM A
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92501

NOTE: Please be aware that the indicated staff recommendation shown below for each item may differ from that presented to the Planning Director during the public hearing.

If you wish to speak, please complete a “SPEAKER IDENTIFICATION FORM” and give it to the Planning Director. The purpose of the public hearing is to allow interested parties to express their concerns. Please do not repeat information already given. If you have no additional information, but wish to be on record, simply give your name and address and state that you agree with the previous speaker(s).

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if any accommodations are needed, please contact Desiree Bowie at (951) 955-0222 or E-mail at dbowie@rctlma.org. Request should be made at least 48 hours or as soon as possible prior to the scheduled meeting.

1.0 CONSENT CALENDAR:

1.1 NONE

2.0 ITEMS THAT STAFF RECOMMENDS BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION: 1:30 p.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

2.1 NONE

3.0 PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS THAT STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL UNDER ONE MOTION UNLESS THE PLANNING DIRECTOR OR MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC DESIRES TO DISCUSS THE MATTER: 1:30 p.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

3.1 NONE

4.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS: 1:30 p.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

4.1 TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 33081 – CEQA EXEMPT - Applicant: Paul Furman - Engineer/Representative: Paul Furman - First Supervisorial District – Cajalco Zoning District – Lake Matthews/Woodcrest Area Plan – Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Amalfi Drive, southerly of Cajalco Road, easterly of Lounsberry Road, and westerly of Athenon Avenue. – 15.0 gross acres – Zoning: Residential Agricultural - 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) - REQUEST: The Tentative Parcel Map proposes a Schedule “H” subdivision of 15.0 gross acres into four (4) residential parcels with a 2½ acre minimum lot size. - APN: 285-130-005. Project Planner, Jeff Horn, at 951-955-4641 or e-mail jhorn@rctlma.org. (Quasi-judicial)

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL

ACTION: A ___ C ___ D ___

4.2 PLOT PLAN NO. 23343 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Louidar, LLC –
4.3 PLOT PLAN NO. 17269, REVISED PERMIT NO. 1 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: James Carter – Engineer/Representative: Hunsaker & Associates - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Agriculture: Agriculture (AG: AG) (10 Acre Minimum) – Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area - Location: Southerly of Rancho California Road and easterly of Anza Road – 63.25 Gross Acres - Zoning: Citrus Vineyard (C-V) - REQUEST: The plot plan revised permit proposes a three-story, 36,020 square foot hotel with 50 rooms, a 3,200 square foot building to be used for additional meeting space, and a 1,820 square foot spa building. The project proposes 40 additional parking spaces and four (4) overflow parking areas with room for 167 cars. With the proposed parking additions, the total number of parking spaces on site will be 699. The number of attendees allowed at weddings is proposed to increase from 150 to 300. The number of stage productions or concert events is proposed to increase from 4 to 6 per year, with an increase in the maximum amount of people from 300 to 1,800. This project is an expansion to the existing South Coast Winery Resort & Spa. – APN: 927-480-010, 011, 012, 013, 014 and 942-230-004. Project Planner, Kinika Hesterly at 951-955-1888 or e-mail khesterl@rctlma.org. (Quasi-Judicial)  

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL 

ACTION: A ___ C___ D___
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT
STAFF REPORT

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:

Plot Plan No. 23343 proposes a 4,789 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of gift sale and office space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 29,562 square foot wine production area, and 545 parking spaces. The existing use, approved under PP03243, includes a winery salesroom and restroom buildings and will remain.

The project proposes 500 special events per year that will include weddings, meetings, winemakers’ dinners, wine club events, and private parties. The weddings are typically on weekends scheduled with a maximum anticipated attendance of 400 people. The other special events are scheduled with a maximum attendance of 400 people. When more than one event is scheduled on the same day, no more than 1,000 guests shall be on the site at the same time.

Proposed hours of operation are 10 a.m. to 10 p.m. daily for the special events, restaurant and retail uses and 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. daily for the tasting room.

The project site is located in the Community of Rancho California of the Southwest Area Plan of Western Riverside County; more specifically, northerly of Rancho California Road, easterly of La Serena Way and westerly of Calle Contento.

ISSUES OF CONCERN:

Agricultural Preserve - Unresolved
The subject property is located in an agricultural preserve. Therefore, portions of the project are subject to an agricultural preserve review process.

Retail Space - Unresolved
The project proposes 25,000 square feet of gift sale and office space. It is not clear whether this amount of gift sale space can be considered secondary to the agricultural operation proposed.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

1. Existing General Plan Land Use: Agriculture: Agriculture (AG; AG) (10 Acre Minimum)
2. Surrounding General Plan Land Use: Agriculture: Agriculture (AG; AG) (10 Acre Minimum)
3. Existing Zoning: Citrus Vineyard – 10 Acre Minimum (C/V-10)
4. Surrounding Zoning: Citrus Vineyard (C/V)
5. Existing Land Use: Mt. Palomar Winery and vineyards
6. Surrounding Land Use: Vacant land and rural residences to the north, Miramonte Winery to the west, rural residences to
7. Project Data:  
Total Acreage: 90.4 Gross Acres  
Total Planted Vineyard Acreage: 66.9 Acres  
Total Square Footage: 79,870  
Total Number of Wineries: 1  
Total Number of Rooms: 42 Casitas

8. Environmental Concerns  
See Attached Environmental Assessment

RECOMMENDATIONS:

CONTINUE PLOT PLAN NO. 23343, WITH DISCUSSION OFF-CALENDAR, to resolve issues of concern.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. The proposed project is in conformance with the Agriculture: Agriculture (10 Acre Minimum) Land Use Designation, and with all other elements of the Riverside County General Plan.

2. The proposed project is consistent with the Citrus Vineyard (C-V) zoning classification of Ordinance No. 348, and with all other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 348.

3. The public’s health, safety, and general welfare are protected through project design.

4. The proposed project is conditionally compatible with the present and future logical development of the area.

5. The proposed project will not preclude reserve design for the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

6. The project will not have a significant impact on the surrounding environment.

FINDINGS: The following findings are in addition to those incorporated in the summary of findings, and in the environmental assessment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

1. The project site is designated Agriculture: Agriculture (10 Acre Minimum) on the Southwest Area Plan.

2. The proposed use, a 4,789 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of retail space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 29,562 square foot wine production area, and 545 parking spaces, is permitted use in the Agriculture: Agriculture (10 Acre Minimum) designation.

3. The project site is surrounded by properties which are designated Agriculture: Agriculture (10 Acre Minimum).
4. The zoning for the subject site is Citrus Vineyard (C-V).

5. The proposed use, a 4,789 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of retail space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 29,562 square foot wine production area, and 545 parking spaces, is a permitted use, subject to approval of a plot plan in the Citrus Vineyard (C-V) zone.

6. The proposed use, a 4,789 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of retail space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 29,562 square foot wine production area, and 545 parking spaces, is consistent with the development standards set forth in the Citrus Vineyard (C-V) zone.

7. The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Citrus Vineyard (C-V).

8. Similar uses have been constructed and are operating in the project vicinity.

9. This project is not located within a Criteria Area of the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

10. The following areas of environmental impacts have been found to be less than significant with mitigation incorporated through those measures identified in Environmental Assessment No. 41914:
   a. Biological Resources
   b. Cultural Resources
   c. Noise
   d. Recreation
   e. Transportation/Traffic

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

1. As of this writing, no letters, in support or opposition have been received.

2. The project site is not located within:
   a. A city sphere of influence;
   b. A 100-year flood plain;
   c. A dam inundation area; or,
   d. A MSHCP Core Reserve Area.

3. The project site is located within:
   a. The boundaries of the Temecula Valley Unified School District;
   b. County Service Area No. 149;
   c. The Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley Area Drainage Plan (ADP);
   d. The Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area; and,
   e. The Stephens Kangaroo Rat Fee Area

4. The subject site is currently designated as Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 943-110-009, 943-120-014 and 943-120-023.
5. Plot Plan No. 23343 was filed with the Planning Department on 3/20/08.

6. Plot Plan No. 23343 was reviewed by the Land Development Committee three (3) times on the following dates 4/24/08, 12/11/08 and 6/24/10.

7. Deposit Based Fees charged for this project, as of the time of staff report preparation, total $18,291.96.
Selected parcel(s):
943-110-009  943-120-014  943-120-023

*IMPORTANT*
Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Thu Jul 08 15:07:04 2010
Version 100412
Selected parcel(s):
943-110-009  943-120-014  943-120-023

*IMPORTANT*
Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Thu Jul 08 15:04:59 2010
Version 100412
Selected parcel(s):
943-110-009  943-120-014  943-120-023

*IMPORTANT*
Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Thu Jul 08 16:05:47 2010
Version 100412
Selected parcel(s):
943-110-009 943-120-014 943-120-023

*IMPORTANT*
Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Thu Jul 08 15:06:30 2010
Version 100412

http://www3.tlma.co.riverside.ca.us/cw/rclis/NoSelectionPrint.htm
7/8/2010
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number: 41914
Project Case Type (s) and Number(s): Plot Plan No. 23343
Lead Agency Name: County of Riverside Planning Department
Address: 4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor, P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409
Contact Person: Kinika Hesterly, Project Planner
Telephone Number: (951) 955-1888
Applicant’s Name: Louidar, LLC/Louis Darwish
Applicant’s Address: 33820 Rancho California Rd, Temecula, CA 92591
Engineer’s Name: Hunsaker & Associates
Engineer’s Address: 2900 Adams St, Suite A-15, Riverside, CA 92504

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Project Description:

Plot Plan No. 23343 proposes a 4,789 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of retail space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 29,562 square foot wine production area, and 545 parking spaces. The existing use, approved under PP03243, includes a winery salesroom and restroom buildings and will remain.

The project proposes 500 special events per year that will include weddings, meetings, winemakers’ dinners, wine club events, and private parties. The weddings are typically on weekends scheduled with a maximum anticipated attendance of 400 people. The other special events are scheduled with a maximum attendance of 400 people. When more than one event is scheduled on the same day, no more than 1,000 guests shall be on the site at the same time.

Proposed hours of operation are 10 a.m. to 10 p.m. daily for the special events, restaurant and retail uses and 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. daily for the tasting room.

B. Type of Project: Site Specific ☑; Countywide ☐; Community ☐; Policy ☐.

C. Total Project Area: 90.4 Gross Acres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential Acres:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Lots:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Units:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Projected No. of Residents:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Acres:</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>Lots:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area:</td>
<td>79,870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Acres:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Lots:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard Acres:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Est. No. of Employees:</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Assessor’s Parcel No(s): 943-110-009, 943-120-014 and 943-120-023

E. Street References: The project site is located northerly of Rancho California Road, easterly of La Serena Way and westerly of Calle Contento.

F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description:
Section 27 and 34, Township 7 South, Range 2 West
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G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings: The project site currently contains an existing winery, tasting room and vineyards. Elevations range from 1,320 feet to 1,436 feet above sea level. Surrounding land uses include vacant land and rural residences to the east, Miramonte Winery to the west, Stuart Cellars Winery and vacant land to the south, and vacant land and rural residences to the north.

II. APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS

A. General Plan Elements/Policies:

1. Land Use: The project implements Policy LU 4.1, requiring new developments to be located and designed to visually enhance, not degrade the character of the surrounding area. The proposed project is consistent with the Agriculture: Agriculture (AG) (10 Acre Minimum) land use designation and the Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area and all other applicable land use policies within the General Plan.

2. Circulation: Adequate circulation facilities exist and will serve the proposed project upon approval of improvement plans. The proposed project meets with all applicable circulation policies of the General Plan.

3. Multipurpose Open Space: No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of this project. The project is not within an MSHCP Criteria Area or cell. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Multipurpose Open Space element policies.

4. Safety: The proposed project is not located within any special hazard zone (including FEMA flood zone, fault zone, high fire hazard area, dam inundation zone, area with high liquefaction potential, etc.). The proposed project has allowed for sufficient provision of emergency response services to the future residents of this project through the project design and payment of development impact fees. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Safety element policies.

5. Noise: Sufficient mitigation against any foreseeable noise sources in the area has been provided for in the design of the project. The proposed project meets all other applicable Noise element policies.

6. Housing: The project does not impact housing.

7. Air Quality: The proposed project has been conditioned to control any fugitive dust during grading and construction activities. The proposed project meets all other applicable Air Quality Element policies.

B. General Plan Area Plan(s): Southwest Area Plan

C. Foundation Component(s): Agriculture

D. Land Use Designation(s): Agriculture (AG) (≥ 10 Acre Minimum)

E. Overlay(s), if any: N/A

F. Policy Area(s), if any: Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area
G. Adjacent and Surrounding:

1. Area Plan(s): Southwest Area Plan
2. Foundation Component(s): Agriculture
3. Land Use Designation(s): Agriculture (AG) (10 Acre Minimum)
4. Overlay(s): N/A
5. Policy Area(s), if any: Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area

H. Adopted Specific Plan Information

1. Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any: N/A
2. Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any: N/A

I. Existing Zoning: Citrus Vineyard – 10 Acre Minimum (C/V-10)

J. Proposed Zoning, if any: N/A

K. Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning: Citrus Vineyard -10 Acre Minimum (C/V-10) to the east, Citrus Vineyard (C/V) to the north and south, and Citrus Vineyard-5 Acre Minimum (C/V-5) to the west.

III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below (x) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a “Potentially Significant Impact” or “Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated” as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- Aesthetics
- Agriculture & Forest Resources
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology / Soils
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Hazards & Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology/Water Quality
- Land Use / Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population / Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation / Traffic
- Utilities / Service Systems
- Other:
- Other:
- Mandatory Findings of Significance

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS NOT PREPARED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] I find that the proposed project <strong>COULD NOT</strong> have a significant effect on the environment, and a <strong>NEGATIVE DECLARATION</strong> will be prepared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] I find that although the proposed project <strong>COULD</strong> have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project, described in this document, have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. <strong>A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION</strong> will be prepared.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED because (a) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the proposed project will not result in any new significant environmental effects not identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantially increase the severity of the environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (e) no considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation measures found infeasible have become feasible.

☐ I find that although all potentially significant effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative Declaration has been prepared and will be considered by the approving body or bodies.

☐ I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; therefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only contain the information necessary to make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.

☐ I find that at least one of the following conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162, exist and a SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required: (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following:(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or,(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.

Signature

Klinka Hesterly, Project Planner

Printed Name

July 7, 2010

Date

For Ron Goldman, Planning Director
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V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### AESTHETICS Would the project

1. **Scenic Resources**
   a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located?
   - ☐
   - ☐
   - ☑
   - ☒
   b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?
   - ☐
   - ☐
   - ☑
   - ☒

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure C-9 "Scenic Highways"

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site is located northerly of Rancho California Road, easterly of La Serena Way and westerly of Calle Contento. The General Plan indicates that the project is not located within a designated scenic corridor.

b) The project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features, or obstruct a prominent scenic vista or view open to the public, as these features do not exist on the project site. Additionally, the project will not result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view. The design of the proposed winery will be compatible with the existing environmental and surrounding setting, and will, therefore, have a less than significant impact on scenic resources. The project is in compliance with the Citrus Vineyard Design Standards and Guidelines and therefore will not create an aesthetically offensive project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

2. **Mt. Palomar Observatory**
   a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County Ordinance No. 655?
   - ☐
   - ☐
   - ☑
   - ☒
Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)

Findings of Fact:

a) According to the General Plan, the project site is located 16.64 miles away from the Mt. Palomar Observatory; which is within the designated 45-mile (ZONE B) Special Lighting Area that surrounds the Mt. Palomar Observatory. Ordinance No. 655 contains approved materials and methods of installation, definition, general requirements, requirements for lamp source and shielding, prohibition and exceptions. With incorporation of project lighting requirements of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 into the proposed project, this impact will be reduced to a less than significant impact. All proposed outdoor lighting shall comply with Ordinance No. 655, which includes the use of low pressure sodium vapor lighting or overhead high pressure sodium vapor lighting with shields or luminaries. (COA 10.PLANNING.30) This is a standard Condition of Approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

3. Other Lighting Issues

a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels?

Source: Project Application Description

Findings of Fact:

a) & b) The proposed project will introduce sources of light which includes exterior building illumination, indoor winery and lodge room lighting, and parking lot lighting. During the day, lighting has a limited potential to impacts views; potential impacts from glare would be the primarily occur from reflective building surfaces. However, the proposed project would not include large, uninterrupted expanses of glass and/or any other highly reflective material. Therefore, the proposed project will not result in substantial glares which would adversely affect the daytime views in the area.

Nighttime views could be impacted from new light and glare. In order to avoid potential impacts related to new sources of light, the project has been conditioned to hood and direct any new sources of light away from neighboring properties so as not to shine directly upon adjoining properties or public right-of-ways. (COA 10.PLANNING.3) This is a standard Condition of Approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA. The project will be required to comply with County Ordinance 655, which restricts lighting hours, types, and techniques of lighting. Ordinance 655 requires the use of low pressure sodium fixtures and requires hooded fixtures to prevent spillover light or glare. As a result, compliance with Ordinance 655 will reduce the potential impact to the surrounding residences to less than significant. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**AGRICULTURE RESOURCES** Would the project

4. **Agriculture**
   a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

   b) Conflict with existing agricultural use, or a Williamson Act (agricultural preserve) contract (Riv. Co. Agricultural Land Conservation Contract Maps)?

   c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 “Right-to-Farm”)?

   d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-2 “Agricultural Resources,” GIS database, and Project Application Materials.

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project is located within the boundaries of land designated as Unique Farmland (designated farmland) - as designated by the most recent version of the Important Farmland Map (as prepared by the California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program). However, the proposed project would maintain a primarily agricultural use with just over seventy-five (75) percent of the net project area planted in vineyards. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

b) The project site is located within an agricultural preserve but will not conflict with a Williamson Act contract because uses are incidental to the agricultural operation of the winery. The project will not conflict with other agricultural uses within the project vicinity. The proposed project would maintain a primarily agricultural use with just over seventy-five (75) percent of the net project area planted in vineyards. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project is located within 300 feet of existing agriculturally zoned property; however the proposed use is an agricultural use; therefore the proposed project will not cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of existing agriculturally zoned property. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) The project will not involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, would result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.
**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Forest</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g))?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-3 “Parks, Forests and Recreation Areas,” and Project Application Materials.

**Findings of Fact:**

a-b) The project site and surrounding area have agricultural vegetation. Therefore the project will not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g)). The project would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use.

c) The land uses surrounding the project site do not include active forest land and are primarily residential, agricultural or developed land. Therefore, the project will not result in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation is required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring is required.

**AIR QUALITY** Would the project

6. **Air Quality Impacts**

   a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | ☐                             | ☐                                               | ☑               | ☐         |

   b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? | ☐                             | ☐                                               | ☑               | ☐         |

   c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? | ☐                             | ☐                                               | ☑               | ☐         |

   d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within 1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source

---
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e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter?

f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook Table 6-2

Findings of Fact: Appendix G of the current State CEQA Guidelines indicates that a project will significantly impact air quality if the project violates any ambient air quality standard, contributes substantially to an existing air quality violation, or exposes sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

a) The project site is located in the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB). The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Governing Board adopted its most recent Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the SCAB on August 1, 2003. The AQMP is a plan for the regional improvement of air quality. As part of adoption of the County’s General Plan in 2003, the General Plan EIR (SCH No. 2002051143) analyzed the General Plan growth projections for consistency with the AQMP and concluded that the General Plan is consistent with the SCAQMD’s AQMP. The project is consistent with the County General Plan and would therefore be consistent with the SCAQMD’s AQMP.

b) & c) The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is in a non-attainment status for federal ozone standards, federal carbon monoxide standards, and state and federal particulate matter standards. Any development in the SCAB, including the proposed Project, would cumulatively contribute to these pollutant violations.

