

DRAINAGE ANALYSIS - ENTRY CULVERT

DE PORTOLA WINERY APN: 941-180-032

NEC DE PORTOLA ROAD AND MONTE DE ORO TEMECULA, CALIFORNIA 92592 PAR01536

PREPARED FOR:

LONG JIANG FERTILE SOILS, LLC 79 DUMORE IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92620

PREPARED BY:

VENTURA ENGINEERING INLAND 27393 YNEZ ROAD, SUITE 159 TEMECULA, CALIFORNIA 92591 (951) 252-7632

Original Date: January 23, 2018

I hereby declare that I am the engineer of work for this project, that I have exercised responsible charge over the design of the project as defined in Section 6703 of the Business and Professions code, and that the design is consistent with current standards.



1/23/18

WILFREDO VENTURA R.C.E. NO. 66532 EXPIRES 6/30/18 DATE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTIONS

<u>PAGE</u>

COVE	R SHEET	
TABLE	E OF CONTENTS	
1.0	INTRODUCTION	
2.0	LOCATION	
3.0	METHODOLOGY 1	
4.0	OPTION ANALYSIS	
5.0	FEMA ANALYSIS	
6.0	REFERENCES	
7.0	DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE 2	•
8.0	ATTACHMENTS	,
	8.1 ATTACHMENT 1: STANDARDS EXCERPTS 2	,
	8.2 ATTACHMENT 2: CALCULATIONS 2	,
	8.3 ATTACHMENT 3: EXHIBITS	,

ATTACHMENT 1: STANDARDS EXCERPTS

ATTACHMENT 2: CALCULATIONS

ATTACHMENT 3: EXHIBITS



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to calculate the limited hydrology and hydraulic conditions associated with the existing entry area culverts and their repair due to the undermining and destruction of the vineyards in the exit area of the culverts entering the property from the northside runoff from De Portola Road. Although not being submitted to an agency for review at this time, these calculations have been created using the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Hydrology Manual (April 1978) as discussed further in Section 3.0.

2.0 LOCATION

The project site is located at northeast corner of De Portola Road and Monte de Oro in Temecula, California 92592. A vicinity map is provided for reference in Attachment 4.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

This report has calculated 100-Year Maximum Peak Runoff based on the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Hydrology Manual (April 1978) rational methodology and routes is through the ditches, culverts, and pipes as requested by the owner. In addition, this manual will be referred to as the 'Standards' throughout this report. Clean copies of the excerpts from the standards have been included in Attachment 1: Standards Excerpts for reference. The calculations are provided in Attachment 2: Calculations. Exhibits are provided for reference in Attachment 3: Hydrology Exhibits.

4.0 OPTION ANALYSIS

Two options with two different pipe options have been analyzed. The options overall considerations can be summarized as:

Option 1A - Run North then West - 1 Pipe: This will require (1) 24" pipe Option 1B - Nun North then West - 2 Pipes: This will require (2) 18" pipes

Option 2A – Run South then West – 1 Pipe: This will require (1) 24" pipe Option 2B – Nun South then West – 2 Pipes: This will require (2) 18" pipes

5.0 FEMA ANALYSIS

No FEMA or flooding analysis has been performed by these calculations.

6.0 REFERENCES

The following references were utilized in the creation of this hydrology report:

Brater & King, Handbook of Hydraulics, 6th ed.

Hydrology Manual, Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District, April 1978



7.0 DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE

I hereby declare that I am the engineer of work for this project, that I have exercised responsible charge over the design of the project as defined in Section 6703 of the Business and Professions code, and that the design is consistent with current standards.

I understand that the check of project drawings and specifications by the agency is confined to a review only and does not relieve me, as engineer of work, of my responsibilities for project design.

Wilfredo Ventura



1/23/18

Date

8.0 ATTACHMENTS

The following attachment sections are provided for reference:

8.1 ATTACHMENT 1: STANDARD EXCERPTS

This attachment contains excerpts from the standards. Please refer to the attached references.

8.2 ATTACHMENT 2: CALCULATIONS

This attachment contains the calculations. Calculations are provided here for reference.

