

Appendix K: Comparison of 2003 and 2015 General Plans



Table 1 contains a comparison of the relevant and applicable General Plan policies from the 2003 and 2015 versions of the County of Riverside General Plan that were utilized in preparing the analysis contained in the DEIR. Unless specifically included in the Table below, there was no change in numbering or substantive content to the policies cited within, or relied upon by the DEIR. Pertinent changes are shown in either **bold italic** or strikethrough.

Table 1: Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of Riverside General Plan

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
Air Quality Element		
AQ 4.1	AQ 4.1	2003 version stated: "Encourage the use of building materials/methods which reduce emissions."
		2015 version states: " <i>Require</i> the use of building materials/methods which reduce emissions."
AQ 4.2	AQ 4.2	2003 version stated: "Encourage the use of efficient heating equipment and other appliances, such as water heaters, swimming pool heaters, cooking equipment, refrigerators, furnaces and boiler units."
		2015 version states: " <i>Require</i> the use of efficient heating equipment and other appliances, such as water heaters, swimming pool heaters, cooking equipment, refrigerators, furnaces and boiler units."
AQ 4.3	AQ 4.3	2003 version stated: "Encourage centrally heated facilities to utilize automated time clocks or occupant sensors to control heating."
		2015 version states: " <i>Require</i> centrally heated facilities to utilize automated time clocks or occupant sensors to control heating."
Circulation Element		
N/A	C 2.2	2015 Policy 2.2 states: "Require that new development prepare a traffic impact analysis as warranted by the Riverside County Traffic Impact Analysis Preparation Guidelines or as approved by the Director of Transportation. Apply level of service targets to new development per the Riverside County Traffic Impact Analysis Preparation Guidelines to evaluate traffic impacts and identify appropriate mitigation measures for new development."

Table 1 (cont.): Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of Riverside General Plan

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
		There was no comparable policy in the 2003 General Plan.
C 2.3	C 2.3	2015 version states: "Traffic studies prepared for development entitlements (tracts, public use permits, conditional use permits, etc.) shall identify project related traffic impacts and determine the significance of such impacts in compliance with CEQA and the Riverside County Congestion Management Program Requirements."
C 21.5	C 21.4	No.
C 21.7	C 21.6	No.
Land Use Element		
LU 4.1(h)	LU 4.1(h)	2003 version stated: "Encourage the provision of public art."
		2015 version states: "Encourage the provision of public art that enhances the community's identity, which may include elements of historical significance and creative use of children's art."
LU 4.1(o)	LU 4.1(o)	2003 version stated: "Preserve natural features, such as unique natural terrain, drainage ways, and native vegetation, wherever possible, particularly where they provide continuity with more extensive regional systems."
		2015 version states: "Preserve natural features, such as unique natural terrain, arroyos, canyons, and other drainage ways, and native vegetation, wherever possible, particularly where they provide continuity with more extensive regional systems."
LU 5.1	LU 5.1	Text changes as follows: "Ensure that development does not exceed the ability to adequately provide supporting infrastructure and services, such as libraries, recreational facilities, educational and child day care centers (i.e., infant, toddlers, preschool and school age children), transportation systems, and fire/police/medical services."

Table 1 (cont.): Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of **Riverside General Plan** 

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
LU 6.1	LU 7.1	No.
LU 6.3	LU 7.3	No.
LU 6.4	LU 7.4	No.
LU 6.5	LU 7.5	No.
LU 6.6	LU 7.6	No.
LU 6.7	LU 7.7	No.
LU 7.12	LU 8.12	No.
LU 8.2	LU 9.2	No.
LU 8.4	LU 9.4	2003 version stated: "Allow development clustering and/or density transfers in order to preserve open space, natural resources, and/or biologically sensitive resources."  2015 version states: "Allow development clustering and/or density transfers in order to preserve open space, natural resources, cultural resources, and biologically-sensitive resources. Wherever possible, development on parcels containing 100-year floodplains, blueline streams and other higher-order watercourses, and areas of steep slopes adjacent to them shall be clustered to keep development out of watercourse and adjacent steep slope areas, and to be compatible with other nearby land uses."
LU 9.1	LU 10.1	No.
LU 9.2	LU 10.2	No.
LU 10.1	LU 11.1	No.
LU 11.1	LU 12.1	Subsection (f) has been changed to read as follows: "In areas at risk of flooding, limit grading, cut, and fill to the amount necessary to provide stable areas for structural foundations, street rights-of-way, parking facilities, and other intended uses."
LU 12.1	LU 13.1	No.
LU 12.2	LU 13.2	No.
LU 12.3	LU 13.3	No.
LU 12.4	LU 13.4	No.