The project is consistent with the General Plan and the Southwest Area Plan land use designations. The General Plan (2003) is a policy document that reflects the County’s vision for the future of Riverside County. The General Plan is organized into eight separate elements, including an Air Quality Element. The purpose of the Air Quality Element is to protect County residents from the harmful effects of poor air quality. The Air Quality Element identifies goals, policies, and programs that are meant to balance actions regarding land use, circulation, and other issues with their potential effects on air quality. The Air Quality Element, in conjunction with local and regional air quality planning efforts, addresses ambient air quality standards set forth by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed Project would not exceed emissions projected by the Air Quality Element. The County is charged with implementing the policies in the General Plan Air Quality Element, which are focused on reducing concentrations of criteria pollutants, reducing negative impacts to sensitive receptors, reducing mobile and stationary pollutant sources, increasing energy conservation and efficiency, improving the jobs to housing balance, and facilitating multi-jurisdictional coordination for the improvement of air quality.

Implementation of the project would not impact air quality beyond the levels documented in EIR No. 441 prepared for the General Plan. The project would impact air quality in the short-term during construction and in the long-term through operation. Construction activities associated with the Project would result in emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic gases (VOC), nitrogen dioxide (NOX), particulate sulfate (SOX) and particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5). Construction emissions are expected from the use of construction equipment (including heavy diesel trucks) and fugitive dust.
(associated with site preparation and equipment travel on paved and unpaved roads). Construction emissions would occur in close proximity to the disturbance area, but some spillover into the surrounding community may occur. In accordance with standard county requirements, dust control measures and maintenance of construction equipment shall be utilized on the property to limit the amount of particulate matter generated. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

The proposed project would primarily impact air quality through increased automotive emissions. Single projects typically do not generate enough traffic and associated air pollutants to violate clean air standards or contribute enough air pollutants to be considered a cumulatively considerable significant impact. Operational impacts associated with the project would be expected to result in emissions of VOC, NOX, CO, PM10, PM2.5 and SOX. Operational emissions would result from vehicle emissions, fugitive dust associated with vehicle travel, combustion emissions associated with natural gas use, emission related to electricity generation, and landscape equipment maintenance emissions. In the long term, emissions of VOC, NOX, CO, PM10 and PM2.5 and could exceed SCAQMD significance thresholds (in pounds per day). With compliance with standard requirements for use of low VOC paints and compliance with California Energy Commission Title 24 requirements for building energy efficiency, direct and cumulative air quality impacts would be reduced to a level below significance. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is particularly susceptible to health effects due to exposure to an air contaminant than is the population at large. Sensitive receptors (and the facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors are of particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with major traffic sources, such as freeways and major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normally associated with manufacturing and commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive receptors include long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. Surrounding land uses include residential, which is considered a sensitive receptor, however, a winery is not considered a substantial point source emitter or a sensitive receptor.

e) Surrounding land uses do not include significant localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants, or odors. A winery is not considered a substantial point source emitter or a sensitive receptor. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

f) The project will not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project
7. Wildlife & Vegetation
   a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)?

c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?


Findings of Fact:

a) The project site does not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan. The project required a general biological resource assessment and a MSHCP compliance report. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

b-c) A potential to find burrowing owl and fairy shrimp was identified on the project site. Therefore, there the project was conditioned for a qualified biologist to survey the area for nesting bird and fairy shrimp avoidance prior to grading permit issuance (60.EPD.1 and 60.EPD.3). The impact is considered less than significant with mitigation.

d) The project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.
The project site does not support drainage features or riparian habitat(s) that fall under jurisdiction of local, regional, state, or federal resources agencies or those defined as riparian/riverine by Section 6.1.2 of the Riverside County MSHCP.

g) The project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.

Mitigation: Prior to grading permit issuance, the applicant shall retain a qualified biologist to monitor site grading/earthmoving activities (COA 60.EPD.1 and 60.EPD.3).

Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur by the Environmental Programs Department through the Building and Safety Plan Check Process.

CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project

8. Historic Resources
   a) Alter or destroy an historic site?

   b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Existing buildings will remain on the project site. The project will not alter or destroy a historic site. Therefore, there is no impact.

b) The proposed project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

9. Archaeological Resources
   a) Alter or destroy an archaeological site.

   b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?

   c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

   d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?

Source: Project Application Materials, County Archaeologist

Findings of Fact:
a) & b) The project is not anticipated to alter or destroy an archaeological site or cause substantial adverse change in an archaeological resource. The site contains an existing winery, tasting room, vineyards and parking area. The project has been conditioned for standard mitigation if an inadvertent archaeological find occurs (COA 10. PLANNING. 44). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project may disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries. If human remains are encountered, no further disturbance shall occur until the Riverside County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to the origin (COA 10.PLANNING.43). This is a standard condition and not considered unique mitigation for CEQA purposes.

d) The proposed project will not restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

### 10. Paleontological Resources

- a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource, or site, or unique geologic feature?

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 “Paleontological Sensitivity”

**Findings of Fact:**

a) This site is mapped in the County's General Plan as having a high potential for paleontological resources (fossils). Proposed project site grading/earthmoving activities could potentially impact this resource. Therefore, the project has been conditioned prior to grading permit issuance to do the following: 1. The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside to create and implement a project-specific plan for monitoring site grading/earthmoving activities (project paleontologist). 2. The project paleontologist retained shall review the approved development plan and shall conduct any pre-construction work necessary to render appropriate monitoring and mitigation requirements as appropriate. These requirements shall be documented by the project paleontologist in a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). This PRIMP shall be submitted to the County Geologist for review and approval prior to issuance of a Grading Permit. If the project paleontologist finds fossil remains, earthmoving activities will be diverted temporarily around the fossil site until the remains have been evaluated and recovered. Earthmoving will be allowed to proceed through the site when the project paleontologist determines the fossils have been recovered and/or the site mitigated to the extent necessary (COA 60. PLANNING. 20).

**Mitigation:** Prior to grading permit issuance, the applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside to create and implement a project-specific plan for monitoring site grading/earthmoving activities (project paleontologist) (COA 60. PLANNING. 20).
Monitoring: Monitoring shall be conducted by the Planning Department through the Building and Safety permit process.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS  Would the project

11. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone or County Fault Hazard Zones
   a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?
      □         □         □         □
   b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?
      □         □         □         □

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 "Earthquake Fault Study Zones," GIS database, Geologist Comments, GEO No. 2038

Findings of Fact:

a) According to County Geological Report No. 2038, the potential for ground surface rupture on the project site is very low. No active faults are known or observed on-site or trending to the project site. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes. The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death.

b) According to County Geological Report No. 2038, there is no evidence for any active faults crossing or trending toward the project site; therefore the potential for the site to be affected by surface fault rupture is considered very low.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

12. Liquefaction Potential Zone
   a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?
      □         □         □         □

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Generalized Liquefaction"

Findings of Fact:

a) According to the General Plan, the project site is located within an area subject to low liquefaction; therefore impacts are considered less than significant:

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.
13. **Ground-shaking Zone**

Be subject to strong seismic ground shaking?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-4 “Earthquake-Induced Slope Instability Map,” and Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk)

**Findings of Fact:**

a) There are no known active or potentially active faults that traverse the site and the site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. The principal seismic hazard that could affect the site is ground shaking resulting from an earthquake occurring along several major active or potentially active faults in southern California. California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

14. **Landslide Risk**

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?

**Source:** County Geologist, GEO Report No. 2038

**Findings of Fact:**

a) According to the County Geologist, the potential for landsliding or rockfall is considered negligible. There will be a less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

15. **Ground Subsidence**

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?

**Source:** General Plan Fig. S-7 “Documented Subsidence Areas”, RCLIS, GEO Report No. 2038

**Findings of Fact:**
a) The project site is located in an area susceptible to subsidence, but not located near any documented areas of subsidence. The Geology report recommended the upper 2 feet of pauba formation materials to be removed to expose competent ground material. Shallow alluvial deposits will be subject to removal and recompaction. California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

16. Other Geologic Hazards
   a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located near any large bodies of water or in a known volcanic area; therefore, the project site is not subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

17. Slopes
   a) Change topography or ground surface relief features?
   b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet?
   c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems?

Source: General Plan figure S-5 “Regions Underlain by Steep Slopes”, Building and Safety – Grading Review

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The existing winery and tasting room will remain; however, the project proposes additional buildings and parking which will change the topography. The project will not create or fill slopes greater than 2:1. The project may create slopes greater than ten feet: in order to minimize the impact, the project has been conditioned to grade so that the slopes reflect the natural terrain. Standard grading conditions have been applied by the Building and Safety Grading Division and these are not unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

c) The project will not result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems.
### 18. Soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- **Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.
- **Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

- **a)** Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?
  - [ ]

- **b)** Be located on expansive soil, as defined in SECTION 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?
  - [ ]

**Source:** General Plan figure S-6 “Engineering Geologic Materials Map”, Flood Control review, Building and Safety Grading review, application materials

**Findings of Fact:**

- a) The development of the project site may have the potential to result in soil erosion during grading and construction. Standard conditions of approval have been issued regarding soil erosion that will further ensure protection of public health, safety, and welfare upon final engineering of the project and are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

- b) The project may be located on expansive soil; however, California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to commercial development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

- **Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.
- **Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

### 19. Erosion

- **a)** Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?
  - [ ]

- **b)** Result in any increase in water erosion either on or off site?
  - [ ]

**Source:** Flood Control District review, Project Materials

**Findings of Fact:**

- a) Implementation of the proposed project will involve grading and various construction activities. Standard construction procedures, and federal, state and local regulations implemented in conjunction with the site’s storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) and its Best Management Practices (BMPs) required under the National Pollution Discharge System (NPDES) general construction permit, will minimize potential for erosion during construction. These practices will keep substantial...
amounts of soil material from eroding from the project site and prevent deposition within receiving waters located downstream. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

b) The potential for on-site erosion will increase due to grading and excavating activities during the construction phase. However, BMPs will be implemented for maintaining water quality and reducing erosion. In addition, Riverside County Flood Control has provided standard conditions of approval to ensure erosion impacts are mitigated to less than significant levels upon final engineering and are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

20. **Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.**
   - a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 “Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map,” Ord. 460, Sec. 14.2 & Ord. 484

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project site lies within a moderate area of wind erosion. The project will decrease the amount of exposed dirt, which is subject to wind erosion, with the incorporation of concrete, asphalt, and landscaping. No changes will be made on adjacent properties that would increase wind erosion offsite that would impact this project. Current levels of wind erosion on adjacent properties that would impact this site are considered less than significant. A condition has been placed on the project to control dust created during grading activities (COA 10 BS GRADE.5). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS** Would the project

   - a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
   - b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

**Source:** SQAMD, "Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold" (Oct. 2008)
Findings of Fact:

a) Greenhouse gas emissions, from fermentation and net impact of grape cultivation, are negligible. The impact is considered less than significant.

b) As an extension of the anticipated existing development patterns, the proposed winery expansion will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The impact is less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation is required.

Monitoring: No monitoring is required.

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project
22. Hazards and Hazardous Materials
   a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?
   b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
   c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?
   d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
   e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is for a winery, tasting room and special event facility. Typically, this type of development does not require the routine use of acutely hazardous materials and will not generate hazardous waste. However, the facility will require a business emergency plan for the storage of hazardous materials greater than 55 gallons, 200 cubic feet or 500 pounds, or any acutely hazardous materials or extremely hazardous substances (COA 90. E Health. 1). The project has been conditioned that if further review of the site indicates additional environmental health issues, the Hazardous Materials Management Division reserves the right to regulate the business in accordance with applicable Ordinances (COA 90. E Health. 2). These are standard conditions of approval and are
not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

In addition, during construction, hazardous materials such oil, diesel fuel, and gasoline may be transported to and used at the project site. The California State Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) operates programs for proper hazardous waste disposal and transport and takes enforcement actions against those who mishandle or dispose of hazardous wastes improperly. The Riverside County Department of Environmental Health, also requires licensed hazardous waste haulers to collect and transport hazardous wastes. Compliance with the requirements of the California State Department of Toxic Substances Control and the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health would reduce the impact to less than significant levels. Compliance with the requirements of the California DTSC and Riverside County of Environmental Health is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

b) The proposed project is not anticipated to result in a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. The project has been conditioned by Environmental Health to have a business emergency plan for the storage of hazardous materials greater than 55 gallons, 200 cubic feet, or 500 pounds, or for any acutely hazardous materials or extremely hazardous substances (COA 90. E Health. 1). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

(c) The Riverside County General Plan includes a Standardized Emergency Management System Multi-Hazard Functional Plan that establishes the responsibilities of the various County agencies in times of a disaster. As the proposed project would not prohibit any of the Plan's policies from being enacted in the event of an emergency, the project will not interfere with the establishment and maintenance of this plan. Therefore, implementation of the proposed project is not expected to hamper or create any significant impact on the ability of the County to implement disaster plans in the event of an emergency. Impacts are considered less than significant.

(d) No portions of the proposed project are within a quarter-mile of a school site nor will the project emit hazardous emissions or handle acutely hazardous materials. No Impacts are anticipated.

(e) The project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.
## Potential Significant Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?  

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 “Airport Locations,” GIS database

### Findings of Fact:

(a) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any public or private airport; therefore, the project will not result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan.

(b) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any public or private airport; therefore will not require review by the Airport Land Use Commission.

(c) The project is not located within an airport land use plan and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

(d) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

### Mitigation:

No mitigation measures are required.

### Monitoring:

No monitoring measures are required.

---

## Hazardous Fire Area

a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 “Wildfire Susceptibility,” GIS database

### Findings of Fact:

a) According to the General Plan, the proposed project site is not located within a hazardous fire area. The proposed project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wild lands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wild lands.

### Mitigation:

No mitigation measures are required.

### Monitoring:

No monitoring measures are required.
25. **Water Quality Impacts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors and odors)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition.

**Findings of Fact:**

a-b) The site consists of well-defined ridges and natural watercourses that provide natural drainage. In order to avoid adverse impacts to water quality, the development proposes four (4) detention basins, grass swales with infiltration trenches below and porous pavement. This form of water quality treatment will not alter the drainage courses on-site or violate any waste discharge requirements. The project proposes infiltration trenches and grassy swales throughout the site to mitigate for the site's water quality. Conceptually, this is acceptable to Riverside County Flood Control District, and standard conditions of approval have been applied to the project to ensure final approval by the Riverside County Flood Control District (COA 10.FLOOD RI.1). These conditions are not considered unique mitigation for the purposes of CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project will not deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted). Therefore, there is no impact.
d) The project specific WQMP is required to be submitted to the Flood Control District for review and approval to ensure the project will not create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff (10.FLOOD RI 10, 10.FLOOD RI.11). These are standard conditions applied to development projects and are not considered unique mitigation for the purposes of CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

e) The project site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area. There is no housing proposed. Therefore, the proposed project shall not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area. Therefore, there is no impact.

f) The project site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area. Therefore, the proposed project shall not place structures within a 100-year flood hazard area. Therefore, there is no impact.

g) The proposed project is not anticipated to otherwise substantially degrade water quality. To avoid the substantial degradation of water quality, the project has been conditioned prior to the issuance of any grading or construction permits, to comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, by developing and implementing a storm water pollution prevention plan, as well as a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. The project has also been conditioned to submit a Final Water Quality Management Plan prior to grading permit issuance for review and approval (60.FLOOD RI.9). The WQMP addresses post-development water quality impacts from new development and re-development projects. These are standard conditions of approval and are not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

h) The proposed project does not include the construction of new or retrofitted stormwater treatment control that will result in significant environmental impacts. The project proposes Best Management Practices (BMPs) through detention basins, grassy swales with infiltration trenches and porous pavement through. The impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

26. Floodplains

Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated below, the appropriate Degree of Suitability has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable □ U - Generally Unsuitable □ R - Restricted □

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?

b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff?

c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation
Area)?

   d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?

      | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
      |-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
      |                               |                                               |                             |           |

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 “100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones,” Figure S-10 “Dam Failure Inundation Zone,” Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The site consists of well-defined ridges and natural watercourses that provide natural drainage. However, in order to avoid adverse impacts to water quality, the development proposes four (4) detention basins, grass swales with infiltration trenches below and porous pavement. This form of water quality treatment will not alter the drainage courses on-site or violate any waste discharge requirements. The project proposes infiltration trenches and grassy swales throughout the site to mitigate for the site’s water quality and assist with absorption rates and surface runoff. Conceptually, this is acceptable to Riverside County Flood Control District, and standard conditions of approval have been applied to the project to ensure final approval by the Riverside County Flood Control District (COA 10.FLOOD RI.1). These conditions are not considered unique mitigation for the purposes of CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam.

d) The project will not cause changes in the amount of surface water in any water body. There will be no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

LAND USE/PLANNING Would the project

27. Land Use
   a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?
   b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?

      | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
      |-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
      |                               |                                               |                             |           |

Source: General Plan, GIS database, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is located on a 90.4 acre site in the County of Riverside’s Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area, which was established to ensure the continuation of the rural lifestyle and wine production in southwestern Riverside County. The project is located within the Agriculture (AG) (10 Acre Minimum) land use designation, which includes uses such as row crops, nurseries, dairies, ranches, poultry, and other agricultural related uses. The project is zoned Citrus Vineyard-10 Acre Minimum (CV-10), which allows limited incidental commercial uses such as wine sales, sampling
rooms, restaurants, delicatessens, bed and breakfast inns, hotels and hotels when they are secondary and directly related to the agricultural operation.

The proposed project would include a tasting room and special occasion facility, which is consistent with the existing land use designation and zoning classification. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

(b) The project site is not located within a city sphere of influence.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

### 28. Planning

- a) Be consistent with the site’s existing or proposed zoning?
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated
  - [x] Less Than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

- b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning?
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated
  - [x] Less Than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

- c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses?
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated
  - [x] Less Than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

- d) Be consistent with the land use designations and policies of the Comprehensive General Plan (including those of any applicable Specific Plan)?
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated
  - [x] Less Than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

- e) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)?
  - [x] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated
  - [ ] Less Than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Land Use Element, Staff review, GIS database

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project is zoned Citrus Vineyard-10 Acre Minimum (C/V-10), which allows limited incidental commercial uses such as wine sales, sampling rooms, restaurants, delicatessens, bed and breakfast inns, hotels and gift sales when they are secondary and directly related to the agricultural operation. The gift sales and office buildings will be 25,000 square feet; however, the project proposes gift sales related to the winery operation per the requirement in the C/V zone that these uses be secondary to the agricultural operation. The proposed project would include the construction of a tasting room and special occasion facility which is permitted within the C/V zone. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

b) The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Citrus Vineyard (C/V). Although the surrounding properties to the east and north are similarly zoned, these properties are currently occupied by single family homes. The project will be compatible with the surrounding properties containing residential uses through the restriction of hours of operation and by restricting the decibel level of amplified music that will be played outdoors. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) Surrounding land uses include Miramonte Winery to the west, vacant land and rural residences to the east, Stuart Cellars Winery and vacant land to the south, and vacant land and rural residences to
the north. The proposed project has the potential to conflict with the existing residences to the north and east. The project will be compatible with the surrounding properties containing residential uses through the restriction of hours of operation, number of special events and attendees and by applying standard noise conditions to address amplified music played outdoors. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) The project site is located on a 90.4 acre site in the County of Riverside’s Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area, which was established to ensure the continuation of the rural lifestyle and wine production in southwestern Riverside County. The project is located within the Agriculture (AG) (10 Acre Minimum) land use designation, which includes uses such as row crops, nurseries, dairies, ranches, poultry, and other agricultural related uses. The project is zoned Citrus Vineyard-10 Acre Minimum (C/V-10), which allows limited incidental commercial uses such as wine sales, sampling rooms, restaurants, delicatessens, bed and breakfast inns, hotels and hotels when they are secondary and directly related to the agricultural operation.