8.3 ATTACHMENT 3: HYDROLOGY EXHIBITS

This attachment contains select exhibits that are provided here for reference.



ATTACHMENT 1: STANDARD EXCERPTS

This attachment contains various excerpts from the Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District Hydrology Manual (April 1978 edition). Please see the attached excerpts from the standards.



PLATE C-1.53



R H			<u>ــــ</u>	RAINFA		INT	ENSIT	 	NCHE	ES PE	R	HOUR	1		
YDR	MIRA	LOMA		MURRIETA & RANCHO	- TEME Califo	CULA RNIA	Z	10RCD		PALW	SPRING	s	PERRIS	VALLEY	
C 8	DURATION MINUTES	FREGU 10 Year	ENCY 100 Year	DURATION MINUTES	FREG 10 Year	UENCY 100 YEAR	DURATION MINUTES	4 FREG 10 YEAR	UENCY 100 Year	DURATION MINUTES	FREG 10 YEAR	UENCY 100 YEAR	DURATION MINUTES	FREQU 10 YEAR	ENCY 100 YEAR
WC Man	w @ r @ @	2.84 2.58 2.37 2.37 2.21 2.08	+ + 8 + 07 3 - 75 3 - 49 3 - 28	ις ο μ α α	3.45 3.12 2.87 2.67 2.50	5.10 4.61 3.94 3.64	50000	2.77 2.53 2.34 2.19 2.07	4.16 3.79 3.51 3.29 3.10	50000	4 . 23 3 . 80 3 . 80 3 . 02 3 . 02	6.76 6.08 5.56 8.15 8.15	un vo r∼ co o	2.66 2.66 2.09 1.98	3.78 3.46 3.21 3.01 2.84
D IUAL	0 - 0 - 4	1.96 1.87 1.78 1.78 1.71 1.64	3.10 2.95 2.82 2.60 2.60	10110	2.36 2.13 2.013 1.96	891 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	011284 11151	1.96 1.87 1.79 1.72 1.72	2.588 2.5888 2.5888 2.588 2.588 2.588 2.588 2.5888 2.588 2.588 2.588 2.588 2.588 2.5	1112	999 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	4 * . 52 9 9 4 . 52 9 9 9 7 8 9 9 7 8	1110 1132 1132 1132	1.88 1.79 1.72 1.65 1.59	2.55 2.55 2.34 2.33 2.33
	200 200 200 200 200 200	1.58 1.59 1.59 1.59 1.59 1.59 1.59 1.59 1.59	01 01 00000000000000000000000000000000	00 98400 00 198400 00	1.89 1.82 1.76 1.71 1.61 1.61 1.53	2.55 2.66 2.55 2.55 2.45 2.38 2.38 2.38	15 16 19 20 20	1.60 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.5	2.40 2.32 2.13 2.13 2.13 2.13 2.13 2.13 2.13	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2.53 2.15 2.08 2.01 2.01 2.89 1.95	64000 64 54000 64 64000 64	20 1987155 20 1987765	1. 24 1. 45 1. 45	2.21 2.14 2.08 2.02 1.97
INTEN CU	498 0N498 0 NNN MMMMM 4	1.24 1.11 1.14 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 4.4 4	1.95 1.87 1.73 1.73 1.67 1.67 1.53 1.49	496 0N498 0 NNN MMMMM 4	1.46 1.39 1.39 1.29 1.128 1.117 1.117 1.117	2.15 2.06 1.99 1.78 1.778 1.778 1.778 1.778	4900 ON4900 O NNN MMMMM 4	1.22 1.22 1.17 1.17 1.17 1.17 1.01 1.03 1.01	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14980 074988 0 14980 074988 0 14980 074988 0	1.000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1408 ON408 0 1000 mmmm 4	11.22 1.11 1.11 1.10 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
STANDARD SITY – DURAT RVES DATA	α 888446 6008 9000 0000		1.40 1.32 1.26 1.15 1.15 1.03 1.00	4ທທາດ ທິດທ່ວຍ ທິວານວີ່ທີ່ວີທີ່ວີທີ່	1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	98446 0000 98446 0000	88 88 77 77 88 80 77 77 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80		88446 0000	0055 005 005 005 005 005 005 005 005 00	11111 1000 1000 1000 1001	ະ∢າບດັ່ດທ່ວຍ ຫວາວທີ່ວນວນ	90 90 91 91 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	12
ION	SLOPE .	1 2 3 0 3 0 1 3 0		SLOPE	÷	00	SL OP.	ы ч	0	SLOP	* *	9	SLOPE	6 4 •	