FirstCarbon Solutions
Y:\Publications\Client (PN-IN)\3426\34260005\EIR\6 - RDEIR\appendices\App K - 2003 and 2015 Gen Plan Comp\Appendix K - 2003 and 2015 Gen Plan Comparison.docx K-3

Table 1 (cont.): Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of Riverside General Plan

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
LU 12.5	LU 13.5	No.
LU 12.6	LU 13.6	No.
LU 12.7	LU 13.7	No.
LU 24.1	LU 30.1	No.
LU 24.2	LU 30.2	No.
LU 24.3	LU 30.3	No.
LU 24.4	LU 30.4	No.
LU 24.5	LU 30.5	No.
LU 24.6	LU 30.6	No.
LU 24.7	LU 30.7	No.
LU 24.8	LU 30.8	No.
Open Space Element		
OS 2.1	OS 2.2	No.
OS 2.3	OS 2.1	2003 version stated: "Encourage native, drought-resistant landscape planting."  2015 version states: "Implement a waterefficient landscape ordinance and corresponding policies that promote the use of water-efficient plants and irrigation technologies, minimizes the use of turf, and reduces water-waste without sacrificing landscape quality."
OS 2.2	OS 3.7	2003 version stated: "Where feasible, decrease stormwater runoff by reducing pavement in development areas, and by design practices such as permeable parking bays and porous parking lots with bermed storage areas for rainwater detention."  2015 version states: "Where feasible, decrease stormwater runoff by reducing pavement in development areas, reducing dry weather urban runoff, and by incorporating "Low Impact Development," green infrastructure and other Best Management Practice design measures such as permeable parking bays and lots, use of less pavement, bio-filtration, and use of multi-functional open drainage systems, etc."

Table 1 (cont.): Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of **Riverside General Plan** 

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
OS 4.5	OS 4.6	2003 version stated: "Retain storm water at or near the site of generation for percolation into the groundwater to conserve it for future uses and to mitigate adjacent flooding."  2015 version states: "Retain storm water at or near the site of generation for percolation into the groundwater to conserve it for future uses and to mitigate adjacent flooding. Such retention may occur through "Low Impact Development" or other Best
OS 5.3	OS 5.3	Management Practice measures."  Policy has been revised to add subsections f) and g) as follows: Based upon site specific study, all development shall be set back from the floodway boundary a distance adequate to address the following issues: a. Public safety; b. Erosion; c. Riparian or wetland buffer; d. Wildlife movement corridor or linkage; e. Slopes; f. Type of watercourse; and g. Cultural resources.
OS 5.5	OS 5.5	2003 version stated: "New development shall preserve and enhance existing native riparian habitat and prevent obstruction of natural watercourses. Incentives shall be utilized to the maximum extent possible."  2015 version states: "Preserve and enhance existing native riparian habitat and prevent obstruction of natural watercourses.  Prohibit fencing that constricts flow across watercourses and their banks. Incentives shall be utilized to the maximum extent possible."
OS 17.1	OS 17.2	2003 version stated: "Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCP's, if adopted, when conducting review of development applications."  2015 version states: "Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCP's and implement related

K-5

Table 1 (cont.): Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of Riverside General Plan

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
		Riverside County policies when conducting review of possible legislative actions such as general plan amendments, zoning ordinance amendments, etc. including policies regarding the handling of private and public stand alone applications for general plan amendments, lot line adjustments and zoning ordinance amendments that are not accompanied by, or associated with, an application to subdivide or other land use development application. Every stand alone application shall require an initial Habitat Evaluation and Acquisition Negotiation Process (HANS) assessment and such assessment shall be made by the Planning Department's Environmental Programs Division. Habitat assessment and species specific focused surveys shall not be required as part of this initial HANS assessment for stand alone applications but will be required when a development proposal or land use application to subsequently subdivide, grade or build on the property is submitted to the County."
OS 17.3	OS 17.2	2003 version stated: "Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCP's, if adopted when conducting review of possible general plan amendments and/or zoning changes."  2015 version states: "Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCP's and implement related Riverside County policies when conducting review of development applications."
OS 19.2	OS 19.3	2003 version stated: "Review all proposed development for the possibility of archaeological sensitivity."  2015 version states: "Review proposed development for the possibility of cultural resources and for compliance with the cultural resources program."
N/A	OS 19.5	There was no comparable provision in the 2003 General Plan.
OS 19.8	OS 19.6	2003 version stated: "Whenever existing

## Table 1 (cont.): Comparsion of Policies from the 2003 and 2015 Versions of the County of **Riverside General Plan**

2003 General Plan Goal/Policy	2015 General Plan Goal/Policy	Change in Wording?
		information indicates that a site proposed for development may contain biological, paleontological, or other scientific resources, a report shall be filed stating the extent and potential significance of the resources that may exist within the proposed development and appropriate measures through which the impacts of development may be mitigated."  2015 version states: "Whenever existing information indicates that a site proposed for development has high paleontological sensitivity as shown on Figure OS-8, a paleontological resource impact mitigation program (PRIMP) shall be filed with the County Geologist prior to site grading. The PRIMP shall specify the steps to be taken to mitigate impacts to paleontological resources."
N/A	OS 19.9	There was no comparable provision in the 2003 General Plan.

FirstCarbon Solutions
Y:\Publications\Client (PN-IN)\3426\34260005\EIR\6 - RDEIR\appendices\App K - 2003 and 2015 Gen Plan Comp\Appendix K - 2003 and 2015 Gen Plan Comparison.docx K-7