The proposed project would include a tasting room and special occasion facility, which is consistent with the existing land use designation and zoning classification. The project is consistent with the Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area policies and design guidelines and all other applicable policies of the Southwest Area Plan. The project is not located within a Specific Plan. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

(e) The proposed project will not disrupt or divide any existing community. There will be no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**MINERAL RESOURCES** Would the project

29. Mineral Resources

   a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State? [ ]

   b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? [ ]

   c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine? [ ]

   d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines? [ ]

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-5 “Mineral Resources Area”

Findings of Fact:
a) The project site is within MRZ-3, which is defined as areas where the available geologic information indicates that mineral deposits are likely to exist; however, the significance of the deposit is undetermined.

The General Plan identifies policies that encourage protections for existing mining operations and for appropriate management of mineral extraction. A significant impact that would constitute a loss of availability of a known mineral resource would include unmanaged extraction or encroach on existing extraction. No existing or abandoned quarries or mines exist in the area surrounding the project site. The project does not propose any mineral extraction on the project site. Any mineral resources on the project site will be unavailable for the life of the project; however, the project will not result in the permanent loss of significant mineral resources.

b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State. The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.

c) The project will not be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine.

d) The project will not expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**NOISE** Would the project result in

**Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings**

Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability Rating(s) has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable    A - Generally Acceptable    B - Conditionally Acceptable
C - Generally Unacceptable    D - Land Use Discouraged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>30. Airport Noise</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 “Airport Locations,” County of Riverside Airport Facilities Map

Findings of Fact:
a) The project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels.

b) The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

31. Railroad Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 “Circulation Plan”, GIS database

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located adjacent to a rail line. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

32. Highway Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located adjacent to or near any highways. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

33. Noise Effects on or by the Project

a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Operation of the proposed winery would result in an increase in ambient noise levels as the result of increased entertainment and special event venues. The project proposes to host approximately 100 special events that could include large gatherings of people and/or amplified music. The impact of these events would depend on the number of attendees in a given crowd, the number of amplified sound equipment and the time of occurrence of such an event. Ordinance No. 847 prohibits sounds in excess of land use specific standards. For agriculture land uses, the maximum sound level is 45 Db \( L_{\text{max}} \). Exceptions to this standard are available for construction, single events, or continuous events; single event exceptions require approval of the Planning Director and continuous event exceptions require approval from the Planning Commission. Additionally, if a significant amount of excessive noise complaints have been received, one year after issuance of occupancy, the Director may reconsider the hours of operation. Furthermore, if a significant amount of complaints have been received, the project will be required to conduct noise monitoring reports. With implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, the project will have a less than significant impact.

b) This project will cause a temporary increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project during construction of the road improvements and additions to the building and/or parking. To minimize ambient noise levels upon sensitive receptors during construction of the proposed project, grading and construction shall be restricted to daylight hours. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) Operation of the proposed winery would result in an increase in ambient noise levels as the result of increased entertainment and special event venues. The project proposes to host approximately 100 special events that could include large gatherings of people and/or amplified music. The impact of these events would depend on the number of attendees in a given crowd, the number of amplified sound equipment and the time of occurrence of such an event. Ordinance No. 847 prohibits sounds in excess of land use specific standards. For agriculture land uses, the maximum sound level is 45 Db \( L_{\text{max}} \). Exceptions to this standard are available for construction, single events, or continuous events; single event exceptions require approval of the Planning Director and continuous event exceptions require approval from the Planning Commission. Additionally, if a significant amount of excessive noise complaints have been received, one year after issuance of occupancy, the Director may reconsider the hours of operation. Furthermore, if a significant amount of complaints have been received, the project will be required to conduct noise monitoring reports. With implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, the project will have a less than significant impact.

d) During the operational phase, the proposed project will not generate excessive groundborne vibrations or groundborne noise levels. However, groundborne vibrations may be generated infrequently by use of heavy construction machinery during the construction of the proposed project. This type of construction will be temporary and infrequent, and would be considered a less than significant adverse impact.
Mitigation: If a significant amount of complaints have been received within one year of approval, one year after issuance of occupancy, the Director may reconsider the hours of operation. If a significant amount of complaints are received, the project will be required to produce noise monitoring reports in order to ensure compliance (COA 10. Planning. 22)

Monitoring: Monitoring shall be conducted by the Code Enforcement Department.

### POPULATION AND HOUSING

Would the project

34. **Housing**
   a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
   b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County’s median income?
   c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
   d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area?
   e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?
   f) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

Source: Project Application Materials, GIS database, Riverside County General Plan Housing Element

### Findings of Fact:

a) The project site currently contains an existing winery, tasting room and vineyards and will not be removing any housing, as no housing is proposed; therefore, the proposed project will not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. Therefore, there is no impact.

b) The project may create employment opportunities, but not substantial enough to create a demand for additional housing. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project site does not contain housing; therefore, the proposed project will not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. Therefore, there is no impact.

d) The project is not located within or near a County Redevelopment Project Area. Therefore, there is no impact.

e) The project is consistent with the general plan land use designation of the site. The project will not cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections. Therefore, there is no impact.
f) The project will not induce substantial population growth in an area. Therefore, there is no impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**PUBLIC SERVICES** Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

**35. Fire Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Safety Element

**Findings of Fact:** The project area is serviced by the Riverside County Fire Department. Any potential significant effects will be mitigated by the payment of standard fees to the County of Riverside. The project will not directly physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. The project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to fire services. (COA 90.PLANNING.29) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**36. Sheriff Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** General Plan

**Findings of Fact:** The proposed area is serviced by the Riverside County Sheriff’s Department. The proposed project would not have an incremental effect on the level of sheriff services provided in the vicinity of the project area. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. The project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to sheriff services. (COA 90.PLANNING.29) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA, is not considered mitigation.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**37. Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Source: Temecula Valley School District correspondence, GIS database

Findings of Fact: The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. The proposed project is located within the Temecula Valley Unified School District. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This project has been conditioned to comply with School Mitigation Impact fees in order to mitigate the potential effects to school services. (COA 80.PLANNING.17) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

38. Libraries

Source: General Plan

Findings of Fact: The proposed project will not create a significant incremental demand for library services. The project will not require the provision of new or altered government facilities at this time. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to library services. (COA 90.PLANNING.29) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

39. Health Services

Source: General Plan

Findings of Fact: In the event of an emergency, employees of the proposed project may access several hospitals located is located within the service parameters of County health centers. Because the project involves business development, the demand for health services will remain relatively constant over time. Because the project is located within the service area of several health care facilities, the project impacts are considered to be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

RECREATION

40. Parks and Recreation

a) Would the project include recreational facilities or
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Is the project located within a C.S.A. or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** GIS database, Ord. No. 480, Section 10.35 (Regulating the Division of Land – Park and Recreation Fees and Dedications), Ord. No. 659 (Establishing Development Impact Fees), Parks & Open Space Department Review

**Findings of Fact:**

a) & b) The proposed winery will not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. Therefore, no impacts associated with recreational facilities are anticipated.

c) The project site is located within County Service Area (CSA) No. 149A, which is a maintenance district for streets and roadways within the Temecula Valley Wine Country (COA 90.PLANNING.36). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**41. Recreational Trails**

| ☐ | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ |

**Source:** Riverside County Parks, General Plan Figure C-7 “Trails and Bikeway System”

**Findings of Fact:** The Riverside County Parks Department has found that a trails plan is required for this project. Due to the topography of the property, the exact location of the required trail will be determined by the Parks Department during the review process.

**Mitigation:** Prior to issuance of any grading permits, the applicant shall submit a trails plan to the Riverside County Regional Park and Open-Space District for review and approval. The trails plan shall show the trail with all topography, cross-sections, grading, fencing, signage, street crossings and landscaping (COA 60. PARKS. 2). Impact is considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated.

**Monitoring:** Monitoring shall be conducted by the Parks Department through the grading permit issuance process.

**TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC** Would the project

| ☐ | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ |
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a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?

b) Result in inadequate parking capacity?

c) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated road or highways?

d) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

e) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic?

f) Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

g) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads?

h) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction?

i) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?

j) Conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

Source: General Plan, Traffic Study, Traffic Management Plan, Transportation Review

Findings of Fact: The Transportation Department required a traffic study and traffic management plan for the proposed project.

a) The project site is located on Rancho California Road. The project proposes a winery, tasting room and special event facility and the project will provide improvements to mitigate for project related traffic (COA 80.TRANS.7, 90.TRANS.9). Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated.

b) The project meets all parking requirements of Ordinance 348 Section 18.12 “Off-Street Parking.” Therefore, there is no impact.

c) The project will maintain adequate levels of service for designated roads and highways. The impact is considered less than significant.

d) The project does not propose any uses, design features, or other obstacles (i.e. blinking strobe lights, high-rise towers, etc.) which would impact air traffic patterns.

e) Project implementation would not alter waterborne, rail, or air traffic. There will be no impact.

f) The project will not substantially increase hazards to a design feature. There will be no impact.
g) The project would contribute to the cumulative deterioration of nearby roadways. The assessment of County fees, such as Development Impact Fees (DIF) and Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fees (TUMF), however, would reduce any impacts to a less than significant level. This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

h) Considering the temporary nature of construction activity, the nature of traffic circulation in the project area, and established County requirements for traffic control on public roadways during construction, potential impacts to traffic circulation are considered less than significant.

i) The proposed project will not restrict access to nearby uses. Additionally, considering the temporary nature of project construction, the volume of traffic circulation in the area, and established County requirements for traffic control on public roadways during construction, the project is expected to have less than significant impacts upon emergency access during construction. Emergency access throughout the project site will be developed in accordance with County ordinances, standard conditions of approval, and permits related to emergency access.

j) Currently, the Riverside Transit Authority (RTA) does not operate a bus route within proximity to the project area. The proposed project would not conflict with any adopted policies supporting alternative transportation.

**Mitigation:** The project has been conditioned for transportation improvements (COA 80.TRANS. 7, 90.TRANS.9).

**Monitoring:** Monitoring shall be conducted by the Transportation Department during the Building & Safety plan check process.

### 43. Bike Trails

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Source:** General Plan

**Findings of Fact:** The General Plan does not identify any bike trails located along Anza Road; therefore, there will be no impacts to recreational trails.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

### 44. Water

**a)** Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

**b)** Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact:

a) The project will be served by Rancho California Water District (RCWD) pursuant to the arrangement of financial agreements. The project will not physically alter existing facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

b) The project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project by Rancho California Water District (RCWD) pursuant to the arrangement of financial agreements. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

45. Sewer

a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project shall utilize septic systems. Prior to building permit issuance, the applicant must receive approval from the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB) for the septic system (80.E Health.3) (10.E Health.2). This is a standard condition for proposed wineries in this area and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

46. Solid Waste

a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project’s solid
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waste disposal needs?

b) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes (including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan))?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: General Plan, Riverside County Waste Management District correspondence.

Findings of Fact:

a-b) According to the Riverside County Waste Management Department, the proposed project has the potential to impact landfill capacity from the generation of solid waste during construction. The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

47. Utilities
Would the project impact the following facilities requiring or resulting in the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|

Source: General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a-h) Implementation of the project will result in an incremental system capacity demand for energy systems, communication systems, storm water drainage systems, street lighting systems, maintenance of public facilities, including roads and potentially other governmental services. Each of the utility systems, including collection of solid waste, is available at the project site and lines will have to be extended onto the site, which will already be disturbed by grading and other construction activities. These impacts are considered less than significant based on the availability of existing public facilities that support local systems. The project will not conflict with adopted energy conservation plans.
Compliance with the requirements of Southern California Edison, Eastern Municipal Water District, Verizon, Riverside County Flood Control and Riverside County Transportation Department will ensure that potential impacts to utility systems are reduced to a non-significant level.

Based on data available at this time, no offsite utility improvements will be required to support this project, other than improvement of local roadways. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

48. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare, or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: Implementation of the proposed project would not degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife populations to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

49. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of other current projects)?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project does not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.

50. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?
Source: Staff review, project application

Findings of Fact: The proposed project would not result in environmental effects which would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

VI. EARLIER ANALYSES

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration as per California Code of Regulations, Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

Earlier Analyses Used, if any:

GEO No. 2038

Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are available for review:

Location: County of Riverside Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor
Riverside, CA 92502

KH:kh
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

EVERY DEPARTMENT

10. EVERY. 1 USE - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The use hereby permitted is for a 4,789 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of gift sale/office space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 29,562 square foot wine production area, and 545 parking spaces. The existing use, approved under PP03243, includes a winery salesroom and restroom buildings and will remain.

10. EVERY. 2 USE - HOLD HARMLESS

The applicant/permittee or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside (COUNTY) its agents, officers, or employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY, its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning PP23343. The COUNTY will promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY and will cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding or fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant/permittee shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the COUNTY.

10. EVERY. 3 USE - DEFINITIONS

The words identified in the following list that appear in all capitals in the attached conditions of Plot Plan No. 23343 shall be henceforth defined as follows:


APPROVED EXHIBIT B & C = Floor Plans and Elevations for Plot Plan No. 23343, Exhibit B & C (Sheets 1-9), dated May 12, 2010.

APPROVED EXHIBIT L = Landscape Plan for Plot Plan No. 23343, Exhibit L, Amended No. 2, (Sheets 1-52), dated May 12, 2010.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.EVERY.4 USE - 90 DAYS TO PROTEST

The project developer has 90 days from the date of approval of these conditions to protest, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020, the imposition of any and all fees, dedications, reservations and/or other exactions imposed on this project as a result of this approval or conditional approval of this project.

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

10.BS GRADE. 1 USE -GIN INTRODUCTION

Improvements such as grading, filling, over excavation and recompacktion, and base or paving which require a grading permit are subject to the included Building and Safety Department Grading Division conditions of approval.

10.BS GRADE. 3 USE-G1.2 OBEY ALL GDG REGS

All grading shall conform to the California Building Code, Ordinance 457, and all other relevant laws, rules, and regulations governing grading in Riverside County and prior to commencing any grading which includes 50 or more cubic yards, the applicant shall obtain a grading permit from the Building and Safety Department.

10.BS GRADE. 4 USE-G1.3 DISTURBS NEED G/PMT

Ordinance 457 requires a grading permit prior to clearing, grubbing, or any top soil disturbances related to construction grading.

10.BS GRADE. 5 USE-G1.6 DUST CONTROL

All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented by the developer during grading. PM10 plan may be required at the time a grading permit is issued.

10.BS GRADE. 6 USE-G2.3SLOPE EROS CL PLAN

Erosion control - landscape plans, required for manufactured slopes greater than 3 feet in vertical height, are to be signed by a registered landscape architect and bonded per the requirements of Ordinance 457 (refer to dept. form 284-47).
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 7    USE-G2.5  2:1 MAX SLOPE RATIO    RECOMMEND

Graded slopes shall be limited to a maximum steepness ratio of 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) unless otherwise approved.

10.BS GRADE. 8    USE-G2.6SLOPE STABIL'ITY ANLYS    RECOMMEND

A slope stability report shall be submitted and approved by the County Geologist for all proposed cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 (horiz. to vert.) or over 30' in vertical height - unless addressed in a previous report.

10.BS GRADE. 9    USE-G2.7DRNAGE DESIGN Q100    RECOMMEND

All grading and drainage shall be designed in accordance with Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District's conditions of approval regarding this application. If not specifically addressed in their conditions, drainage shall be designed to accommodate 100 year storm flows.

Additionally, the Building and Safety Department's conditional approval of this application includes an expectation that the conceptual grading plan reviewed and approved for it complies or can comply with any WQMP (water Quality Management Plan) required by Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District.

10.BS GRADE. 10    USE-G2.8MINIMUM DRNAGE GRADE    RECOMMEND

Minimum drainage grade shall be 1% except on portland cement concrete where .35% shall be the minimum.

10.BS GRADE. 11    USE-G2.9DRNAGE & TERRACING    RECOMMEND

Provide drainage facilities and terracing in conformance with the California Building Code's chapter on "GRADING".

10.BS GRADE. 12    USE-G2.10 SLOPE SETBACKS    RECOMMEND

Observe slope setbacks from buildings & property lines per the California Building Code as amended by Ordinance 457.

10.BS GRADE. 13    USE-G2.23 OFFST. PAVED PKG    RECOMMEND

All offstreet parking areas which are conditioned to be paved shall conform to Ordinance 457 base and paving design and inspection requirements.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 14  USE-G.3.1NO B/PMT W/O G/PMT  RECOMMEND

Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the property owner shall obtain a grading permit and/or approval to construct from the Grading Division of the Building and Safety Department.

10.BS GRADE. 15  USE-G3.3RETAINING WALLS  RECOMMEND

Lots which propose retaining walls will require separate permits. They shall be obtained prior to the issuance of any other building permits - unless otherwise approved by the Building and Safety Director. The walls shall be designed by a Registered Civil Engineer - unless they conform to the County Standard Retaining Wall designs shown on the Building and Safety Department form 284-197.

10.BS GRADE. 17  USE-G4.1E-CL  4:1 OR STEEPER  RECOMMEND

Plant & irrigate all manufactured slopes steeper than a 4:1 (horizontal to vertical) ratio and 3 feet or greater in vertical height with grass or ground cover; slopes 15 feet or greater in vertical height shall be planted with additional shrubs or trees or as approved by the Building & Safety Department's Erosion Control Specialist.

10.BS GRADE. 18  USE-G4.3PAVING INSPECTIONS  RECOMMEND

The developer/applicant shall be responsible for obtaining the paving inspections required by Ordinance 457.

10.BS GRADE. 19  USE-G2.17LOT TO LOT DRN ESMT  RECOMMEND

A recorded easement is required for lot to lot drainage.

10.BS GRADE. 20  USE-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP  RECOMMEND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10 BS GRADE. 20 USE-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP (cont.) RECOMMEND

and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site.

For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

10.E HEALTH. 1 RCWD POTABLE WATER SERVICE RECOMMEND

Plot Plan#23343 is proposing Rancho California Water District (RCWD) potable water service. It is the responsibility of the developer to ensure that all requirements to obtain potable water service are met with RCWD as well as all other applicable agencies.

10.E HEALTH. 2 SDRWQCB PROJECT ASSESSMENT RECOMMEND

Commercial projects in the Temecula Wine Country area proposing onsite wastewater treatment exceeding cumulative discharges of waste flow greater than 1,200 gallons per day must be referred to the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB) for assessment of compliance with water quality standards. This project exceeds an aggregate waste flow of 1,200 gallons per day and requires referral to an assessment by the SDRWQCB.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

10.FIRE. 1 USE-#50-BLUE DOT REFLECTOR RECOMMEND

Blue retroreflective pavement markers shall be mounted on private street, public streets and driveways to indicate location of fire hydrants. Prior to installation, placement of markers must be approved by the Riverside County Fire Department.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FIRE. 2 USE-#23-MIN REQ FIRE FLOW RECOMMND

Minimum required fire flow shall be 2375 GPM for a 2 hour
duration at 20 PSI residual operating pressure, which must
be available before any combustible material is placed on
the job site. Fire flow is based on type VB construction
per the 2007 CBC and Building(s) having a fire sprinkler
system.

10.Fire. 3 USE-#31-ON/OFF NOT LOOPED HYD RECOMMND

A combination of on-site and off-site super fire hydrant(s)
(6"x4"x 2-2-1/2"), will be located not less than 25 feet or
more than 165 feet from any portion of the building as
measured along approved vehicular travel ways. The required
fire flow shall be available from any adjacent hydrant(s)
in the system.