RUNOFF INDEX NUMBERS OF HYDROLOGIC SOIL-COVER COMPLEXES FOR PERVIOUS AREAS-AMC II						
		Quality of	Soil		Group	
		Cover (2)	A	В	С	D
NATURAL COVERS -						
Barren			78	86	91	93
(Rockland, eroded and graded land)						
Chaparrel, Broadleaf		Poor	53	70	80	85
(Manzonita, ceanothus and scrub oak)		Fair	40	63	75	81
		Good	31	57	71	78
Chaparrel, Narrowleaf		Poor	71	82	88	91
(Chamise and redshank)		Fair	55	72	81	86
Grass. Annual or Perennial		Poor	67	78	86	89
		Fair	50	69	79	84
		Good	38	61	74	80
Meadows or Cienegas		Poor	63	77	85	88
(Areas with seasonally high water ta	ble,	Fair	51	70	80	84
principal vegetation is sod forming	grass)	Good	30	58	72	78
Open Brush		Poor	62	76	84	99
(Soft wood shrubs - buckwheat, sage,	etc.)	Fair	46	66	77	83
		Good	41	63	75	81
Woodland		Poor	45	66	77	03
(Coniferous or broadleaf trees predo	minate.	Fair	36	60 60	73	79
Canopy density is at least 50 perce	nt)	Good	28	55	70	77
Woodland, Grass		Poor	57	73	82	86
(Coniferous or broadleaf trees with	canopy	Fair	44	65	77	82
density from 20 to 50 percent)		G ood	33	58	72	79
URBAN COVERS -						
Residential or Commercial Landscaping		Good	32	56	69	75
(Lawn, shrubs, etc.)						
Turf		Poor	58	74	83	87
(Irrigated and mowed grass)		Fair	44	65	77	82
(IIIIgacca and morea grass)		Good	33	58	72	79
AGRICULTURAL COVERS -						
		00	00			
(Land plowed but not tilled or seede	d)		/6	85	90	92
1						
RCFC & WCD	RUNOFF	INDEX	NL	IMB	ERS	\$
FOR						
INANUAL INANUAL	PE	ERVIOUS	AR	ΕA		

RUNOFF INDEX NUMBERS OF HYDROLOGIC SOIL-COVER COMPLEXES FOR PERVIOUS AREAS-AMC II							
Cover Type (3)	Quality of	Soil Group					
	Cover (2)	A	В	С	D		
AGRICULTURAL COVERS (cont.) -							
Legumes, Close Seeded (Alfalfa, sweetclover, timothy, etc.)	Poor Good	66 58	77 72	85 81	89 85		
Orchards, Deciduous (Apples, apricots, pears, walnuts, etc.)		See	Not	e 4			
Orchards, Evergreen (Citrus, avocados, etc.)	Poor Fair Good	57 44 33	73 65 58	82 77 72	86 82 79		
Pasture, Dryland (Annual grasses)	Poor Fair Good	67 50 38	78 69 61	86 79 74	89 84 80		
Pasture, Irrigated (Legumes and perennial grass)	Poor Fair Good	58 44 33	74 65 58	83 77 72	87 82 79		
Row Crops (Field crops - tomatoes, sugar beets, etc.)	Poor Good	72 67	81 78	88 85	91 89		
Small Grain (Wheat, oats, barley, etc.)	Poor Good	65 63	76 75	84 83	88 87		
Vineyard		See	Note	e 4			

Notes:

- All runoff index (RI) numbers are for Antecedent Moisture Condition (AMC) II.
- 2. Quality of cover definitions:
 - Poor-Heavily grazed or regularly burned areas. Less than 50 percent of the ground surface is protected by plant cover or brush and tree canopy.
 - Fair-Moderate cover with 50 percent to 75 percent of the ground surface protected.
 - Good-Heavy or dense cover with more than 75 percent of the ground surface protected.
- 3. See Plate C-2 for a detailed description of cover types.
- 4. Use runoff index numbers based on ground cover type. See discussion under "Cover Type Descriptions" on Plate C-2.
- 5. Reference Bibliography item 17.