10.FIRE. 4 USE-#84-TANK PERMITS RECOMMND

Applicant or Developer shall be responsible for obtaining
under/aboveground fuel, chemical and mixed liquid storage
tank permits, from the Riverside County Fire Department and
Environmental Health Departments. Plans must be submitted
for approval prior to installation. Aboveground fuel/mixed
liquid tanks(s) shall meet the following standard: Tank
must be tested and labeled to UL2085 Protected Tank
Standard or SwRI 93-01. The test must include the
Projectile Penetration Test and the Heavy Vehicle Impact
Test. A sample copy of the tank's label from an independent
test laboratory must be included with your plans.

10.FIRE. 5 USE-#89-RAPID HAZMAT BOX RECOMMND

Rapid entry Hazardous Material data and key storage cabinet
shall be installed on the outside of the building. Plans
shall be submitted to the Riverside County Fire Department
for approval prior to installation.

10.FIRE. 6 USE-#25-GATE ENTRANCES RECOMMND

ny gate providing access from a road to a driveway shall be
located at least 35 feet from the roadway and shall open to-
allow a vehicle to stop without obstructing traffic on the
road. Where a one-way road with a single traffic lane
provides access to a gate entrance, a 38 foot turning
radius shall be used.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FIRE. 7 USE-#88A-AUTOMATIC GATES RECOMMEND

Gate(s) shall be automatic operated, minimum 20 feet in width, with a setback of 35 feet from face of curb/flow line. Gate access shall be equipped with a rapid entry system. Plans shall be submitted to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation. Automatic/manual gate pins shall be rated with shear pin force, not to exceed 30 foot pounds. Automatic gates shall be equipped with emergency backup power. Gates activated by the rapid entry system shall remain open until closed by the rapid entry system.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

10. FLOOD RI. 1 USE FLOOD HAZARD RPT 6/24/10 RECOMMEND

Plot Plan 23343 is a proposal for a 4,789 square foot (sf.) winery and tasting room, 25,000 sf. of retail space, a 6,700 sf. restaurant a 2,415 sf. chapel, 42 casita which include 34 individual units at 880 sf. each and two four-plex casita at 4,926 sf. each, a 29,552 sf. wind production area, and 548 parking spaces. The site is located in the Rancho California area, on the northwest corner of Rancho California Road and La Serena Way, approximately 3000 east of Butterfield Stage Road. Tract Map No. 35924, which is a proposal for a Schedule B subdivision of 178.8 gross acres into 15 residential lots with a minimum lot size of one (1) acre and four (4) winery lots with a minimum lot size of 10 acres, is being processed concurrently with this project.

Our review indicates the topography of the area consists of well-defined ridges and natural watercourses that traverse the property. The site straddles a ridge-line and naturally drains in two different directions and watersheds. The southern half drains south to Empire Creek/Long Canyon which parallels Rancho California Road along the southern side. The southwestern portion of the site drains to Via Serena Way that conveys flows south to Rancho California Road. The northern portion of the site drains to the north to Long Valley, which is a natural watercourse. Since the site is along a ridge-line it is not subject to offsite runoff. There is a lack of drainage infrastructure downstream of this project. Therefore, mitigation of the incremental increased storm water runoff shall be required along with the necessary mitigation for water quality impacts that this development would generate. A combined treatment control feature may be used provided
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. FLOOD R.I. 1 USE FLOOD HAZARD RPT 6/24/10 (cont.)

the facility is appropriately designed to mitigate both impacts.

The development of this project adversely impacts water quality. To mitigate for these impacts, the development proposes four (4) detention basins, grass swales with infiltration trenches below, and porous pavement.

It appears the basins may be designed with 4 to 1 side slopes and an access ramp to the bottom of the basins to provide maintenance, however they are not labeled on the exhibit. Access to the supposed ramps is not clear. No design volumes are delineated on the exhibit and back-up calculations have not been submitted within the reports. The hydrology calculations for Area B-1 reflect a single larger basin, as oppose to the two smaller basin designs on Exhibit P, resubmitted June 17, 2010. Additionally, detention basins, grass swales/infiltration trenches, and porous pavement were based on overall area volumes. It shall be noted that to adequately mitigate the impacts generated by the proposed development, the detention basins must be sized to accommodate the water quality volume plus the increased runoff volume. Each BMP will also be sized accordingly to treat runoff from its individual subareas.

This mitigation concept of utilizing detention/water quality basins, grass swales/infiltration trenches, and porous pavement is acceptable to the District. However, it should be noted that the design and footprint of the basins and swales may require modifications depending on the calculations performed to support the adequacy of mitigation and the design of these facilities to meet the District's standards. Water quality features shall be located outside of road right of way and contained within drainage easements show on the map. The District feels that these details can be addressed in the final WQMP submitted during the plan check process.

It should be noted that the site is located within the bounds of both the Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley and Santa Gertrudis Valley Area Drainage Plans (ADP) for which drainage fees have been established by the Board of Supervisors. Applicable ADP fees will be due (in accordance with the Rules and Regulations for Administration of Area Drainage Plans) prior to permits for this project. Although the current fee for this ADP is
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FLOOD RI. 1  USE FLOOD HAZARD RPT 6/24/10 (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMEND

$2,291 and $1,179 per acre respectively. the fee due will be based on the fee in effect at the time of payment. The fee is payable to the Flood Control District by cashier's check or money order only. The District will not accept personal or company checks. The drainage fee is required to be paid prior to the issuance of the grading permits or issuance of the building permits if grading permits are not issued.

10.FLOOD RI. 3  USE 10 YR CURB - 100 YR ROW RECOMMEND

The 10 year storm flow shall be contained within the curb and the 100 year storm flow shall be contained within the street right of way. When either of these criteria is exceeded, additional drainage facilities shall be installed. The property shall be graded to drain to the adjacent street or an adequate outlet.

10.FLOOD RI. 4  USE 100 YR SUMP OUTLET RECOMMEND

Drainage facilities outletting sump conditions shall be designed to convey the tributary 100 year storm flows. Additional emergency escape shall also be provided.

10.FLOOD RI. 5  USE PERP DRAINAGE PATTERNS RECOMMEND

The property's grading shall be designed in a manner that perpetuates the existing natural drainage patterns with respect to tributary drainage area, outlet points and outlet conditions; otherwise, a drainage easement shall be obtained from the affected property owners for the release of concentrated or diverted storm flows. A copy of the recorded drainage easement shall be submitted to the District for review.

10.FLOOD RI. 10 USE INCREASED RUNOFF RECOMMEND

The development of this site will adversely impact downstream property owners by increasing the rate and volume of flood flows. To mitigate this impact, the developer has proposed a detention basin. Although final design of the basin will not be required until the improvement plan stage of this development, the applicant's engineer has submitted a preliminary hydrology and hydraulics study that indicates that the general size, shape, and location of the proposed basin is sufficient to
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. FLOOD RI. 10  USE INCREASED RUNOFF (cont.)  RECOMMEND
mitigate the impacts of the development.

10. FLOOD RI. 11  USE INCREASED RUNOFF CRITERIA  RECOMMEND

The development of this site would increase peak flow rates on downstream properties. Mitigation shall be required to offset such impacts. An increased runoff basin shall be shown on the exhibit and calculations supporting the size of the basin shall be submitted to the District for review. The entire area of proposed development will be routed through a detention facility(s) to mitigate increased runoff. All basins must have positive drainage; dead storage basins shall not be acceptable.

A complete drainage study including, but not limited to, hydrologic and hydraulic calculations for the proposed detention basin shall be submitted to the District for review and approval.

Storms to be studied will include the 1-hour, 3-hour, 6-hour and 24-hour duration events for the 2-year, 5-year and 10-year return frequencies. Detention basin(s) and outlet(s) sizing will ensure that none of these storm events has a higher peak discharge in the post-development condition than in the pre-development condition. For the 2-year and 5-year events the loss rate will be determined using an AMC I condition. For the 10-year event AMC II will be used. Constant loss rates shall be used for the 1-hour, 3-hour and 6-hour events. A variable loss rate shall be used for the 24-hour events.

Low Loss rates will be determined using the following:
1. Undeveloped Condition --> LOW LOSS = 90%
2. Developed Condition --> LOW LOSS = .9 - (.8x%IMPERVIOUS)
3. Basin Site --> LOW LOSS = 10%

Where possible and feasible the on-site flows should be mitigated before combining with off-site flows to minimize the size of the detention facility required. If it is necessary to combine off-site and on-site flows into a detention facility two separate conditions should be evaluated for each duration/return period/before-after development combination studied; the first for the total tributary area (off-site plus on-site), and the second for the area to be developed alone (on-site). It must be clearly demonstrated that there is no increase in peak flow
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. FLOOD RISK 11 USE INCREASED RUNOFF CRITERIA (cont.) RECOMMEND

rates under either condition (total tributary area or on-site alone), for each of the return period/duration combinations required to be evaluated. A single plot showing the pre-developed, post-developed and routed hydrographs for each storm considered, shall be included with the submittal of the hydrology study.

No outlet pipe(s) will be less than 18" in diameter. Where necessary an orifice plate may be used to restrict outflow rates. Appropriate trash racks shall be provided for all outlets less than 48" in diameter.

The basin(s) and outlet structure(s) must be capable of passing the 100-year storm without damage to the facility. Embankment shall be avoided in all cases unless site constraints or topography make embankment unavoidable in the judgment of the General Manager-Chief Engineer.

Mitigation basins should be designed for joint use and be incorporated into open space or park areas. Sideslopes should be no steeper than 4:1 and depths should be minimized where public access is uncontrolled.

A viable maintenance mechanism, acceptable to both the County and the District, should be provided for detention facilities. Generally, this would mean a CSA, landscape district, parks agency or commercial property owners association.

10. FLOOD RISK 13 USE WQMP ESTABL MAINT ENTITY RECOMMEND

This project proposes BMP facilities that will require maintenance by public agency or commercial property owner association. To ensure that the public is not unduly burdened with future costs, prior to final approval or recordation of this case, the District will require an acceptable financial mechanism be implemented to provide for maintenance of treatment control BMPs in perpetuity. This may consist of a mechanism to assess individual benefiting property owners, or other means approved by the District. The site's treatment control BMPs must be shown on the project's improvement plans - either the street plans, grading plans, or landscaping plans. The type of improvement plans that will show the BMPs will depend on the selected maintenance entity.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. FLOOD RI. 14 USE SUBMIT FINAL WQMP>PRELIM RECOMMEND

In compliance with Santa Ana Region and San Diego Region Regional Water Quality Control Board Orders, and Beginning January 1, 2005, projects submitted within the western region of the unincorporated area of Riverside County for discretionary approval will be required to comply with the Water Quality Management Plan for Urban Runoff (WQMP). The WQMP addresses post-development water quality impacts from new development and redevelopment projects. The WQMP requirements will vary depending on the project's geographic location (Santa Ana, Santa Margarita or Whitewater River watersheds). The WQMP provides detailed guidelines and templates to assist the developer in completing the necessary studies. These documents are available on-line at: www.floodcontrol.co.ca.riverside.ca.us under Programs and Services, Stormwater Quality.

To comply with the WQMP a developer must submit a "Project Specific" WQMP. This report is intended to a) identify potential post-project pollutants and hydrologic impacts associated with the development; b) identify proposed mitigation measures (BMPs) for identified impacts including site design, source control and treatment control post-development BMPs; and c) identify sustainable funding and maintenance mechanisms for the aforementioned BMPs. A template for this report is indicated as 'exhibit A' on the website above. A final Project Specific WQMP must be approved by the District prior to issuance of building or grading permits.

Projects that require a Project Specific WQMPs were required to submit a PRELIMINARY Project Specific WQMP along with the land-use application package in the tentative phase of development in order to obtain recommended conditions of approval. The developer has submitted a report that minimally meets the criteria for a preliminary project specific WQMP of addressing points a, b, and c above. It shall be noted that while the preliminary project specific WQMP was adequate at that stage, the preliminary WQMP report will need significant revisions at the improvement plan check phase of the development in order to meet the requirements of a final project specific WQMP - including detailed drawings for the BMPs along with all supporting calculations. It should also be noted that if 401 certification is necessary for the project, the Water Quality Control Board may require
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FLOOD RI. 14  USE SUBMIT FINAL WQMP>PRELIM (cont.)  RECOMMEND
additional water quality measures.

10.FLOOD RI. 16  USE BMP MAINTENANCE & INSPECT  RECOMMEND

The BMP maintenance plan shall contain provisions for all treatment controlled BMPs to be inspected, and if required, cleaned no later than October 15 each year. Required documentation shall identify the entity that will inspect and maintain all structural BMPs within the project boundaries. A copy of all necessary documentation shall be submitted to the District for review and approval prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

10.PLANNING. 1  USE - COMPLY WITH ORD./CODES  RECOMMEND

The development of these premises shall comply with the standards of Ordinance No. 348 and all other applicable Riverside County ordinances and State and Federal codes.

The development of the premises shall conform substantially with that as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A, unless otherwise amended by these conditions of approval.

10.PLANNING. 2  USE - FEES FOR REVIEW  RECOMMEND

Any subsequent submittals required by these conditions of approval, including but not limited to grading plan, building plan or mitigation monitoring review, shall be reviewed on an hourly basis (research fee), or other such review fee as may be in effect at the time of submittal, as required by Ordinance No. 671. Each submittal shall be accompanied with a letter clearly indicating which condition or conditions the submittal is intended to comply with.

10.PLANNING. 3  USE - LIGHTING HOODED/DIRECTED  RECOMMEND

Any outside lighting shall be hooded and directed so as not to shine directly upon adjoining property or public rights-of-way.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANING. 4 USE - COLORS & MATERIALS
Building colors and materials shall be in substantial conformance with those shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT M.

10.PLANING. 5 USE - LAND DIVISION REQUIRED
Prior to the sale of any individual structure as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A, a land division shall be recorded in accordance with Riverside County Ordinance No. 460, and any other pertinent ordinance.

10.PLANING. 6 USE - HOURS OF OPERATION
Use of the facilities approved under this plot plan shall be limited to the hours of 10 a.m. to 10 p.m. daily for the special events, restaurant and retail uses and 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. daily for the tasting room.

10.PLANING. 7 USE - BASIS FOR PARKING
Parking for this project was determined primarily on the basis of County Ordinance No. 348, Section 18.12. a. and the total number of parking spaces required is 545.

10.PLANING. 8 USE - LIMIT ON SIGNAGE
Signage for this project shall be limited to signs shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A. Any additional signage shall be approved by the Planning Department pursuant to the requirements of Section 18.30 (Planning Department review only) of Ordinance No. 348.

10.PLANING. 9 USE - NO OUTDOOR ADVERTISING
No outdoor advertising display, sign or billboard (not including on-site advertising or directional signs) shall be constructed or maintained within the property subject to this approval.

10.PLANING. 15 USE - PRESERVE NATIVE TREES
The existing native specimen trees on the subject property identified for preservation on APPROVED EXHIBIT A shall remain undisturbed. Where they cannot be preserved they shall be relocated or replaced with specimen trees as approved by the Planning Director.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 16  USE - OAK TREE REMOVAL  RECOMMND

Oak trees removed with four (4) inch or larger trunk diameters as measured at breast height may be removed only by approval of the Planning Director and shall be replaced on a variable ratio based on the size of the trees to be removed. Grading and/or landscaping plans shall show the trunk location, trunk diameter, and crown canopy diameter of all trees with driplines within 25 feet of any grading or construction. Replacement trees shall be noted on project's approved landscaping plans.

10.PLANNING. 17  USE - RECLAIMED WATER  RECOMMND

The permit holder shall connect to a reclaimed water supply for landscape watering purposes when secondary or reclaimed water is made available to the site.

10.PLANNING. 21  USE - EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS  RECOMMND

Exterior noise levels produced by any use allowed under this permit, including, but not limited to, any outdoor public address system, shall not exceed 45 db(A), 10-minute Leq, between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., and 65 db(A), 10-minute Leq, at all other times as measured at any residential, hospital, school, library, nursing home or other similar noise sensitive land use. In the event noise exceeds this standard, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall take the necessary steps to remedy the situation, which may include discontinued operation of the facilities. The permit holder shall comply with the applicable standards of Ordinance No. 847.

10.PLANNING. 22  USE - NOISE MONITORING REPORTS  RECOMMND

The permit holder may be required to submit periodic noise monitoring reports as determined by the Department of Code Enforcement as part of a code enforcement action. Upon written notice from the Department of Code Enforcement requiring such a report, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall prepare and submit an approved report within thirty (30) calendar days to the Department of Code Enforcement, unless more time is allowed through written agreement by the Department of Code Enforcement. The noise monitoring report shall be approved by the Office of Industrial Hygiene of the Health Service Agency (the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall be required to place on deposit sufficient funds to cover the
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 22 USE - NOISE MONITORING REPORTS (cont.) RECOMMEND

Costs of this approval prior to commencing the required report).

10.PLANNING. 24 USE - CAUSES FOR REVOCATION RECOMMEND

In the event the use hereby permitted under this permit, a) is found to be in violation of the terms and conditions of this permit, b) is found to have been obtained by fraud or perjured testimony, or c) is found to be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare, or is a public nuisance, this permit shall be subject to the revocation procedures.

10.PLANNING. 25 USE - CEASED OPERATIONS RECOMMEND

In the event the use hereby permitted ceases operation for a period of one (1) year or more, this approval shall become null and void.

10.PLANNING. 30 USE - MT PALOMAR LIGHTING AREA RECOMMEND

Within the Mt. Palomar Special Lighting Area, as defined in Ordinance No. 655, low pressure sodium vapor lighting or overhead high pressure sodium vapor lighting with shields or cutoff luminaires, shall be utilized.

10.PLANNING. 37 USE - BUSINESS LICENSING RECOMMEND

Every person conducting a business within the unincorporated area of Riverside County, as defined in Riverside County Ordinance No. 857, shall obtain a business license. For more information regarding business registration, contact the Business Registration and License Program Office of the Building and Safety Department at www.rctlma.org/buslic.

10.PLANNING. 43 GEN - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND RECOMMEND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following codes for the life of this project:

If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. Further, pursuant to Public
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANING. 43 GEN - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND (cont.) RECOMMEND

Resources Code Section 5097.98 (b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and their disposition has been made. If the Riverside County Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the Native American Heritage Commission shall be contacted within the period specified by law. Subsequently, the Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the "Most Likely Descendant." The Most Likely Descendant shall then make recommendations and engage in consultation with the County and the property owner concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. Human remains from other ethnic/cultural groups with recognized historical associations to the project area shall also be subject to consultation between appropriate representatives from that group and the County Planning /Director.

10.PLANING. 44 GEN - INADVERTANT ARCHAEO FIND RECOMMEND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following for the life of this project:

If during ground disturbance activities, cultural resources are discovered that were not assessed by the archaeological reports and/or environmental assessment conducted prior to project approval, the following procedures shall be followed. A cultural resources site is defined, for this condition, as being three or more artifacts in close association with each other, but may include fewer artifacts if the area of the find is determined to be of significance due to it sacred or cultural importance.

1. All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resource shall be halted until a meeting is convened between the developer, the project archaeologist, the Native American tribal representative (or other appropriate ethic/cultural group representative), and the Planning Director to discuss the significance of the find.

2. At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries shall be discussed and after consultation with the Native American tribal (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative) and the archaeologist, a decision is made, with the concurrence of the Planning Director, as to the
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANING. 44  GEN - INADVERTANT ARCHAEFO FIND (cont.)  RECOMMND

appropriate mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc) for the cultural resource.

3. Further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until an agreement has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate preservation or mitigation measures.

10.PLANING. 45  USE - LC LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENT  RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall:

1) Ensure all landscape and irrigation plans are in conformance with the APPROVED EXHIBITS;

2) Ensure all landscaping is provided with California Friendly landscaping and a weather based irrigation controller(s) as defined by County Ordinance No. 859;

3) Ensure that irrigation plans which may use reclaimed water conform with the requirements of the local water purveyor; and,

4) Be responsible for maintenance, viability and upkeep of all slopes, landscaped areas, and irrigation systems until the successful completion of the twelve (12) month inspection or those operations become the responsibility of the individual property owner(s), a property owner's association, or any other successor-in-interest, whichever occurs later.

To ensure ongoing maintenance, the developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall:

1) Connect to a reclaimed water supply for landscape irrigation purposes when reclaimed water is made available.

2) Ensure that landscaping, irrigation and maintenance systems comply with the Riverside County Guide to California Friendly Landscaping, and Ordinance No. 859.

3) Ensure that all landscaping is healthy, free of weeds, disease and pests.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 46 USE - GEO002038

GEO002038 concluded:

1. Groundwater should be expected at depths greater than 200 feet below existing site elevations. Shallow groundwater may be encountered locally during grading and construction, but is not anticipated to be a constraint to site development.

2. No active faults are known or observed on-site or trending to the project site.

3. The potential for ground rupture should be considered very low.

4. The liquefaction potential of the site is considered to be low.

5. The potential for landsliding or rockfall is considered negligible.

6. The risk of flooding due to tsunamis or seiching is considered to be negligible.

7. Slopes excavations will be required to protect workers in deep excavations if shoring and/or shields are not used.

GEO002038 recommended:

1. The surficial soils, including all undocumented artificial fill, topsoil, alluvium and upper 2 feet of Pauba formation materials should be removed to expose competent material as determined by the geotechnical engineer.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 46 USE - GEO02038 (cont.)

2. The relatively shallow loose alluvial deposits will be subject to removal and recompaction based on the remedial grading recommendations included in Section 5 of the May 19, 2010 report.

GEO No. 2038 satisfies the requirement for a Geologic Study for Planning / CEQA purposes. GEO No. 2038 is hereby accepted for Planning purposes. This approval is not intended, and should not be misconstrued as approval for grading permit. Engineering and other building code parameters will be reviewed and additional comments and/or conditions may be imposed by the Building and Safety Department upon application for grading and/or building permits.

10.PLANNING. 47 USE - SPECIAL EVENTS

The project will host 500 special events per year that may include weddings, meetings, winemakers dinner, wine club events, and private parties. The weddings are typically on weekends scheduled with a maximum anticipated attendance of 400 people. The other special events are scheduled with a maximum attendance of 400 people. When more than one event is scheduled on the same day, no more than 1,000 guests shall be on the site at the same time.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

10.TRANS. 1 USE - STD INTRO 3(ORD 460/461)

With respect to the conditions of approval for the referenced tentative exhibit, the landowner shall provide all street improvements, street improvement plans and/or road dedications set forth herein in accordance with Ordinance 460 and Riverside County Road Improvement Standards (Ordinance 461). It is understood that the exhibit correctly shows acceptable centerline elevations, all existing easements, traveled ways, and drainage courses with appropriate Q’s, and that their omission or unacceptability may require the exhibit to be resubmitted for further consideration. These ordinances and all conditions of approval are essential parts and a requirement occurring in ONE is as binding as though occurring in all. All questions regarding the true meaning of the conditions shall be referred to the Transportation Department.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 2 USE - COUNTY WEB SITE

Additional information, standards, ordinances, policies, and design guidelines can be obtained from the Transportation Department Web site: http://rctlma.org/trans/. If you have questions, please call the Plan Check Section at (951) 955-6527.

10.TRANS. 3 USE - TS/CONDITIONS

The Transportation Department has reviewed the traffic study submitted for the referenced project. The study has been prepared in accordance with County-approved guidelines. We generally concur with the findings relative to traffic impacts.

The General Plan circulation policies require a minimum of Level of Service 'C', except that Level of Service 'D' may be allowed in community development areas at intersections of any combination of secondary highways, major highways, arterials, urban arterials, expressways or state highways and ramp intersections.

The study indicates that it is possible to achieve adequate levels of service for the following intersections based on the traffic study assumptions.

Margarita Road (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW)

Meadows Parkway (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW)

Butterfield Stage Road (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW)

Mt. Palomar Winery Access West (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW)

Mt. Palomar Winery Access East (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW) (Future)

La Serena Way (NS) at:
Project Access (EW) (Future)

Calle Contento (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW)
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 3  USE - TS/CONDITIONS (cont.)
RECOMMEND

Anza Road (NS) at:
Rancho California Road (EW)

As such, the proposed project is consistent with this
General Plan policy.

The associated conditions of approval incorporate
mitigation measures identified in the traffic study, which
are necessary to achieve or maintain the required level of
service.

10.TRANS. 4  USE - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN
RECOMMEND

The following traffic management plan was prepared by the
applicant/engineer.

1. Introduction
The purpose of this Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to
describe the project and specify
access, parking, and operating hours and to specify
compliance with the provisions of Riverside
County Ordinance 348.

2. Project Description
The project is an expansion of the existing Mt. Palomar
Winery (PF 23343) located at 33820
Rancho California Road Temecula, California. The expansion
includes a net increase of 3,218
square feet of wine tasting area and 42 casitas for
overnight guests. The ancillary uses to the
winery include a restaurant (6,700 s.f.), retail space
(25,000 s.f.), production area (29,773 sf.),
and Chapel (2,415 s.f.). Additionally, this application
includes 18 residential lots (TTM 35924).

The project is on 178.8 acres with the winery at 123.9
acres, production 25 acres, and
Residential 29.9 acres.

3. Ingress/Egress
Ingress and egress will occur primarily at two project
driveways on Rancho California Road and
one residential driveway on La Serena Way. The existing Mt.
Palomar Winery driveway on
Rancho California Road will be widened to 52 feet (20 foot
ingress lane, 12 foot median, and 20
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 4 USE - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN (cont.)

foot egress lane) and a second project driveway with a width of 52 feet (20 foot ingress lane, 12 foot median, and 20 foot egress lane) will be constructed approximately 600 feet east of the existing driveway. The project driveway on La Serena Way will be constructed with a width of 56 feet (20 foot ingress lane, 16 foot median, and 20 foot egress lane). The on-site residential area will be gated with a Knox Rapid Entry and turn around area. Only residential users with gate access will be able to travel on-site from La Serena Way to Rancho California Road and vice versa. All winery, casita, and special event users will be required to use the two project driveways on Rancho California Road.

Rancho California Road in the vicinity of the project is constructed as a two lane undivided roadway with a center two way left turn lane within approximately 44 feet of pavement. The center two way left turn lane provides a de facto left turn lane for eastbound ingress vehicles. The driveway taper lengths should be designed by the applicant's civil engineer to County standard 803.

4. Parking
The total number of on-site parking is proposed at 545 spaces, which includes 7 bus and 19 handicap spaces. The project is proving approximately 30 additional parking spaces over the number required in the parking guidelines according to the applicant's parking tabulation on the site plan.

5. Hours of Operation
The hours of operation for the retail uses are from 10 AM to 10 PM with the exception of the casitas, which may have staff and or patrons arriving or departing before or after the noted times. The total project is anticipated to have up to 105 employees.

6. Special Events
Special events are proposed with two weddings on average per month and one special event per month that may include winemakers dinner, wine club event, and private parties.
10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. TRANS. 4 USE - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMEND

The weddings are typically on weekends scheduled between 5-10PM with a maximum anticipated attendance of 300 people. The other special events are scheduled from 1 IAM-5PM with a maximum anticipated attendance of 150 people. Exhibit 1 shows the on-site event locations and parking area details.

A wedding is the highest anticipated special event generator. The majority of the guests are anticipated to arrive from the west. Vehicular ingress is possible at two driveways on Rancho California Road, both of which have a center two way left turn lane. This center two way left turn lane provides a de facto left turn storage by for eastbound to northbound travel. Upon egress, a majority of the guest will take a right hand turn from the project driveway on Rancho California Road.

An on-site shuttle service is proposed if needed to balance on-site parking demands during a special event.

7. Signage
Stop signs shall be placed on all egress driveway locations where the driveways meet public roadways. On-site signage providing directions to the various uses may be installed and changed over time. Temporary on-site signage may be used as needed for special events.

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

20. PLANNING. 1 USE - EXPIRATION DATE-PP RECOMMEND

This approval shall be used within two (2) years of approval date; otherwise, it shall become null and void and of no effect whatsoever. By use is meant the beginning of substantial construction contemplated by this approval within a two (2) year period which is thereafter diligently pursued to completion or of the actual occupancy of existing buildings or land under the terms of the authorized use.

Prior to the expiration of the two year period, the
20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

20.PLANNING. 1 USE - EXPIRATION DATE-PP (cont.)
permittee may request a one (1) year extension of time request in which to use this plot plan. A maximum of three one-year extension of time requests shall be permitted. Should the time period established by any of the extension of time requests lapse, or should all three one-year extensions be obtained and no substantial construction or use of this plot plan be initiated within five (5) years of the effective date of the issuance of this plot plan, this plot plan shall become null and void.

20.PLANNING. 3 USE - REVIEW OPERATION HOURS
One year after issuance of occupancy permit the Planning Director and the Director of Building and Safety shall review this permit to consider the hours of operation. If significant complaints have been received regarding noise and nuisance, the hours of operation may be further restricted.

20.PLANNING. 6 USE - EXISTING STRUCTURE CHECK
WITHIN SIXTY (60) DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS PERMIT, the permittee or the permittee's successors-in-interest shall apply to the Building and Safety Department for all necessary permits, including the submission of all required documents and fees for any plan check review as determined by the Director of the Department of Building and Safety, to ensure that all existing buildings, structures and uses are in compliance with Ordinance No. 348 and Ordinance No. 457 and the conditions of approval of this permit.

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

60.BS GRADE. 1 USE-G2.1 GRADING BONDS
Grading in excess of 199 cubic yards will require performance security to be posted with the Building and Safety Department. Single Family Dwelling units graded one lot per permit and proposing to grade less than 5,000 cubic yards are exempt.
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 2    USE-G2.4GEOTECH/SOILS RPTS    RECOMMEND

Geotechnical soils reports, required in order to obtain a grading permit, shall be submitted to the Building and Safety Department's Grading Division for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit.

All grading shall be in conformance with the recommendations of the geotechnical/soils reports as approved by Riverside County.*

*The geotechnical/soils, compaction and inspection reports will be reviewed in accordance with the RIVERSIDE COUNTY GEOTECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOLOGIC REPORTS.

60.BS GRADE. 3    USE-G2.7DRNAGE DESIGN Q100    RECOMMEND

All grading and drainage shall be designed in accordance with Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District's conditions of approval regarding this application. If not specifically addressed in their conditions, drainage shall be designed to accommodate 100 year storm flows.

Additionally, the Building and Safety Department's conditional approval of this application includes an expectation that the conceptual grading plan reviewed and approved for it complies or can comply with any WQMP (water Quality Management Plan) required by Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District.

60.BS GRADE. 4    USE-G2.14OFFSITE GDG ONUS    RECOMMEND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, it shall be the sole responsibility of the owner/applicant to obtain any and all proposed or required easements and/or permissions necessary to perform the grading herein proposed.

60.BS GRADE. 5    USE-G2.15NOTRD OFFSITE LTR    RECOMMEND

A notarized letter of permission, from the affected property owners or easement holders, is required for any proposed off site grading.
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 6   USE-G2.16REC'D ESMT REQ'D

A recorded easement is required for off site drainage facilities.

60.BS GRADE. 7   USE-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

60.BS GRADE. 8   USE IMPORT/EXPORT

In instances where a grading plan involves import or export, prior to obtaining a grading permit, the applicant shall have obtained approval for the import/export location from the Building and Safety department. If an Environmental Assessment, prior to issuing a grading permit, did not previously approve either location, a Grading Environmental Assessment shall be submitted to the Planning Director and the Environmental Programs Director for review and comment and to the Building and Safety Department Director for approval. Additionally, if the movement of import/export occurs using county roads, review and approval of the haul routes by the Transportation Department will be required.
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

EPD DEPARTMENT

60.EPD. 1  
EPD - 30 DAY BURROWING OWL SUR.

Pursuant to Objective 6 and Objective 7 of the Species Account for the Burrowing Owl included in the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, within 30 days prior to the issuance of a grading permit, a pre-construction presence/absence survey for the burrowing owl shall be conducted by a qualified biologist and the results of this presence/absence survey shall be provided in writing to the Environmental Programs Department. If it is determined that the project site is occupied by the Burrowing Owl, take of "active" nests shall be avoided pursuant to the MSHCP and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. However, when the Burrowing Owl is present, relocation outside of the nesting season (March 1 through August 31) by a qualified biologist shall be required. The County Biologist shall be consulted to determine appropriate type of relocation (active or passive) and translocation sites. Occupation of this species on the project site may result in the need to revise grading plans so that take of "active" nests is avoided or alternatively, a grading permit may be issued once the species has been actively relocated.

If the grading permit is not obtained within 30 days of the survey a new survey shall be required.

60.EPD. 2  
EPD - NESTING BIRD SURVEY

To comply with the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, any vegetation or tree removal, or grading occurring February 1 to August 15 shall require a qualified biologist to conduct a nesting bird survey no more than one week prior to grading. All trees on the project site, whether or not they will be removed, shall be surveyed for nesting birds. The results shall be reports to the Environmental Programs Department. If there are no nests present, this condition will be cleared. If nests are found, exclusionary fencing should be placed 200 feet around the tree for non-raptors and 500 feet for raptors, until the birds have permanently left the nest.

60.EPD. 3  
EPD - FAIRY SHRIMP AVOIDANCE

FAIRY SHRIMP HABITAT AVOIDANCE - Prior to the issuance of any grading permit under PP23343, a qualified biologist
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60. EPD. 3  
EPD - FAIRY SHRIMP AVOIDANCE (cont.)  
RECOMMND

shall create a map exhibit of the "farm pond" (located in the northern portion of Lot 1-Winery Site 1) which has been determined to be potential habitat for fairy shrimp per Helix letter dated 6/22/2010. This exhibit shall be given to the Environmental Programs Department (EPD) for review and approval. This EPD approved area shall be delineated and labeled as "Restricted Area-Potential Fairy Shrimp Habitat" on any grading plan for this plot plan. This area shall be staked out on the ground with appropriate BMPs (silt fencing) and EPD shall conduct a field inspection to ensure these measures have been done prior to grading permit issuance. A deed restriction shall be recorded to ensure the future protection of the area mapped as potential fairy shrimp habitat. A sample deed restriction can be obtained by contacting the Environmental Programs Department (EPD). EPD shall review and approve the language of the deed restriction prior to its recordation. This condition shall be cleared when a copy of the recorded deed restriction, containing the EPD approved language, has been submitted to EPD.

If in the future full protocol fairy shrimp surveys are completed by a qualified biologist and it is determined no sensitive fairy shrimp species are present, the deed restriction and associated field inspections shall be waived.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

60. FLOOD RI. 2  
USE SUBMIT PLANS  
RECOMMND

A copy of the improvement plans, grading plans, BMP improvement plans and any other necessary documentation along with supporting hydrologic and hydraulic calculations shall be submitted to the District for review. The plans must receive District approval prior to the issuance of grading permits. All submittals shall be date stamped by the engineer and include a completed Flood Control Deposit Based Fee Worksheet and the appropriate plan check fee deposit.

60. FLOOD RI. 3  
USE EROS CNTRL AFTER RGH GRAD  
RECOMMND

Temporary erosion control measures shall be implemented immediately following rough grading to prevent deposition of debris onto downstream properties or drainage.
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.FLOOD RI. 3  USE BROS CNTRL AFTER RGH GRAD (cont.)  RECOMMND
facilities. Plans showing these measures shall be submitted to the District for review.

60.FLOOD RI. 4  USE OFFSITE EASE OR REDESIGN  RECOMMND
Offsite drainage facilities shall be located within dedicated drainage easements obtained from the affected property owner(s). Document(s) shall be recorded and a copy submitted to the District prior to issuance of permits. If the developer cannot obtain such rights, the project shall be redesigned to eliminate the need for the easement.

60.FLOOD RI. 5  USE WRITTEN PERM FOR GRADING  RECOMMND
Written permission shall be obtained from the affected property owner(s) allowing the proposed grading and/or facilities to be installed outside of the project boundaries. A copy of the written authorization shall be submitted to the District for review and approval.

60.FLOOD RI. 8  USE MUR CRK/TEM & SG MITCHARGE  RECOMMND
The County Board of Supervisors has adopted the Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley and Santa Gertrudis Valley Drainage Plan (ADP) for the purpose of collecting drainage fees. This project may require earlier construction of downstream ADP facilities. To mitigate this effect, the District recommends that this project be required to pay a flood mitigation fee in accordance with Section IX(d)2 of the Rules and Regulations for Administration of ADPs.

PP 23343 is located within the limits of the Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley and Santa Gertrudis Valley Area Drainage Plan for which drainage fees have been adopted to help mitigate the impacts of this development. The mitigation charge for this proposal shall equal the prevailing Area Drainage Plan fee rate multiplied by the area of the new development. This new development has a total of 11.8 acres in Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley and 24.3 acres in Murrieta Creek/Santa Gertrudis Valley subject to the fee. The charge is payable to the Flood Control District by cashier's check or money order only, and shall be paid after final approval of the staff report/conditions of approval by the Board of Supervisors and prior to issuance of permits.
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.FLOOD RI. 9 USE SUBMIT FINAL WQMP

A copy of the project specific WQMP shall be submitted to
the District for review and approval.

PARKS DEPARTMENT

60.PARKS. 2 PPA - TRAILS PLAN

Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the applicant
shall submit a trails plan to the Riverside County Regional
Park and Open-Space District for review and approval. The
trails plan shall show the trail with all topography,
grading, cross-sections, fencing, signage, street crossings
and under crossings and all landscaping. Upon completion
of the constructed trail, the applicant shall arrange for
an inspection of the trail with the Park District.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

60.PLANNING. 8 USE - SKR FEE CONDITION

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the applicant
shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County
Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of
the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. The
amount of the fee required to be paid may vary depending
upon a variety of factors, including the type of
development application submitted and the applicability of
any fee reduction or exemption provisions contained in
Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be
calculated on the approved development project which is
anticipated to be 90.4 acres (gross) in accordance with
APPROVED EXHIBIT NO. A. If the development is subsequently
revised, this acreage amount may be modified in order to
reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In
the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded,
this condition will no longer be applicable. However,
should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and
superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance,
payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance
shall be required.

60.PLANNING. 12 USE - FEE STATUS

Prior to the issuance of grading permits for Plot Plan
No. 23343, the Planning Department shall determine the
status of the deposit based fees. If the fees are in a
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 12 USE - FEE STATUS (cont.)

RECOMMND

negative status, the permit holder shall pay the outstanding balance.

60.PLANNING. 20 USE - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR

RECOMMND

This site is mapped in the County's General Plan as having a High potential for paleontological resources (fossils). Proposed project site grading/earthmoving activities could potentially impact this resource. HENCE:

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

1. The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside to create and implement a project-specific plan for monitoring site grading/earthmoving activities (project paleontologist).

2. The project paleontologist retained shall review the approved development plan and grading plan and shall conduct any pre-construction work necessary to render appropriate monitoring and mitigation requirements as appropriate. These requirements shall be documented by the project paleontologist in a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). This PRIMP shall be submitted to the County Geologist for review and approval prior to issuance of a Grading Permit.

Information to be contained in the PRIMP, at a minimum and in addition to other industry standard and Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards, are as follows:

1. Description of the proposed site and planned grading operations.

2. Description of the level of monitoring required for all earth-moving activities in the project area.

3. Identification and qualifications of the qualified paleontological monitor to be employed for grading operations monitoring.