HYDROLOGY MANUAL



ACTUAL IMPERVIOUS COVER

Land Use (1)	Range-Percent	Recommended Value For Average Conditions-Percent(2)
Natural or Agriculture	0 - 10	0
Single Family Residential: (3)		
40,000 S. F. (1 Acre) Lots	10 - 25	20
20,000 S. F. (¹ / ₂ Acre) Lots	30 - 45	40
7,200 - 10,000 S. F. Lots	45 - 55	50
Multiple Family Residential:		
Condominiums	45 - 70	65
Apartments	65 - 90	80
Mobile Home Park	60 - 85	75
Commercial, Downtown Business or Industrial	80 - 100	90

Notes:

- Land use should be based on ultimate development of the watershed. Long range master plans for the County and incorporated cities should be reviewed to insure reasonable land use assumptions.
- 2. Recommended values are based on average conditions which may not apply to a particular study area. The percentage impervious may vary greatly even on comparable sized lots due to differences in dwelling size, improvements, etc. Landscape practices should also be considered as it is common in some areas to use ornamental gravels underlain by impervious plastic materials in place of lawns and shrubs. A field investigation of a study area should always be made, and a review of aerial photos, where available may assist in estimating the percentage of impervious cover in developed areas.
- 3. For typical horse ranch subdivisions increase impervious area 5 percent over the values recommended in the table above.





ATTACHMENT 2: CALCULATIONS

This attachment contains the project's calculations. Please see the attached calculations.



100 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT ROUTING

Riverside County Rational Hydrology Program CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software,(c) 1989 - 2014 Version 9.0 Rational Hydrology Study Date: 01/24/18 File:2017045D1CULVERTS.out ********* Hydrology Study Control Information ********** English (in-lb) Units used in input data file Rational Method Hydrology Program based on **Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District** 1978 hydrology manual Storm event (year) = 100.00 Antecedent Moisture Condition = 3 Standard intensity-duration curves data (Plate D-4.1) For the [Perris Valley] area used. 10 year storm 10 minute intensity = 1.880(In/Hr)10 year storm 60 minute intensity = $0.780(\ln/Hr)$ 100 year storm 10 minute intensity = 2.690(In/Hr)100 year storm 60 minute intensity = 1.120(In/Hr) Storm event year = 100.0 Calculated rainfall intensity data: 1 hour intensity = $1.120(\ln/Hr)$ Slope of intensity duration curve = 0.4900 Process from Point/Station 1.110 to Point/Station 1.230 **** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION **** Initial area flow distance = 580.000(Ft.) Top (of initial area) elevation = 1548.000(Ft.) Bottom (of initial area) elevation = 1539.000(Ft.) Difference in elevation = 9.000(Ft.) Slope = 0.01552 s(percent)= 1.55 $TC = k(0.530)^{(length^3)/(elevation change)]^{0.2}$ Initial area time of concentration = 15.541 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.171(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm UNDEVELOPED (poor cover) subarea Runoff Coefficient = 0.875 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 RI index for soil(AMC 3) = 95.60Pervious area fraction = 1.000; Impervious fraction = 0.000 Initial subarea runoff = 0.684(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.360(Ac.) Pervious area fraction = 1.000