4. Identification of personnel with authority and responsibility to temporarily halt or divert grading equipment to allow for recovery of large specimens.

5. Direction for any fossil discoveries to be immediately
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60. PLANNING. 20 USE - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR (cont.)

reported to the property owner who in turn will immediately notify the County Geologist of the discovery.

6. Means and methods to be employed by the paleontological monitor to quickly salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays.

7. Sampling of sediments that are likely to contain the remains of small fossil invertebrates and vertebrates.

8. Procedures and protocol for collecting and processing of samples and specimens.

9. Fossil identification and curation procedures to be employed.

10. Identification of the permanent repository to receive any recovered fossil material. * The County of Riverside must be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material and a written agreement between the property owner/developer and the repository must be in place prior to site grading.

11. All pertinent exhibits, maps and references.

12. Procedures for reporting of findings.

13. Identification and acknowledgement of the developer for the content of the PRIMP as well as acceptance of financial responsibility for monitoring, reporting and curation fees.

All reports shall be signed by the project paleontologist and all other professionals responsible for the report's content (e.g. Professional Geologist), as appropriate. Two wet-signed original copies of the report(s) shall be submitted to the office of the County Geologist along with a copy of this condition and the grading plan for appropriate case processing and tracking. These documents should not be submitted to the project Planner, the Plan Check staff, the Land Use Counter or any other County office. In addition, the applicant shall submit proof of hiring (i.e. copy of executed contract, retainer agreement, etc.) a project paleontologist for the in-grading implementation of the PRIMP.
60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

TRANS DEPARTMENT

60.TRANS. 1 USE - SUBMIT GRADING PLAN RECOMMEND

When you submit a grading plan to the Department of
Building and Safety, a copy of the grading plan(s) shall be
submitted and approved by the Transportation Department
prior to a grading permit issuance.

Submit required grading plan to the Transportation
Department, Plan Check Section, 8th Floor, 4080 Lemon
Street, Riverside, CA 92501.

60.TRANS. 2 USE - OBTAIN L&LMD APPLICATION RECOMMEND

Obtain an application from the Transportation Department,
L&LMD Section, 8th Floor, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA
92501, to submit application for required annexation per
Transportation condition of approval 80.TRANS.3 and
80.TRANS.5.

70. PRIOR TO GRADING FINAL INSPECT

EPD DEPARTMENT

70.EPD. 1 EPD - MSHCP GRADING INSPECTION RECOMMEND

MSHCP GRADING INSPECTION - EPD shall visit the site prior
to finalizing any grading permit under PP23343 to ensure no
grading has occurred in the area delineated as "Restricted
Area-Potential Fairy Shrimp Habitat" on the grading plan.

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

80.BS GRADE. 1 USE* -G3.1NO B/PMT W/O G/PMT RECOMMEND

Prior to issuance of any building permit, the property
owner shall obtain a grading permit and/or approval to
construct from the Grading Division of the Building and
Safety Department.
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

80.E HEALTH. 2 USE - FOOD PLANS REQD RECOMMEND

A total of three complete set of plans for each food establishment are needed including a fixture schedule, a finish schedule, and a plumbing schedule in order to ensure compliance with all applicable current State and Local Regulations.

80.E HEALTH. 3 SDRWQCB PROJECT/PLAN REVIEW RECOMMEND

Plans for the Onsite Wastewater Treatment System (OWTS) shall be approved by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB) and shall comply with the general permit issued to the County by the SDRWQCB.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

80.FIRE. 1 USE-#17A-BLDG PLAN CHECK $ RECOMMEND

Building Plan check deposit base fee of $1,056.00, shall be paid in a check or money order to the Riverside County Fire Department after plans have been approved by our office.

80.FIRE. 2 USE-#4-WATER PLANS RECOMMEND

The applicant or developer shall separately submit two copies of the water system plans to the Fire Department for review and approval. Calculated velocities shall not exceed 10 feet per second. Plans shall conform to the fire hydrant types, location and spacing, and the system shall meet the fire flow requirements.

Plans shall be signed and approved by a registered civil engineer and the local water company with the following certification: "I certify that the design of the water system is in accordance with the requirements prescribed by the Riverside County Fire Department."

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

80.FLOOD RI. 2 USE SUBMIT PLANS RECOMMEND

A copy of the improvement plans, grading plans, BMP improvement plans and any other necessary documentation along with supporting hydrologic and hydraulic calculations shall be submitted to the District for review. The plans must receive District approval prior to
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.FLOOD RI. 2 USE SUBMIT PLANS (cont.) RECOMMEND

the issuance of building permits. All submittals shall be date stamped by the engineer and include a completed Flood Control Deposit Based Fee Worksheet and the appropriate plan check fee deposit.

80.FLOOD RI. 4 USE MUR CRK/TEM & SG MITCHARGE RECOMMEND

The County Board of Supervisors has adopted the Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley/Santa Gertrudis Valley Area drainage Plan (ADP) for the purpose of collecting drainage fees. This project may require earlier construction of downstream ADP facilities. To mitigate this effect, the District recommends that this project be required to pay a flood mitigation fee in accordance with Section IX(d)2 of the Rules and Regulations for Administration of ADPs.

PP 23343 is located within the limits of the Murrieta Valley/Temecula Valley and Santa Gertrudis Valley Area Drainage Plan for which drainage fees have been adopted to help mitigate the impacts of this development. The mitigation charge for this proposal shall equal the prevailing Area Drainage Plan fee rate multiplied by the area of the new development. This new development has a total of 11.8 acres in Murrieta Creek/Temecula Valley and 24.3 acres in Murrieta Creek/Santa Gertrudis Valley subject to the fee. The charge is payable to the Flood Control District by cashier's check or money order only, and shall be paid after final approval of the staff report/conditions of approval by the Board of Supervisors and prior to issuance of permits.

80.FLOOD RI. 5 USE SUBMIT FINAL WQMP RECOMMEND

A copy of the project specific WQMP shall be submitted to the District for review and approval.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

80.PLANNING. 3 USE - CONFORM TO ELEVATIONS RECOMMEND

Elevations of all buildings and structures submitted for building plan check approval shall be in substantial conformance with the elevations shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT B & C.
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.PLANNING. 4  USE - CONFORM TO FLOOR PLANS  

Floor plans shall be in substantial conformance with that shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT B & C.

80.PLANNING. 5  USE - ROOF EQUIPMENT SHIELDING  

Roof mounted equipment shall be shielded from ground view. Screening material shall be subject to Planning Department approval.

80.PLANNING. 16 USE - WASTE MGMT. CLEARANCE  

A clearance letter from Riverside County Waste Management District shall be provided to the Riverside County Planning Department verifying compliance with the conditions contained in their letter, summarized as follows: The developer shall provide adequate areas for collecting and loading recyclable materials such as paper products, glass and green waste in commercial, industrial, public facilities and residential development projects.

80.PLANNING. 17 USE - SCHOOL MITIGATION  

Impacts to the Temecula Valley Unified School District shall be mitigated in accordance with California State law.

80.PLANNING. 20 USE - LIGHTING PLANS  

All parking lot lights and other outdoor lighting shall be shown on electrical plans submitted to the Department of Building and Safety for plan check approval and shall comply with the requirements of Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 and the Riverside County Comprehensive General Plan.

80.PLANNING. 29 USE - LC LANDSCAPE PLOT PLAN  

Prior to issuance of building permits, the developer/permit holder shall file a Landscaping Minor Plot Plan Application to the Riverside County Planning Department for review and approval along with the current fee. The landscaping plans shall be in conformance with the APPROVED EXHIBITS; in compliance with Ordinance No. 348, Section 18.12; Ordinance No. 859; and, be prepared consistent with the County of Riverside Guide to California Friendly Landscaping.

At minimum, plans shall include the following components:
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80. PLANNING. 29 USE - LC LANDSCAPE PLOT PLAN (cont.)

1) Landscape and irrigation working drawings "stamped" by a California certified landscape architect;

2) Weather based controllers and necessary components to eliminate water waste;

3) A copy of the "stamped" approved grading plans; and,

4) Emphasis on native and drought tolerant species.

When applicable, plans shall include the following components:

1) Identification of all common/open space areas;

2) Natural open space areas and those regulated/conserved by the prevailing MSHCP;

3) Shading plans for projects that include parking lots/areas;

4) The use of canopy trees (24" box or greater) within the parking areas;

5) Landscaping plans for slopes exceeding 3 feet in height;

6) Landscaping and irrigation plans associated with entry monuments. All monument locations and dimensions shall be provided on the plan; and/or,

7) If this is a phased development, then a copy of the approved phasing plan shall be submitted for reference.

NOTE:
1) Landscaping plans for areas within the road right-of-way shall be submitted for review and approval by the Transportation Department only. The Planning Department shall not approve landscape plans within the Road Right-of-Way.

When the Landscaping Plot Plan is located within a special district such as Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District, Jurupa Community Services District, Coachella Valley Water District, a County Service Area (CSA) or other maintenance district, the developer/permit holder shall submit plans for review to the appropriate special district for simultaneous review. The permit holder shall show evidence
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.PLAN. 29 USE - LC LANDSCAPE PLOT PLAN (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND
to the Planning Department that the subject District has
approved said plans.

As part of the plan check review process and request for
condition clearance, the developer/permit holder shall show
proof of the approved landscaping plot plan by providing
the Plot Plan number. The planning department shall verify
the landscape route is approved and the Plot Plan is in
TENTAPPR status. Upon verification of compliance with this
condition and the APPROVED EXHIBITS, the Planning
Department shall clear this condition.

80.PLAN. 30 USE - LC LANDSCAPE SECURITIES RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of building permits, the
developer/permit holder shall submit an estimate to replace
plantings, irrigation systems, ornamental landscape
elements, walls and/or fences, in amounts to be approved by
the Riverside County Planning Department, Landscape
Division. Once the Planning Department has approved the
estimate, the developer/permit holder shall submit the
estimate to the Riverside County Department of Building and
Safety who will then provide the developer/permit holder
with the requisite forms. The required forms shall be
completed and submitted to Building and Safety for
processing and review in conjunction with County Counsel.
Upon determination of compliance, the Department of
Building and Safety shall clear this condition.

NOTE:
A cash security shall be required when the estimated cost
is $2,500.00 or less. It is highly encouraged to allow
adequate time to ensure that securities are in place. The
performance security shall be released following a
successful completion of the One Year Post-Establishment
Inspection, and the inspection report confirms that the
planting and irrigation components are thriving and in good
working order consistent with the approved landscaping
plans.
Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the project proponent shall comply with County requirements within public road rights-of-way, in accordance with Ordinance 461. Assurance of maintenance is required by filing an application for annexation to Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated by contacting the Transportation Department at (951) 955-6767, and/or any other maintenance district approved by the Transportation Department or by processing and filing a 'Landscape Maintenance Agreement' through the Transportation Department Plan Check Division. Said annexation should include the following:

(1) Landscaping along Rancho California Road.

(2) Trails along Rancho California Road.

(3) Streetlights.

(4) Traffic signals located on Rancho California Road at intersection of Butterfield Stage Road.

For street lighting, the project proponent shall contact the Transportation Department L&LMD 89-1-C Administrator and submit the following:

(1) Completed Transportation Department application.

(2) Appropriate fees for annexation.

(3) (2) sets of street lighting plans approved by Transportation Department.

(4) "Streetlight Authorization" form from SCE, IID or other electric provider.

NOTE: Prior to preparing the landscaping plan, please contact the Transportation Department, 8th Floor, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92501 or call (951) 955-6767.
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.TRANS. 4 USE - LIGHTING PLAN

A separate streetlight plan is required for this project.

For interior streets, street lighting shall be designed to meet dark sky criteria. Streetlight shall be designed at street intersections and at the cul-de-sac.

The exterior streetlight shall be designed in accordance with County Ordinance 460 and Streetlight Specification Chart found in Specification Section 22 of Ordinance 461. For projects within SCE boundaries use County of Riverside Ordinance 461, Standard No. 1000 or No. 1001.

80.TRANS. 5 USE-LANDSCAPING/TRAIL COM/IND

Landscaping and trails within public road right-of-way shall comply with Transportation Department standards, Ordinance 461, Comprehensive Landscaping Guidelines & Standards, and Ordinance 859 and as approval by the Transportation Department.

Landscaping plans shall be designed within Rancho California Road and submitted to the Transportation Department. Landscaping plans shall be submitted on standard County plan sheet format (24" x 36"). Landscaping plans shall be submitted with the street improvement plans.

NOTE: Prior to preparing the landscaping plan, please contact the Transportation Department at (951) 955-6767.

80.TRANS. 6 USE - TS/DESIGN

The project proponent shall be responsible for the design of traffic signal(s) at the intersections of:

NONE

80.TRANS. 7 USE - TS/GEOMETRICS

The existing intersection of Mt. Palomar Winery Access West (NS) at Rancho California Road (EW) shall be improved to provide the following geometrics:

Northbound: one shared left turn/through/right turn lane
Southbound: one left-turn lane, one shared right/through lane
80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.TRAN. 7 USE - TS/GEOMETRICS (cont.) RECOMMEND

Eastbound: one two-way left-turn lane, one shared right/through lane
Westbound: one two-way left turn lane, one shared right/through lane

The future intersection of Mt. Palomar Winery Access East (NS) at Rancho California Road (EW) shall be improved to provide the following geometrics:

Northbound: N/A
Southbound: one left-turn lane, one shared right/through lane
Eastbound: one two-way left-turn lane, one shared right/through lane
Westbound: one two-way left turn lane, one shared right/through lane

The intersection of La Serena Way (NS) at Mt. Palomar Residential Tract Access Drive (EW) shall be improved to provide the following geometrics:

Northbound: one shared right turn/through lane
Southbound: one left turn lane, one through lane
Eastbound: N/A
Westbound: one shared left-turn/right-turn lane

or as approved by the Transportation Department.

All improvements listed are requirements for interim conditions only. Full right-of-way and roadway half sections adjacent to the property for the ultimate roadway cross-section per the County's Road Improvement Standards and Specifications must be provided.

Any off-site widening required to provide these geometrics shall be the responsibility of the landowner/developer.

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

90.BS GRADE. 1 USE*G4.3PAVING INSPECTIONS RECOMMEND

The developer/applicant shall be responsible for obtaining the paving inspections required by Ordinance 457.
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

90.E HEALTH. 1 USE - HAZMAT BUS PLAN  

The facility will require a business emergency plan for the storage of hazardous materials greater than 55 gallons, 200 cubic feet or 500 pounds, or any acutely hazardous materials or extremely hazardous substances.

90.E HEALTH. 2 USE - HAZMAT REVIEW  

If further review of the site indicates additional environmental health issues, the Hazardous Materials Management Division reserves the right to regulate the business in accordance with applicable County Ordinances.

90.E HEALTH. 3 USE - HAZMAT CONTACT  

Contact the Hazardous Materials Management Division, at (951) 358-5055 for any additional requirements.

90.E HEALTH. 4 USE- E.HEALTH CLEARANCE REQ  

Environmental Health Clearance prior to final inspection.

90.E HEALTH. 5 USE-FEE STATUS  

Prior to final approval, the Environmental Health Department shall determine the status of the deposit based fees. If the fees are in a negative status, the permit holder shall pay any outstanding balances. Contact the accounting section at (951) 955-8982.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

90.FIRE. 1 USE-#45-FIRE LANES  

The applicant shall prepare and submit to the Fire Department for approval, a site plan designating required fire lanes with appropriate lane painting and/or signs.

90.FIRE. 2 USE-#66-DISPLAY BOARDS  

Display Boards will be as follows: Each complex shall have an illuminated diagrammatic representation of the actual layout which shows name of complex, all streets, building designators, unit members, and fire hydrant locations
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.FIRE. 2 USE-#66-DISPLAY BOARDS (cont.) RECOMMND

within dimension and located next to roadway access. The minimum size shall be no less than 4 feet x 4 feet.

90.FIRE. 3 USE-#12A-SPRINKLER SYSTEM RECOMMND

Install a complete fire sprinkler system per NFPA 13 2002 edition in all buildings requiring a fire flow of 1500 GPM or greater. Sprinkler system(s) with pipe sizes in excess of 4" in diameter will require the project structural engineer to certify (wet signature) the stability of the building system for seismic and gravity loads to support the sprinkler system. All fire sprinkler risers shall be protected from any physical damage. The post indicator valve and fire department connection shall be located to the front, within 50 feet of a hydrant, and a minimum of 25 feet from the building(s). A statement that the building(s) will be automatically fire sprinkled must be included on the title page of the building plans.

Applicant or developer shall be responsible to install a U.L. Central Station Monitored Fire Alarm System. Monitoring system shall monitor the fire sprinkler system(s) water flow, P.I.V.'s and all control valves. Plans must be submitted to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation. Contact fire department for guideline handout

90.FIRE. 4 USE-#83-AUTO/MAN FIRE ALARM RECOMMND

Applicant or developer shall be responsible to install a manual and automatic Fire Alarm System. Plans must be submitted to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation.

90.FIRE. 5 USE-#27-EXTINGUISHERS RECOMMND

Install portable fire extinguishers with a minimum rating of 2A-10BC and signage. Fire Extinguishers located in public areas shall be in recessed cabinets mounted 48" (inches) to center above floor level with maximum 4" projection from the wall. Contact Fire Department for proper placement of equipment prior to installation.
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.FIRE. 6  USE-#36-HOOD DUCTS  RECOMMND

A U.L. 300 hood duct fire extinguishing system must be installed over the cooking equipment. Wet chemical extinguishing system must provide automatic shutdown of all electrical components and outlets under the hood upon activation. System must be installed by a licensed C-16 contractor. Plans must be submitted with current fee to the Fire Department for review and approval prior to installation.

NOTE: A dedicated alarm system is not required to be installed for the exclusive purpose of monitoring this suppression system. However, a new or pre-existing alarm system must be connected to the extinguishing system. (* separate fire alarm plans must be submitted for connection)

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

90.FLOOD RI. 2  USE BMP - EDUCATION  RECOMMND

The developer shall distribute environmental awareness education materials on general good housekeeping practices that contribute to protection of stormwater quality to all initial users. The developer may obtain NPDES Public Educational Program materials from the District's NPDES Section by either the District's website www.floodcontrol.co.riverside.ca.us, e-mail fcnpdes@co.riverside.ca.us, or the toll free number 1-800-506-2555. Please provide Project number, number of units and location of development. Note that there is a five-day minimum processing period requested for all orders.

The developer must provide to the District's PLAN CHECK Department a notarized affidavit stating that the distribution of educational materials to the tenants is assured prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.

90.FLOOD RI. 3  USE IMPLEMENT WQMP  RECOMMND

All structural BMPs described in the project-specific WQMP shall be constructed and installed in conformance with approved plans and specifications. It shall be demonstrated that the applicant is prepared to implement all non-structural BMPs described in the approved project specific WQMP and that copies of the approved project-specific WQMP are available for the future
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.FLOOD RI. 3  USE IMPLEMENT WQMP (cont.)  RECOMMEND

owners/occupants. The District will not release occupancy permits for any portion of the project exceeding 80% of the project area prior to the completion of these tasks.

90.FLOOD RI. 5  USE BMP MAINTENANCE & INSPECT  RECOMMEND

The BMP maintenance plan shall contain provisions for all treatment controlled BMPs to be inspected, and if required, cleaned no later than October 15 each year. Required documentation shall identify the entity that will inspect and maintain all structural BMPs within the project boundaries. A copy of all necessary documentation shall be submitted to the District for review and approval prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

90.PLANNING. 3  USE - PARKING PAVING MATERIAL  RECOMMEND

A minimum of 545 parking spaces shall be provided as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A, unless otherwise approved by the Planning Department. The parking area shall be surfaced with decomposed granite or to current standards as approved by the Department of Building and Safety.

90.PLANNING. 4  USE - ACCESSIBLE PARKING  RECOMMEND

A minimum of seven (7) accessible parking space[s] for persons with disabilities shall be provided as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A. Each parking space reserved for persons with disabilities shall be identified by a permanently affixed reflectorized sign constructed of porcelain on steel, beaded text or equal, displaying the International Symbol of Accessibility. The sign shall not be smaller than 70 square inches in area and shall be centered at the interior end of the parking space at a minimum height of 80 inches from the bottom of the sign to the parking space finished grade, or centered at a minimum height of 36 inches from the parking space finished grade, ground, or sidewalk. A sign shall also be posted in a conspicuous place, at each entrance to the off-street parking facility, not less than 17 inches by 22 inches, clearly and conspicuously stating the following:

"Unauthorized vehicles not displaying distinguishing placards or license plates issued for physically
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 4 USE - ACCESSIBLE PARKING (cont.)

handicapped persons may be towed away at owner's expense. Towed vehicles may be reclaimed at ___ or by telephoning ___.

In addition to the above requirements, the surface of each parking space shall have a surface identification sign duplicating the symbol of accessibility in blue paint of at least 3 square feet in size.

90.PLANNING. 8 USE - ROOF EQUIPMENT SHIELDING

Roof-mounted equipment shall be shielded from ground view. Screening material shall be subject to Planning Department approval.

90.PLANNING. 11 USE - UTILITIES UNDERGROUND

All utilities, except electrical lines rated 33 kV or greater, shall be installed underground. If the permittee provides to the Department of Building and Safety and the Planning Department a definitive statement from the utility provider refusing to allow underground installation of the utilities they provide, this condition shall be null and void with respect to that utility.

90.PLANNING. 16 USE- TRASH ENCLOSURES

Trash enclosures adequate to enclose a minimum of two (2) bins shall be located as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A, and shall be constructed prior to the issuance of occupancy permits. The enclosure(s) shall be a minimum of six (6) feet in height and shall be made with decorative block with landscaping screening and a solid gate which screens the bins from external view. Additional enclosed area for collection of recyclable materials shall be located within, near or adjacent to each trash and rubbish disposal area. The recycling collection area shall be a minimum of fifty percent (50%) of the area provided for the trash/rubbish enclosure(s) or as approved by the Riverside County Waste Management Department. All recycling bins shall be labeled with the universal recycling symbol and with signage indicating to the users the type of material to be deposited in each bin.
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 17  USE - EXISTING STRUCTURES  RECOMMEND

All existing buildings, structures and uses on the entire property shall conform to all the applicable requirements of Ordinance No. 348 and Ordinance No. 457, and the conditions of this permit.

90.PLANNING. 19  USE - REMOVE OUTDOOR ADVERTISE  RECOMMEND

All existing outdoor advertising displays, signs or billboards shall be removed.

90.PLANNING. 22  USE - POOL AND SPA FENCING  RECOMMEND

All swimming pools and spas shall be properly enclosed with minimum five (5) foot high fencing and self-latching gates as required by the state building code (Title 24), notwithstanding any other provisions of Ordinance No. 421 to the contrary. Commercial/Public Swimming pools and spas shall provide or access by physically-handicapped persons.

90.PLANNING. 24  USE - SKR FEE CONDITION  RECOMMEND

Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon building permit final inspection, whichever comes first, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance.

The amount of the fee required to be paid may vary, depending upon a variety of factors, including the type of development application submitted and the applicability of any fee reduction or exemption provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be calculated on the approved development project which is anticipated to be 90.4 acres (gross) in accordance with APPROVED EXHIBIT A. If the development is subsequently revised, this acreage amount may be modified in order to reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 25  USE - CONDITION COMPLIANCE

The Department of Building and Safety shall verify that the Development Standards of this approval and all other preceding conditions have been complied with prior to any use allowed by this permit.

90.PLANNING. 28  USE - ORD 810 O S FEE (2)

Prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. The amount of the fee will be based on the "Project Area" as defined in the Ordinance and the aforementioned Condition of Approval. The Project Area for Plot Plan No. 23343 is calculated to be 23.2 net acres. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

90.PLANNING. 29  USE - ORD NO. 659 (DIF)

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and installation of facilities and the acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development project described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the fees collected.

The amount of the fee for commercial or industrial development shall be calculated on the basis of the "Project Area," as defined in the Ordinance, which shall mean the net area, measured in acres, from the adjacent road right-of-way to the limits of the project development. The Project Area for Plot Plan No. 23343 has been calculated to be 23.2 net acres.

In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 29 USE - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) (cont.) RECOMMEND

rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable... However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

90.PLANNING. 32 USE - PALEO MONITORING REPORT RECOMMEND

PRIOR TO BUILDING FINAL INSPECTION:

The applicant shall submit to the County Geologist one wet-signed copy of the Paleontological Monitoring Report prepared for site grading operations at this site. The report shall be certified by the professionally-qualified Paleontologist responsible for the content of the report. This Paleontologist must be on the County's Paleontology Consultant List. The report shall contain a report of findings made during all site grading activities and an appended itemized list of fossil specimens recovered during grading (if any) and proof of accession of fossil materials into the pre-approved museum repository. In addition, all appropriate fossil location information shall be submitted to the Western Center, the San Bernardino County Museum and Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, at a minimum, for incorporation into their Regional Locality Inventories.

90.PLANNING. 33 USE - LC LANDSCP INSPECT DEPOSIT RECOMMEND

Prior to building permit final inspection, the developer/permit holder shall file an Inspection Request Form and deposit sufficient funds to cover the costs of Installation, Six Month Establishment, and One Year Post-Establishment inspections. In the event that an open landscape case is not available, then the applicant shall open a FEE ONLY case to conduct inspections. The deposit required for landscape inspections shall be determined by the Riverside County Landscape Division. The Planning Department shall clear this condition upon determination of compliance.

90.PLANNING. 34 USE - LC INSPECTION REQUIREMENT RECOMMEND

The permit holder's landscape architect responsible for preparing the Landscaping and Irrigation Plans (or on-site representative) shall arrange for a PRE-INSTALLATION
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 34 USE - LC INSPECTION REQUIREMENT (cont.) RECOMMND

INSPECTION with the Planning Department at least five (5) working days prior to the installation of any landscape or irrigation components.

Upon successful completion of the PRE-INSTALLATION INSPECTION, the applicant will proceed with the installation of the approved landscape and irrigation system and arrange for an INSTALLATION INSPECTION at least five (5) working days prior to the building final inspection or issuance of occupancy permit, whichever occurs first and comply with the Planning Department's Milestone 80 conditions entitled "USE-LANDSCAPING SECURITY" and the Milestone 90 condition entitled "LANDSCAPE INSPECTION DEPOSIT." Upon successful completion of the INSTALLATION INSPECTION, the County Planning Department's Landscape Inspector and the permit holder's landscape architect (or on-site representative) shall execute a Landscape Certificate of Completion that shall be submitted to the Planning Department and the Department of Building and Safety. The Planning Department shall clear this condition upon determination of compliance.

90.PLANNING. 35 USE - LC COMPLY W/ LNDSCP/ IRR RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall coordinate with their designated landscape representative and the Riverside County Planning Department's landscape inspector to ensure all landscape planting and irrigation systems have been installed in accordance with APPROVED EXHIBITS, landscaping, irrigation, and shading plans. The Planning Department will ensure that all landscaping is healthy, free of weeds, disease and pests; and, irrigation systems are properly constructed and determined to be in good working order. The developer/permit holder's designated landscape representative and the Riverside County Planning Department's landscape inspector shall determine compliance with this condition and execute a Landscape Certificate of Completion. Upon determination of compliance, the Planning Department shall clear this condition.

90.PLANNING. 36 USE - ANNEX INTO CSA 149A RECOMMND

The applicant or the successor in interest shall provide a clearance letter from the Economic Development Agency (EDA) providing proof that the project has been annexed into CSA
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 36 USE - ANNEX INTO CSA 149A (cont.)

149A. Contact EDA at (951) 955-3212. All annexation proceedings shall be completed, including any applicable Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) action needed. Contact LAFCO at (951) 369-0631.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

90.TRANS. 1 USE - SIGNING & STRIPING

A signing and striping plan is required for this project. The project proponent shall be responsible for any additional paving and/or striping removal caused by the striping plan. Traffic signing and striping shall be performed by County forces with all incurred costs borne by the applicant, unless otherwise approved by the County Traffic Engineer.

90.TRANS. 2 USE - WRCOG TUMF

Prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit, the project proponent shall pay the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time of issuance, pursuant to Ordinance No. 824.

90.TRANS. 3 USE STREETLIGHT AUTHORIZATION

Prior to OCCUPANCY, the project proponent shall submit to Transportation Department Permits the following:


2. Letter establishing interim energy account from SCE, IID or other electric provider.

90.TRANS. 4 USE - STREETLIGHTS INSTALL

Install streetlights at the intersection of Rancho California Road and "B" Drive and "C" Drive in accordance with the approved street lighting plan and standards of County Ordinance 460 and 461. For projects within Imperial Irrigation District (IID) use (IID's) pole standard.

Interior streetlight shall be installed at street intersections and at the cul-de-sac to meet dark sky criteria.
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.TRANS. 4 USE - STREETLIGHTS INSTALL (cont.) RECOMMEND

Streetlight annexation into LALMD or similar mechanism as approved by the Transportation Department shall be completed.

It shall be the responsibility of the developer to ensure that streetlights are energized along the streets of those lots where the developer is seeking Building Final Inspection (Occupancy).

90.TRANS. 5 USE - UTILITY PLAN RECOMMEND

Electrical power, telephone, communication, street lighting, and cable television lines shall be designed to be placed underground in accordance with Ordinance 460 and 461, or as approved by the Transportation Department. The applicant is responsible for coordinating the work with the serving utility company. This also applies to existing overhead lines which are 33.6 kilovolts or below along the project frontage and between the nearest poles offsite in each direction of the project site. A disposition note describing the above shall be reflected on design improvement plans whenever those plans are required. A written proof for initiating the design and/or application of the relocation issued by the utility company shall be submitted to the Transportation Department for verification purposes.

90.TRANS. 6 USE - UTILITY INSTALL RECOMMEND

Electrical power, telephone, communication, street lighting, and cable television lines shall be placed underground in accordance with Ordinance 460 and 461, or as approved by the Transportation Department. This also applies to existing overhead lines which are 33.6 kilovolts or below along the project frontage and between the nearest poles offsite in each direction of the project site.

A certificate should be obtained from the pertinent utility company and submitted to the Department of Transportation as proof of completion.
Prior to issuance of an occupancy permit, the project proponent shall complete annexation to Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated, and/or any other maintenance district approved by the Transportation Department or by processing and filing a 'Landscape Maintenance Agreement' through the Transportation Department Plan Check Division for continuous maintenance within public road rights-of-way, in accordance with Ordinance 461, Comprehensive Landscaping Guidelines & Standards, and Ordinance 859. Said annexation should include the following:

(1) Landscaping along Rancho California Road.

(2) Trails along Rancho California Road.

(3) Streetlights.

(4) Traffic signals located on Rancho California Road at intersection of Butterfield Stage Road.

Improvement plans for the required improvements must be prepared and shall be based upon a design profile extending a minimum of 300 feet beyond the limit of construction at a grade and alignment as approved by the Riverside County Transportation Department. Completion of road improvements does not imply acceptance for maintenance by County.

NOTE: Before you prepare the street improvement plan(s), please review the Street Improvement Plan Policies and Guidelines from the Transportation Department Web site: www.rctlma.org/trans/land_dev_plan_check_guidelines.html.

If you have any questions or for the processing fee amount, please call L&LMD Section at (951) 955-6767.

Interior streets (Privately maintained roads) shall be improved with 24' full-width AC pavement and type "C" concrete curb, within a 36' full-width dedicated right-of-way in accordance with modified County Standard No. 138 and Standard No 202. (Modified for type "C" rolled
90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.TRANS. 9 USE - DEDICATION (cont.)

Curb and reduced shoulder from 8' to 4' on each side of
parkway and reduced full-width right-of-way from 60' to
36'.

Entry streets (Privately maintained roads) shall be
improved with 52' to 56' full-width AC pavement and type
"C" concrete curb, within a 60' to 64' full-width dedicated
right-of-way in accordance with modified County Standard
No. 138 and Standard No 202. (Modified for type "C" rolled
curb and reduced shoulder from 8' to 4' on each side of
parkway and increased full-width right-of-way from 60' to
64', for gated entry streets.)

NOTE: 1. A 12' to 16' raised landscaped median shall be
constructed at the centerline of the entry streets
as shown on Tentative Map Amended Exhibit No. 2,
dated 6/16/2010 and as approved by the Director of
Transportation.

2. All roundabout shall be constructed a shown on the
Amended Tentative Map Exhibit No. 2 dated

3. On Rancho California Road at the intersection of
proposed and existing driveways, construct
transition AC pavement tapering for acceleration
and deceleration lane and join existing AC
pavement per County Standard No. 803 and as
approved by the Director of Transportation.

4. No bio-swell shall be allowed within the road
right-of-way.

90.TRANS. 10 USE - TS/FAIRSHARE ROUNDBOUD

The project proponent shall pay a fair share fee of $63,396
as its share of constructing three roundabouts, one each at
the intersections of Rancho California Road/La Serena Way,
Rancho California Road/Calle Contento, and Rancho
California Road/Anza Road.

90.TRANS. 11 USE - TS/INSTALLATION

The project proponent shall be responsible for the
construction and installation of all traffic signal(s)
listed below unless otherwise noted.
Prior to the final inspection of the first building, the following traffic signal(s) shall be installed and operational:

NONE
DATE: April 4, 2008

TO:
Transportation Dept.-Jim Knutson  
Riv. Transit Agency
Environmental Health Dept.  
Riv. Sheriffs Dept.
Flood Control Dist.  
Riv. Waste Management Dept.
Fire Department  
Supervisor Stone
Dept. of Bldg. & Safety (Grading)  
Commissioner Petty
Regional Parks & Open Space Dist.  
Temecula Valley Unified School Dist.
Co. Geologist  
RCWD
Environmental Programs Dept.  
SCE
P.D. Trails Coordinator-J. Jolliffe  
Southern California Gas
Landscape  
EIC “Attachment A”
Archeology  
Temecula Valley Winegrowers Association
CSA 149 c/o EDA  
Tony Papa

TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 35924 AND PLOT PLAN NO. 23343 – EA41914 – Applicant: Louidar, LLC – Engineer/Representative: Hunsaker & Associates - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Agriculturo: Agriculture (AG: AG) (10 Acre Minimum) – Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area – Location: The project site is located northerly of Rancho California Road, easterly of La Serena Way, westerly of Calle Contento, and southerly of Vista Del Monte Road. – 178.9 Acres - Zoning: Citrus Vineyard (CV) and Citrus Vineyard – 10 Acre Minimum (CV-10) – REQUEST: The tentative tract map is a Schedule B subdivision of 178.9 acres into 15 residential lots with a minimum lot size of 1.9 acres and four (4) winery lots. The plot plan proposes to construct a 7,231 square foot winery and tasting room, 26,089 square feet of retail, a 6,330 square foot restaurant, a 2,516 chapel, a 44,609 square foot hotel with 136 rooms, 15 casitas totaling 57,718 square feet with 42 rooms, a 114,348 square foot subterranean parking garage, and 631 above-ground parking spaces. The existing use, approved under PP03243, includes a winery salesroom and restroom buildings and will remain. – APN(s): 943-120-014, 943-120-023, and 943-110-009 – Related Case(s): PP03243, PP03243S1

Please review the attached exhibit(s) for the above-described project. This case is scheduled for a **LDC Meeting on April 24, 2008**. All County Agencies and Departments, please have draft conditions in the Land Management System by the above date. If you cannot clear the exhibit, please have corrections in the system and DENY the routing. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the case can be scheduled for a public hearing. All other agencies, please have your comments/conditions to the Planning Department as soon as possible. Your comments/recommendations/conditions are requested so that they may be incorporated in the staff report for this particular case.

Should you have any questions regarding this item, please do not hesitate to contact Alisa Krizek, Project Planner, at (951) 955-9075 or email at akrizek@RCLMA.org / MAILSTOP# 1070.

COMMENTS:

DATE:  
SIGNATURE: 

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: 

TELEPHONE: 

*If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner’s name. Thank you.*
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

CHECK ONE AS APPROPRIATE:

☑ PLOT PLAN □ CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT □ TEMPORARY USE PERMIT
☐ REVISED PERMIT □ PUBLIC USE PERMIT □ VARIANCE

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

CASE NUMBER: PP23343 DATE SUBMITTED: 5/20/08

APPLICATION INFORMATION

Applicant's Name: Louidar, LLC / Louis Darwish
E-Mail: ldanwish@roadrunner.com
Mailing Address: 33820 Rancho California Road
Temecula, CA 92591

Daytime Phone No: (951) 676-5047 Fax No: (951) 676-8928

Engineer/Representative's Name: Hunsaker & Associates
E-Mail: skalena@hunsaker.com
Mailing Address: 2900 Adams Street, Suite A-15
Riverside, CA 92504

Daytime Phone No: (951) 509-7023 Fax No: (951) 694-5688

Property Owner's Name: Louidar / Louis Darwish
E-Mail: ldanwish@roadrunner.com
Mailing Address: 33820 Rancho California Road
Temecula, CA 92591

Daytime Phone No: (951) 676-5047 Fax No: (951) 676-8928

If the property is owned by more than one person, attach a separate page that references the application case number and lists the names, mailing addresses, and phone numbers of all persons having an interest in the real property or properties involved in this application.

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

AUTHORIZATION FOR CONCURRENT FEE TRANSFER

The signature below authorizes the Planning Department and TLMA to expedite the refund and billing process by transferring monies among concurrent applications to cover processing costs as necessary. Fees collected in excess of the actual cost of providing specific services will be refunded. If additional funds are needed to complete the processing of your application, you will be billed, and processing of the application will cease until the outstanding balance is paid and sufficient funds are available to continue the processing of the application. The applicant understands the deposit fee process as described above, and that there will be NO refund of fees which have been expended as part of the application review or other related activities or services, even if the application is withdrawn or the application is ultimately denied.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are not acceptable.

Louis Darwish
PRINTED NAME OF APPLICANT

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. An authorized agent must submit a letter from the owner(s) indicating authority to sign the application on the owner’s behalf.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are not acceptable.

Louis Darwish
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

If the property is owned by more than one person, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the printed names and signatures of all persons having an interest in the property.

☐ See attached sheet(s) for other property owners signatures.

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 943-110-009, 943-120-014, & 943-120-023

Section: 27/28/34 Township: 7S Range: 2W

Approximate Gross Acreage: 88.9

General location (nearby or cross streets): North of Rancho California Road, South of Vista del Monte Road, East of La Serena, West of Calle Contento

Thomas Brothers map, edition year, page number, and coordinates: 2006, Page 959 G1

Form 295-1010 (08/27/07)
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

Proposal (describe project, indicate the number of proposed lots/parcels, units, and the schedule of the subdivision, Vesting Map, PRD):

Proposed commercial site includes wine production facility, wine tasting rooms, a hotel and casitas, restaurant, retail, and spa. Commercial site is a portion of TTM35924, a Schedule B Tract Map.

Related cases filed in conjunction with this request:

TR 35924

Is there a previous development application filed on the same site: Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, provide Case No(s). PM31953 (2004) (Parcel Map, Zone Change, etc.)