Process from Point/Station 1.110 to Point/Station 1.310 **** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS **** Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1 Stream flow area = 0.360(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 0.684(CFS) Time of concentration = 15.54 min. Rainfall intensity = $2.171(\ln/Hr)$ Process from Point/Station 1.210 to Point/Station 1.220 **** INITIAL AREA EVALUATION **** Initial area flow distance = 525.000(Ft.) Top (of initial area) elevation = 1547.000(Ft.) Bottom (of initial area) elevation = 1539.000(Ft.) Difference in elevation = 8.000(Ft.) Slope = 0.01524 s(percent)= 1.52 $TC = k(0.530)^{(length^3)/(elevation change)}^{0.2}$ Initial area time of concentration = 14.988 min. Rainfall intensity = 2.210(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm UNDEVELOPED (poor cover) subarea Runoff Coefficient = 0.876 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000RI index for soil(AMC 3) = 95.60Pervious area fraction = 1.000; Impervious fraction = 0.000 Initial subarea runoff = 7.702(CFS) Total initial stream area = 3.980(Ac.) Pervious area fraction = 1.000 1.220 to Point/Station Process from Point/Station 1.310 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (User specified size) **** Upstream point/station elevation = 1539.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 1534.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 80.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 7.702(CFS)Given pipe size = 18.00(ln.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 7.702(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 6.68(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 17.39(In.)Critical Depth = 12.90(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 12.91(Ft/s)Travel time through pipe = 0.10 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 15.09 min.



```
Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2
Stream flow area = 3.980(Ac.)
Runoff from this stream =
                        7.702(CFS)
Time of concentration = 15.09 min.
Rainfall intensity = 2.203(In/Hr)
Summary of stream data:
Stream Flow rate
                  TC
                           Rainfall Intensity
No.
       (CFS)
               (min)
                             (In/Hr)
1
     0.684
           15.54
                          2.171
2
     7.702 15.09
                          2.203
Largest stream flow has longer or shorter time of concentration
Qp = 7.702 + sum of
            Qa
                  Tb/Ta
            0.684 * 0.971 =
                              0.664
Qp =
       8.367
Total of 2 streams to confluence:
Flow rates before confluence point:
   0.684
            7.702
Area of streams before confluence:
    0.360
            3.980
Results of confluence:
Total flow rate = 8.367(CFS)
Time of concentration = 15.092 min.
Effective stream area after confluence =
                                    4.340(Ac.)
Process from Point/Station 1.310 to Point/Station
                                                 1.520
**** IRREGULAR CHANNEL FLOW TRAVEL TIME ****
Estimated mean flow rate at midpoint of channel =
                                             8.675(CFS)
Depth of flow = 1.675(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.785(Ft/s)
           ******* Irregular Channel Data **********
Information entered for subchannel number 1:
Point number
              'X' coordinate 'Y' coordinate
           1
                   0.00
                              2.00
           2
                   5.00
                              1.00
           3
                   6.00
                              0.00
           4
                   7.00
                              1.00
           5
                               2.00
                   13.00
Manning's 'N' friction factor = 0.020
_____
Sub-Channel flow =
                    8.675(CFS)
           flow top width =
                            9.430(Ft.)
  ' velocity= 1.785(Ft/s)
                    4.860(Sq.Ft)
           area =
```



Froude number = 0.438

т т

Upstream point elevation = 1534.000(Ft.) Downstream point elevation = 1533.000(Ft.) Flow length = 630.000(Ft.) Travel time = 5.88 min. Time of concentration = 20.97 min. Depth of flow = 1.675(Ft.) Average velocity = 1.785(Ft/s) Total irregular channel flow = 8.675(CFS) Irregular channel normal depth above invert elev. = 1.675(Ft.) Average velocity of channel(s) = 1.785(Ft/s) Adding area flow to channel UNDEVELOPED (poor cover) subarea Runoff Coefficient = 0.871 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000 RI index for soil(AMC 3) = 95.60Pervious area fraction = 1.000; Impervious fraction = 0.000 Rainfall intensity = 1.874(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Subarea runoff = 0.523(CFS) for 0.320(Ac.) Total runoff = 8.889(CFS) Total area = 4.660(Ac.) Depth of flow = 1.685(Ft.), Average velocity = 1.795(Ft/s)

```
Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 1

Stream flow area = 4.660(Ac.)

Runoff from this stream = 8.889(CFS)

Time of concentration = 20.97 min.

Rainfall intensity = 1.874(In/Hr)
```