E.A. No. (if known) EA39563 E.I.R. No. (if applicable): _______________

Have any special studies or reports, such as a traffic study, biological report, archaeological report, geological or geotechnical reports, been prepared for the subject property? Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, indicate the type of report(s) and provide a copy: _______________

Is water service available at the project site: Yes ☐ No ☐

If “No,” how far must the water line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) ______________________

Is sewer service available at the site? Yes ☐ No ☐

If “No,” how far must the sewer line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) 3500'

Will the proposal result in cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet? Yes ☐ No ☐

How much grading is proposed for the project site? 428,665

Estimated amount of cut = cubic yards: 428,665

Estimated amount of fill = cubic yards ______________________

Does the project need to import or export dirt? Yes ☐ No ☐

Import none Export none Neither ______________________

What is the anticipated source/destination of the import/export? N/A
APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

What is the anticipated route of travel for transport of the soil material? 
N/A

How many anticipated truckloads?  N/A

What is the square footage of usable pad area? (area excluding all slopes) ________________ sq. ft.

Is the development proposal located within 8½ miles of March Air Reserve Base? Yes ☐  No ☑

If yes, will any structure exceed fifty-feet (50') in height (above ground level)? Yes ☑  No ☐

Does the development project area exceed more than one acre in area? Yes ☑  No ☐

If yes, in which one of the following watersheds is it located (refer to Riverside County GIS for watershed location)?

Check answer: 
☐ Santa Ana River   ☑ Santa Margarita River   ☐ San Jacinto River   ☐ Colorado River

HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Government Code Section 65962.5 requires the applicant for any development project to consult specified state-prepared lists of hazardous waste sites and submit a signed statement to the local agency indicating whether the project is located on or near an identified site. Under the statute, no application shall be accepted as complete without this signed statement.

I (we) certify that I (we) have investigated our project with respect to its location on or near an identified hazardous waste site and that my (our) answers are true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge. My (Our) investigation has shown that:

☑ The project is not located on or near an identified hazardous waste site.

☐ The project is located on or near an identified hazardous waste site. Please list the location of the hazardous waste site(s) on an attached sheet.

Owner/Representative (1) ___________________________ Date 2-13-08

Owner/Representative (2) ___________________________ Date ___________________________
### APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

Checklist for Identifyng Projects Requiring a Project-Specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) within the Santa Ana River Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project File No.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposed Project Consists of or Includes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significant Redevelopment:** The addition or creation of 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface on an existing developed site. This includes, but is not limited to, construction of additional buildings and/or structures, extension of the existing footprint of a building, construction of impervious or compacted soil parking lots. Does not include routine maintenance activities that are conducted to maintain original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, the original purpose of the constructed facility or emergency actions required to protect public health and safety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Residential development of 10 dwelling units or more, including single family and multi-family dwelling units, condominiums, or apartments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Industrial and commercial development where the land area represented by the proposed map or permit is 100,000 square feet or more, including, but not limited to, non-residential developments such as hospitals, educational institutions, recreational facilities, mini-malls, hotels, office buildings, warehouses, light industrial, and heavy industrial facilities.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Automotive repair shops (Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes 5013, 7532, 7533, 7534, 7537, 7538, and 7539).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Restaurants (Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code 5812) where the project site is 5,000 square feet or more.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Hillside development that creates 10,000 square feet or more, of impervious surface(s) including developments in areas with known erosive soil conditions or where natural slope is 25 percent or more.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Developments creating 2,500 square feet or more of impervious surface that is adjacent to (within 200 feet) or discharging directly into areas designated in the Basin Plan as waters supporting habitats necessary for the survival and successful maintenance of plant or animal species designated under state or federal law as rare, threatened, or endangered species (denoted in the Basin Plan as the "RARE" beneficial use) or waterbodies listed on the CWA Section 303(d) list of Impaired Waterbodies.** *Discharging directly to* means Urban Runoff from subject Development or Redevelopment site flows directly into aforementioned waterbodies. Urban Runoff is considered a direct discharge unless it first flows through a) a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) that has been formally accepted by and is under control and operation of a municipal entity; b) a separate conveyance system where there is co-mingling of flows with off-site sources; or c) a tributary or segment of a water body that is not designated with "RARE" beneficial uses nor listed on the 303(d) list before reaching the water body or segment designated as RARE or 303(d) listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Parking lots of 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface exposed to Urban Runoff, where "parking lot" is defined as a site or facility for the temporary storage of motor vehicles.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**DETERMINATION:** Circle appropriate determination.

If any question answered "YES" Project requires a project-specific WQMP.

If All questions answered "NO" Project requires incorporation of Site Design Best Management Practices (BMPs) and Source Control BMPs imposed through Conditions of Approval or permit conditions.
### APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

Checklist for Identifying Projects Requiring a Project-Specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) within the Santa Margarita River Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project File No.</th>
<th>LocalDateTime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>La Serena/Mt Palomar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location:</td>
<td>West of Calle Contento, east of La Serena, North of Rancho California, south of Vista del Monte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Project Consists of or Includes:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significant Redevelopment: The addition, creation, or replacement of at least 5,000 square feet of impervious surfaces on an already developed site of a project category or location as listed below in this table. This includes, but is not limited to: the expansion of a building footprint or addition or replacement of a structure; structural development including an increase in gross floor area and/or exterior construction or remodeling; replacement of impervious surface that is not part of a routine maintenance activity; and land disturbing activities related to structural or impervious surfaces. [Note: Where redevelopment results in an increase of less than 50% of the impervious surfaces of a previously existing development, and the existing development was not subject to SUSMP requirements, the requirement for treatment control BMPs [MS4 Permit requirement F.2.b(3)], applies only to the addition, and not to the entire development.]</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing subdivisions of 10 or more dwelling units. Includes single-family homes, multi-family homes, condominiums, and apartments.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial development greater than 100,000 square feet. Defined as any development on private land that is not for heavy industrial or residential uses where the land area for development is greater than 100,000 square feet. Includes, but is not limited to: hospitals; laboratories and other medical facilities; educational institutions; recreational facilities; municipal facilities; commercial nurseries; multi-apartment buildings; car wash facilities; mini-malls and other business complexes; shopping malls; hotels; office buildings; public warehouses; automotive dealerships; airfields; and other light industrial facilities.</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive repair shops. Includes facilities characterized by any one of the following Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes: 5013, 5014, 5541, 7532, 7533, 7534, 7536, 7537, 7538, or 7539.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants. A facility that sells prepared foods and drinks for consumption, including stationary lunch counters and refreshment stands selling prepared foods and drinks for immediate consumption (SIC code 5812), where the land area for development is greater than 5,000 square feet. Restaurants where land development is less than 5,000 square feet shall meet all SUSMP requirements except for treatment control BMPs [MS4 Permit requirement F.2.b(3)] and peak flow management [MS4 Permit requirement F.2.b(2)(a)].</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Hillside development greater than 5,000 square feet. Any development that creates greater than 5,000 square feet of impervious surface which is located in an area with known erosion soil conditions, where the development will include grading on any natural slope that is 25% or greater.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESAs)*. All development located within or directly adjacent to or discharging directly to an ESA (where discharges from the development or redevelopment will enter receiving waters within the ESA), which either creates 2,500 square feet of impervious surface on a proposed project site or increases the area of imperviousness of a proposed project site to 10% or more of its naturally occurring condition. &quot;Directly adjacent&quot; means situated within 200 feet of the ESA. &quot;Discharging directly to&quot; means outflow from a drainage conveyance system that is composed entirely of flows from the subject development or redevelopment site, and not commingled with flows from adjacent lands.</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking lots of 5,000 square feet or more. A land area or facility for the temporary parking or storage of motor vehicles used personally for business or commerce.</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets, roads, highways, and freeways. Includes any paved surface that is 5,000 square feet or greater used for the transportation of automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and other vehicles.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Gasoline Outlets (RGOs). Includes RGOs that meet the following criteria: (a) 5,000 square feet or more, or (b) a projected Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of 100 or more vehicles.</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**DETERMINATION: Circle appropriate determination.**

If *any* question answered "YES" Project requires a project-specific WQMP.

If *all* questions answered "NO" Project requires incorporation of Site Design Best Management Practices (BMPs) and Source Control BMPs imposed through Conditions of Approval or permit conditions.

---

*Areas in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in an ecosystem and which would easily be disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments. ESAs subject to urban runoff requirements include, but are not limited to: all CWA Section 303(d) impaired water bodies; areas designated as Areas of Special Biological Significance by the Basin Plan; water bodies designated with a RARE beneficial use in the Basin Plan; areas within the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan area that contain rare or especially valuable plant or animal life or their habitat; and any other equivalent environmentally sensitive areas that the Permittees have identified. The Basin Plan for the San Diego Basin (beneficial uses listed in Chapter 2) can be viewed or downloaded from www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwc89/programs/basinplan.html. The most recent CWA Section 303(d) list can be found at www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/303d_lists.html.*
PROPERTY OWNERS CERTIFICATION FORM

I, Vinnie Nguyen, certify that on 7/6/2010, the attached property owner's list was prepared by Riverside County GIS.

APN (s) or case numbers PP23343/1R35924/CZ07653

Company or Individual's Name: Planning Department

Distance buffered: 300' - 1,000'

Pursuant to application requirements furnished by the Riverside County Planning Department, said list is a complete and true compilation of the owners of the subject property and all other property owners within 600 feet of the property involved, or if that area yields less than 25 different owners, all property owners within a notification area expanded to yield a minimum of 25 different owners, to a maximum notification area of 2,400 feet from the project boundaries, based upon the latest equalized assessment rolls. If the project is a subdivision with identified off-site access/improvements, said list includes a complete and true compilation of the names and mailing addresses of the owners of all property that is adjacent to the proposed off-site improvement/alignment.

I further certify that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that incorrect or incomplete information may be grounds for rejection or denial of the application.

NAME: Vinnie Nguyen

TITLE: GIS Analyst

ADDRESS: 4080 Lemon Street 2nd Floor

Riverside, Ca. 92502

TELEPHONE NUMBER (8 a.m. - 5 p.m.): (951) 955-8158

Expires: 11/6/11
APN: 943100011, ASMT: 943100011
ALEX BRINKMEYER, ETAL
33400 LA SERENA WAY
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 951100005, ASMT: 951100005
AMERICAN FIRST CREDIT UNION
C/O REAL ESTATE DEPT
700 N HARBOR BLVD
LA HABRA CA 90631

APN: 943130008, ASMT: 943130008
ANDREW ZUN, ETAL
33105 VISTA DEL MONTE
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943130019, ASMT: 943050019
BARBARA J FRANZREB, ETAL
4 SURREY LN
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90274

APN: 943210012, ASMT: 943210012
CALLE CONTENTO, ETAL
222 LIDO NORD
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92663

APN: 943250018, ASMT: 943250018
CALVARY CHAPEL BIBLE FELLOWSHIP, ETAL
34180 RANCHO CALIFORNIA
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943110008, ASMT: 943110008
CELEBRATION CELLARS
33410 RANCHO CALIFORNIA
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943110007, ASMT: 943110007
CELEBRATION CELLARS LLC
33410 RANCHO CALIFORNIA RD
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943120021, ASMT: 943120021
CHARLES T PELTZER, ETAL
40275 CALLE CONTENTO
TEMECULA CA 92592

APN: 951060013, ASMT: 951060013
CHURON WINERY
C/O RONALD THOMAS
3450 PACIFIC COAST HWY
VENTURA CA 93001

APN: 943110011, ASMT: 943110011
CRAIG E HOLT, ETAL
41005 REID CT
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943130006, ASMT: 943130006
DARLA K BOWLEY
33075 VISTA DEL MONTE
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943130009, ASMT: 943130009
DAVID LEE BRADLEY, ETAL
33133 VISTA DEL MONTE
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943140006, ASMT: 943140006
EDWARD M GORMAN, ETAL
39485 CALLE CONTENTO
TEMECULA CA 92591
APN: 943120023, ASMT: 943120023
LOUIDAR
33820 RANCHO CALIFORNIA RD
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943120014, ASMT: 943120014
LOUIDAR
33820 RANCHO CALIFORNIA
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943120013, ASMT: 943120013
MAHMOUD M YAKUT, ETAL
39820 CALLE CONTENTO
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943110015, ASMT: 943110015
MAHMOUD M YAKUT, ETAL
P O BOX 1752
TEMECULA CA 92593

APN: 943140009, ASMT: 943140009
MICHAEL S HADDAD, ETAL
33001 SAGE CT
TEMECULA CA 92592

APN: 943100005, ASMT: 943100005
NATL CHRISTIAN FOUNDATION REAL PROP INC
11625 RAINWATER DR NO 500
ALPHARETTA GA 30009

APN: 943140008, ASMT: 943140008
NICOLE SCHULER
33100 VISTA DEL MONTE
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943110018, ASMT: 943110018
NOEL VAUGHAN, ETAL
C/O PETER NEUHAUS
2865 VISTA ELEVADA
SANTA BARBARA CA 93105

APN: 943100009, ASMT: 943100009
PHILLIPS B BAILY, ETAL
36150 PAUBA RD
TEMECULA CA 92592

APN: 943140007, ASMT: 943140007
MANUEL HUMBERTO MENCHACA, ETAL
40460 CHAUNCEY WAY
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943060004, ASMT: 943060004
RANCHO CALIF WATER DIST
0
CA 0

APN: 951100001, ASMT: 951100001
MARSHALL J STUART, ETAL
33515 RANCHO CALIFORNIA RD
TEMECULA CA 92592

APN: 943240006, ASMT: 943240006
RAUL E RAMIREZ
440 W MARKHAM ST
PERRIS CA 92571
APN: 943120022, ASMT: 943120022
S T & KOO INTERNATIONAL CORP
323 WEST COURT STE 301
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92401

APN: 943260027, ASMT: 943260027
TEMECULA VINEYARD ESTATES
41391 KALMIA ST STE 200
MURRIETA CA 92562

APN: 943060012, ASMT: 943060012
TEMECULA VINEYARDS LTD
C/O C LEMON
809 COOMBS ST
NAPA CA 94559

APN: 943250019, ASMT: 943250019
THOMAS W SMOTRICHT, ETAL
4957 CALVIN AVE
TARZANA CA 91356

APN: 943130007, ASMT: 943130007
VINCE BONELLO, ETAL
33087 VISTA DEL MONTE RD
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943120018, ASMT: 943120018
WILLIAM L OWEN, ETAL
39701 CALLE CONTENTO
TEMECULA CA 92591
Centralized Correspondence,  
Southern California Gas Company  
P.O. Box 3150  
San Dimas, CA 91773

Southern California Edison  
2244 Walnut Grove Ave., Rm 312  
P.O. Box 600  
Rosemead, CA 91770

ATTN: Michael McCoy  
Riverside Transit Agency  
1825 3rd St.  
P.O. Box 59968  
Riverside, CA 92517-1968

Temecula Valley  
Unified School District  
31350 Rancho Vista Rd.  
Temecula, CA 92592-6200

Temecula Wine Growers  
P.O. Box 1601  
Temecula, CA 92593

Applicant/Owner:  
Luidar, LLC / Louis Darwish  
33820 Rancho California Rd.  
Temecula, CA 92591

Eng-Rep:  
Hunsaker & Associates  
2900 Adams St., St. A-15  
Riverside, CA 92504

Applicant/Owner:  
Luidar, LLC / Louis Darwish  
33820 Rancho California Rd.  
Temecula, CA 92591

Eng-Rep:  
Hunsaker & Associates  
2900 Adams St., St. A-15  
Riverside, CA 92504
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TRANSPORTATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY
George A. Johnson · Agency Director
Planning Department
Ron Goldman · Planning Director

TO: □ Office of Planning and Research (OPR)
    P.O. Box 3044
    Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
☒ County of Riverside County Clerk

FROM: Riverside County Planning Department
    ☒ 4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor
    P.O. Box 1409
    Riverside, CA 92502-1409
    □ 38686 El Cerrito Road
    Palm Desert, California 92211

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the California Public Resources Code.

"Mi. Palomar" EA41914 and PP23343
Project Title/Case Numbers

K linca Hastelby
County Contact Person

(951) 955-1888
Phone Number

N/A
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to the State Clearinghouse)

Longad, LLC/Louis Darwish
Project Applicant

33820 Rancho California Rd, Temecula, CA 92591
Address

The project site is located in the Community of Rancho California of the Southwest Area Plan of Western Riverside County; more specifically, northerly of Rancho California Road, easterly of La Serena Way and westerly of Calle Contorno.

Project Location

Pilot Plan No. 23343 proposes a 4,788 square foot winery and tasting room, 25,000 square feet of retail space, a 6,700 square foot restaurant, a 2,415 square foot chapel, 42 casitas which include 34 individual units at 880 square feet each and two four-plex casitas at 4,926 square feet each, a 23,562 square foot wine production area, and 546 parking spaces. The existing use, approved under PP03243, includes a winery salesroom and restroom buildings and will remain.

Project Description

This is to advise that the Riverside County Planning Director, as the lead agency, has approved the above-referenced project on August 2, 2010, and has made the following determinations regarding that project:

1. The project WILL NOT have a significant effect on the environment.
2. A Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for the project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act. ($2,010.25 plus $64.00)
3. Mitigation measures WERE made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan/Program WAS adopted.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations WAS NOT adopted for the project.

This is to certify that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, with comments, responses, and record of project approval is available to the general public at: Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501.

Signature

Urban Regional Planner
Title

July 8, 2010
Date

Date Received for Filing and Posting at OPR: _______________________________

Y:\Planning Case Files\Riverside\office\PP23343\Hearings\6.22.10 DHNOD Form, PP23343.docx

Please charge deposit fee casefile: ZEA41914 ZCFO05262

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project/Case Number: “Mt. Palomar” EA41914 and PP23343

Based on the Initial Study, it has been determined that the proposed project, subject to the proposed mitigation measures, will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND MITIGATION MEASURES REQUIRED TO AVOID POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS. (see Environmental Assessment and Conditions of Approval)

COMPLETED/REVIEWED BY:

By: Kinika Hesterly Title: Project Planner Date: July 8, 2010

Applicant/Project Sponsor: Louidar, LLC/Louis Darwish Date Submitted: 7/8/2010

ADOPTED BY: Planning Director

Person Verifying Adoption: Date:

The Mitigated Negative Declaration may be examined, along with documents referenced in the initial study, if any, at:

Riverside County Planning Department 4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501

For additional information, please contact Kinika Hesterly at (951) 955-1888.

Y:\Planning Case Files-Riverside office\PP23343\Hearings\8.02.10 D\Mitigated Negative Declaration.PP23343.docx
Received from: LOUIDAR, LLC/LOUIS DARWISH
paid by: CK 3127, 28, 29 & 30
CALIFORNIA FISH & GAME FOR EA41914
paid towards: CFG05262 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
at parcel: 
appl type: CFG3

By MBRASWEL posting date Mar 20, 2008 14:17

Account Code Description Amount
658353120100208100 CF&G TRUST: RSCORD FEES $64.00

Overpayments of less than $5.00 will not be refunded!
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center
4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92502
Second Floor, Suite A, (951) 955-3200
39493 Los Alamos Road, Murrieta, CA 92563
38686 El Cerrito Road, Palm Desert, CA 92211
(951) 600-6100

****************************************************************************************************************************************

Received from: LOUIDAR, LLC/LOUIS DARWISH
paid by: CK 3701
paid towards: CFG05262
CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
CALIFORNIA FISH & GAME FOR EA41914
at parcel #:
appl type: CFG3

By ___________________________ Jun 29, 2010 09:47
SBROSTRO posting date Jun 29, 2010

****************************************************************************************************************************************

Account Code Description Amount
658353120100208100 CF&G TRUST $2,010.25

Overpayments of less than $5.00 will not be refunded!

Additional info at www.rctlma.org

COPY 1-CUSTOMER

* REPRINTED *