Initial area flow distance = 745.000(Ft.) Top (of initial area) elevation = 1540.000(Ft.) Bottom (of initial area) elevation = 1536.000(Ft.) Difference in elevation = 4.000(Ft.)Slope = 0.00537 s(percent)= 0.54 $TC = k(0.530)^{(length^3)/(elevation change)}^{0.2}$ Initial area time of concentration = 21.240 min. 1.863(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Rainfall intensity = UNDEVELOPED (poor cover) subarea Runoff Coefficient = 0.871 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000RI index for soil(AMC 3) = 95.60Pervious area fraction = 1.000; Impervious fraction = 0.000 Initial subarea runoff = 9.398(CFS) Total initial stream area = 5.790(Ac.) Pervious area fraction = 1.000 1.420 to Point/Station Process from Point/Station 1.520 **** PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME (User specified size) **** Upstream point/station elevation = 1536.000(Ft.) Downstream point/station elevation = 1533.000(Ft.) Pipe length = 60.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013No. of pipes = 1 Required pipe flow = 9.398(CFS)Given pipe size = 18.00(ln.) Calculated individual pipe flow = 9.398(CFS) Normal flow depth in pipe = 7.92(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 17.87(In.)Critical Depth = 14.22(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 12.55(Ft/s) Travel time through pipe = 0.08 min. Time of concentration (TC) = 21.32 min.Process from Point/Station 1.410 to Point/Station 1.520 **** CONFLUENCE OF MINOR STREAMS **** Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 2 Stream flow area = 5.790(Ac.) Runoff from this stream = 9.398(CFS) Time of concentration = 21.32 min. Rainfall intensity = 1.860(In/Hr)



Initial area flow distance = 250.000(Ft.) Top (of initial area) elevation = 1539.000(Ft.) Bottom (of initial area) elevation = 1533.000(Ft.) Difference in elevation = 6.000(Ft.)Slope = 0.02400 s(percent)= 2.40 $TC = k(0.530)^{(length^3)/(elevation change)]^{0.2}$ Initial area time of concentration = 10.172 min. 2.672(In/Hr) for a 100.0 year storm Rainfall intensity = UNDEVELOPED (poor cover) subarea Runoff Coefficient = 0.880 Decimal fraction soil group A = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group B = 0.000 Decimal fraction soil group C = 0.000Decimal fraction soil group D = 1.000RI index for soil(AMC 3) = 95.60Pervious area fraction = 1.000; Impervious fraction = 0.000 Initial subarea runoff = 0.282(CFS) Total initial stream area = 0.120(Ac.) Pervious area fraction = 1.000



```
Along Main Stream number: 1 in normal stream number 3
Stream flow area = 0.120(Ac.)
Runoff from this stream = 0.282(CFS)
Time of concentration = 10.17 min.
Rainfall intensity = 2.672(In/Hr)
Summary of stream data:
```

StreamFlow rateTCRainfall IntensityNo.(CFS)(min)(In/Hr)

8.889 1 20.97 1.874 2 9.398 21.32 1.860 3 0.282 10.17 2.672 Largest stream flow has longer time of concentration Qp = 9.398 + sum of la/lb Qb 8.889 * 0.992 = 8.819 Qb la/lb 0.282 * 0.696 = 0.196

Qp = 18.413

Total of 3 streams to confluence:Flow rates before confluence point:8.8899.3980.282Area of streams before confluence:4.6605.7900.120

Results of confluence: Total flow rate = 18.413(CFS) Time of concentration = 21.320 min. Effective stream area after confluence = 10.570(Ac.) End of computations, total study area = 10.57 (Ac.) The following figures may be used for a unit hydrograph study of the same area.

Area averaged pervious area fraction(Ap) = 1.000Area averaged RI index number = 89.0

100-YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT COMPLIANCE POINT A SUMMARY				
DATA	100-YEAR			
AMC	3			
STUDY NODE POINT	1.52			
INTENSITY (IN/HR)	2.672			
TOTAL DISCHARGE (cfs)	18.4			
TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	21.32			
AREA (ACRES)	10.57			



OPTION 1A: RUN NORTH THEN WEST - (1) 24" PIPE

CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 2004 - 2014 Version 9.0

*** Improved Channel Analysis ***

Upstream (headworks) Elevation = 1533.000(Ft.) Downstream (outlet) Elevation = 1517.000(Ft.) Runoff/Flow Distance = 590.000(Ft.) Maximum flow rate in channel(s) = 18.400(CFS)

*** CALCULATED DEPTH DATA AT FLOW = 18.40(CFS) *** Pipe length = 590.00(Ft.)Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1Required pipe flow = 18.400(CFS)Pipe size = 24.00(In.)Calculated individual pipe flow = 18.400(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 11.92(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 24.00(In.)Critical Depth = 18.54(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 11.82(Ft/s)

OPTION 1B: RUN NORTH THEN WEST - (2) 18" PIPE

CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 2004 - 2014 Version 9.0

_____ *** Improved Channel Analysis *** Upstream (headworks) Elevation = 1533.000(Ft.) Downstream (outlet) Elevation = 1517.000(Ft.) Runoff/Flow Distance = 590.000(Ft.) Maximum flow rate in channel(s) = 18.400(CFS)*** CALCULATED DEPTH DATA AT FLOW = 18.40(CFS) *** Pipe length = 590.00(Ft.) Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 2 Required pipe flow = 18.400(CFS)Pipe size = 18.00(In.)Calculated individual pipe flow = 9.200(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 9.34(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 17.99(In.)Critical Depth = 14.08(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 9.94(Ft/s)



OPTION 2A: RUN SOUTH THEN WEST - (1) 24" PIPE

CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 2004 - 2014 Version 9.0

*** Improved Channel Analysis ***

Upstream (headworks) Elevation = 1533.000(Ft.) Downstream (outlet) Elevation = 1517.000(Ft.) Runoff/Flow Distance = 600.000(Ft.) Maximum flow rate in channel(s) = 18.400(CFS)

*** CALCULATED DEPTH DATA AT FLOW = 18.40(CFS) *** Pipe length = 600.00(Ft.)Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 1Required pipe flow = 18.400(CFS)Pipe size = 24.00(In.)Calculated individual pipe flow = 18.400(CFS)Normal flow depth in pipe = 11.98(In.)Flow top width inside pipe = 24.00(In.)Critical Depth = 18.54(In.)Pipe flow velocity = 11.75(Ft/s)

OPTION 2B: RUN SOUTH THEN WEST - (2) 18" PIPE

CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN Engineering Software, (c) 2004 - 2014 Version 9.0

*** Improved Channel Analysis ***

```
Upstream (headworks) Elevation = 1533.000(Ft.)
Downstream (outlet) Elevation = 1517.000(Ft.)
Runoff/Flow Distance = 600.000(Ft.)
Maximum flow rate in channel(s) = 18.400(CFS)
```

```
*** CALCULATED DEPTH DATA AT FLOW = 18.40(CFS) ***

Pipe length = 600.00(Ft.)

Manning's N = 0.013 No. of pipes = 2

Required pipe flow = 18.400(CFS)

Pipe size = 18.00(In.)

Calculated individual pipe flow = 9.200(CFS)

Normal flow depth in pipe = 9.39(In.)

Flow top width inside pipe = 17.98(In.)

Critical Depth = 14.08(In.)

Pipe flow velocity = 9.88(Ft/s)
```



ATTACHMENT 3: EXHIBITS

This attachment contains the vicinity map and other exhibits used in this report. Please see the attached exhibits.



100-YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT COMPLIANCE POINT A SUMMARY					
DATA	100-YEAR				
AMC	3				
STUDY NODE POINT	1.52				
INTENSITY (IN/HR)	2.672				
TOTAL DISCHARGE (cfs)	18.4				
TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	21.32				
AREA (ACRES)	10.57				



	STUD
STORM	EVENT
PLATE	
AMC	
SOIL TY	PE

